

# Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/US04/025247

International filing date: 04 August 2004 (04.08.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: US  
Number: 60/540,271  
Filing date: 29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 13 September 2004 (13.09.2004)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland  
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

1222419

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

*September 08, 2004*

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM  
THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK  
OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT  
APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A  
FILING DATE.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/540,271  
FILING DATE: *January 29, 2004*  
RELATED PCT APPLICATION NUMBER: PCT/US04/25247

Certified by



Jon W Dudas

Acting Under Secretary of Commerce  
for Intellectual Property  
and Acting Director of the U.S.  
Patent and Trademark Office



18351 U.S.PTO  
012904EXPRESS MAIL NO: EV 351 932 260 US  
DATED: 29 January 2004**PROVISIONAL APPLICATION COVER SHEET**

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

|   |                    |                |   |                        |
|---|--------------------|----------------|---|------------------------|
|   | DOCKET NUMBER      | PR2083         | Type a plus sign (+) inside this box →                  | +                      |
| INVENTOR(s)/APPLICANT(s)  |                    |                |   |                        |
| LAST NAME   | FIRST NAME         | MIDDLE INITIAL | RESIDENCE<br>(CITY AND EITHER STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY) |                        |
| 1) Kelley<br>2) Patel   | Robert<br>Darshana | F.<br>R.       | San Bruno, California<br>San Mateo, California          |                        |
| APPLICATION IDENTIFIER (if no INVENTOR(s)/APPLICANT(s) are named)   |                    |                |   |                        |
| TITLE OF THE INVENTION (280 characters max)   |                    |                |   |                        |
| <b>BCMA Polypeptides and Uses Thereof</b>   |                    |                |   |                        |
| CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS  |                    |                |   |                        |
| Elinor K. Shin<br><b>CUSTOMER NO: 09157</b>   |                    |                | Telephone Number  | (650) 225-3536         |
|   |                    |                | Facsimile Number  | (650) 952-9881         |
| STATE   | California         | ZIP CODE       | 94080-4990  | COUNTRY                |
| USA   |                    |                |   |                        |
| ENCLOSED APPLICATION PARTS (check all that apply)   |                    |                |   |                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification<br>(including claims)   | Number of Pages    | 83             | <input type="checkbox"/>                                | Small Entity Statement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawings  | Number of Pages    | 7              | <input type="checkbox"/>                                | Other (specify):       |
| METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)   |                    |                |   |                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> A check or money order is enclosed to cover the Provisional filing fees.   |                    |                |   |                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge filing fees and credit Deposit Account No. 07-0630. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR §1.16 and 1.17, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-0630. <u>A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.</u> |                    |                |   |                        |
| <b>PROVISIONAL FILING FEE AMOUNT (\$)</b> <u>160.00</u>   |                    |                |   |                        |

The invention was made by an agency of the United States Government or under a contract with an agency of the United States Government.

 No. Yes, the name of the U.S. Government agency and the Government contract number(s) is(are):Respectfully submitted,  
GENENTECH, INC.

By:

Elinor K. Shin  
Reg. No. 43,117

Date: January 29, 2004

Revised (12/11/97)

BCMA POLYPEPTIDES AND USES THEREOF

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to new polypeptides that bind APRIL but little or no BAFF, bind BAFF but little or no APRIL, or bind APRIL and BAFF, nucleic acid molecules encoding the polypeptides and compositions comprising them. The present invention also relates to methods for preventing and treating immune related diseases and cancer using the compositions of this invention. The present invention also relates to methods for selecting inhibitors of APRIL and/or BAFF signaling using the polypeptides of this invention.

BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION OF THE INVENTION

The tumor necrosis factor receptors (TNFR) are a superfamily of transmembrane receptors involved in cell communication within the immune system. TNFR family members are structurally characterized by extracellular cysteine-rich domains (CRD) that form ligand-binding motifs. Generally, members of the TNFR superfamily found on B or T cells are type I transmembrane proteins that have several CRDs (Bodmer, J. L., et al., (2002) *Trends Biochem Sci* 27:19-26). There is, however, a subgroup of TNFR proteins expressed by B cells that are type III transmembrane proteins and contain a reduced number of CRDs: B-Cell Maturation Antigen (BCMA), Transmembrane Activator and CAML Interactor (TACI), and BLyS (BAFF) Receptor 3 (BR3) (Gross, J. A., et al., (2000) *Nature* 404: 995-999; Marsters, S. A., et al., (2000) *Curr Biol* 10:785-788; Thompson, J. S., et al., (2001) *Science* 293:2108-2111; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* 1:252-256. The extracellular domain (ECD) of TACI contains two CRDs, the BCMA ECD comprises one CRD, and the ECD of BR3 contains only a partial CRD. Together with the receptor (Fn14) for the TWEAK ligand, BCMA and BR3 are the smallest members of the TNFR superfamily. TACI, BCMA and BR3 lack an intracellular death domain. It is believed that these receptors are involved in the survival, proliferation, and/or differentiation of a variety of cells.

The TNF family member BAFF is the only known ligand for BR3. BAFF-dependent B cell proliferation appears to require BR3; however, BAFF has also been reported to bind TACI and BCMA (Shu, H. B., and Johnson, H. (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:9156-9161; Thompson, J. S., et al., (2001) *Science* 293:2108-2111; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* 1:252-256). APRIL (also known as TRDL-1, TALL-2 and TNFSF13A), the TNF family member most closely related to BAFF, binds TACI and BCMA (Marsters, S. A., et al., (2000) *Curr Biol* 10:785-788; Thompson, J. S., et al., (2001) *Science* 293: 2108-2111; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* 1:252-256). Despite cross-reactivity with receptors, the expression patterns of BAFF and APRIL are distinct; BAFF is expressed by macrophages, monocytes, and dendritic cells, while APRIL is expressed by lymphoid cells and at elevated levels by some tumor cells (Hahne, M., et al., (1998) *J Exp Med* 188:1185-1190).

Tight regulation of BAFF levels appears to be critical for B cell homeostasis. BAFF knockout mice display significant reduction in the development and survival of follicular and marginal B cells while mice expressing a BAFF transgene develop a lupus-like autoimmune syndrome (Gross, J. A., et al., (2000) *Nature* 404:995-999; Mackay, F., et al., (2001) *Science* 293:2111-2114; Khare, S. D., et al.,

(2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:3370-3375). A BAFF-specific antagonist, BR3-Fc (Kayagaki, N., et al., (2002) *Immunity* 17, 515-524), together with studies on BAFF knockout mice (Schiemann, B., et al., (2001) *Science* 293:2111-2114), has been used to demonstrate the essential role of BAFF in B cell development.

The role of APRIL in B cell homeostasis is less clear especially since APRIL knockout mice display normal B cell levels (E. Varfolomeev et al., (2004) *Mol. Cell. Biol* 24(3): 997-1006). However, several groups have reported on its activity in cell proliferation and T cell function. For example, APRIL has been shown to be capable of inducing the proliferation of certain tumors cell lines in vitro and in vivo (Hahne, M., et al., (1998) *J. Exp. Med.* 188:1185-1190). APRIL transgenic mice displayed augmented T cell independent B cell responses and increased survival of T cells (Stein J. et al. (2002) *J Clin Invest* 109:1587-98). APRIL expression has also been shown to be upregulated in many tumors including colon and prostate cancers (Hahne, M., et al., (1998) *J Exp Med* 188:1185-1190; Rennert, P., et al., (2000) *J Exp Med* 192:1677-1684; Kelly, K., et al., (2000) *Cancer Res* 60:1021-1027.

Both BCMA-Fc and TACI-Fc can inhibit the proliferation of primary B cells stimulated by APRIL (Yu, G., et al. (2000) *Nat. Immunol.* 1:252-256). Studies have shown the attenuation of autoimmune lupus-like disease progression in mice with BR3-Fc treatment (e.g., Kayagaki, N., et al., (2002) *Immunity* 17:515-524). A soluble form of BCMA (BCMA-Fc) has been shown to inhibit tumor cell growth in Nu/Nu mice implanted with HT29 and A549 tumor cells (Rennert, P., et al. (2000) *J. Exp. Med.* 192:1677-1683).

Several reports have described the nanomolar binding affinity of BAFF to BCMA (Marsters, S. A., et al., (2000) *Curr Biol* 10:785-788; Shu, H. B., and Johnson, H. (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:9156-9161; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* 1:252-256), however these studies used a bivalent BCMA receptor-Fc fusion construct that could result in measured affinities that are enhanced by avidity. Pelletier et al. has anecdotally reported that a monovalent BCMA-Fc fusion protein created by mutating its Fc region interacts with BAFF with low affinity (Pelletier, M et al. (2003) *JBC* 278(35):33127-33133). None of these reports explored or identified the residues in BCMA that determine specificity for its binding to APRIL or BAFF as a BCMA monomer or multimer.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides new polypeptide molecules that bind APRIL but bind little or no BAFF, bind BAFF but little or no APRIL, bind APRIL and BAFF, or bind BAFF and/or APRIL with decreased affinity as compared to a native BCMA polypeptide. The polypeptides of this invention are useful for research or medicinal purposes, including treating and diagnosing diseases, detecting APRIL and BAFF levels and developing inhibitors of the APRIL and BAFF signaling pathways. The polypeptides of this invention include monomers and multimers. The present invention provides compositions comprising the polypeptides or the nucleic acid molecules of this invention, methods for producing and using the polypeptides, and nucleic acid molecules encoding the polypeptides of this invention. In one embodiment, the polypeptides of this invention are derived from a BCMA sequence, such as the sequence of the extracellular domain of human BCMA.

In one embodiment, a monomeric polypeptide of this invention binds APRIL with an IC<sub>50</sub> value equal to or less than 100nM and binds BAFF with an IC<sub>50</sub> value equal to or greater than 100uM.

In one embodiment, a multimeric polypeptide of this invention binds BAFF with an IC<sub>50</sub> value equal to or less than 100nM and binds APRIL with an affinity that is thirty fold weaker than native BCMA binding to APRIL. In another embodiment, a monomeric polypeptide of this invention binds to BAFF and APRIL with affinities within the same order of magnitude. In another embodiment, a multimeric polypeptide of this invention binds to APRIL with an affinity less than 100nM or less than 10nM, with little or no binding to BAFF.

In one embodiment, a polypeptide of this invention is a BCMA variant that has been derived from a mammalian BCMA polypeptide sequence wherein at least one amino acid residue corresponding to a residue selected from the group consisting of Q10, E12, Y13, F14, I22, Q25 and R27 of FIG.5 has been altered. According to one embodiment, the BCMA variant has at least one substitution corresponding to a mammalian BCMA residue selected from the group consisting of I22K, R27Y, R27A, Q25D, Y13S, Y13F and Y13A. In one preferred embodiment, the BCMA variant is derived from at least the cysteine-rich domain (CRD) of a mammalian BCMA polypeptide. In another preferred embodiment, the BCMA variant is derived from at least the extracellular domain (ECD) sequence of a mammalian BCMA. In yet a further embodiment, the CRD sequence from which the BCMA variant is derived is a CRD sequence of a human BCMA polypeptide (e.g., residues 8-41 of FIG.5).

In one embodiment, the BCMA variant comprises alterations at an amino acid residue corresponding to I22 and an amino acid residue corresponding to any one of the group consisting of F14 and Q25 of FIG.5. In one preferred embodiment, the BCMA variant is substituted with I22K in combination with any one or all of the group consisting of F14A and Q25A. In another embodiment, the BCMA variant comprises alterations at an amino acid residue corresponding to R27 and a residue corresponding to any one of the group consisting of Y13 and Q25 of FIG.5. In one further embodiment, the BCMA variant is substituted with at least R27Y or R27A in combination with Y13S, Y13F or Y13A. In another embodiment, the BCMA variant is substituted with Q25D in combination with R27Y or R27A (Q25D/R27 variant). In another embodiment, the Q25D/R27 variant is further substituted with Y13S, Y13F or Y13A.

In one embodiment, a polypeptide of this invention that binds APRIL comprises the sequence of Formula I:

C-X<sub>2</sub>-X<sub>3</sub>-X<sub>4</sub>-X<sub>5</sub>-Y-X<sub>7</sub>-D-X<sub>9</sub>-L X<sub>11</sub>-X<sub>12</sub>-X<sub>13</sub>-C-K-X<sub>16</sub>-C-X<sub>18</sub>-X<sub>19</sub>-X<sub>20</sub>-C -X<sub>22</sub>-X<sub>23</sub>-X<sub>24</sub>-X<sub>25</sub> -X<sub>26</sub>-X<sub>27</sub>-X<sub>28</sub>-X<sub>29</sub>-C-X<sub>31</sub>-X<sub>32</sub>-X<sub>33</sub>-C (Formula I)

wherein X<sub>11</sub> is any amino acid residue except A;

wherein X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>4</sub>, X<sub>5</sub>, X<sub>7</sub>, X<sub>9</sub>, X<sub>11</sub>, X<sub>12</sub>, X<sub>13</sub>, X<sub>16</sub>, X<sub>18</sub>, X<sub>19</sub>, X<sub>20</sub>, X<sub>22</sub>, X<sub>23</sub>, X<sub>24</sub>, X<sub>25</sub>, X<sub>26</sub>, X<sub>27</sub>, X<sub>28</sub>, X<sub>29</sub>, X<sub>31</sub>, X<sub>32</sub>, X<sub>33</sub> are any amino acid except cysteine.

In a further embodiment, the polypeptide comprising Formula I further comprises the sequence NSVKGT linked carboxy-terminal to the thirty-fourth residue of Formula I.

In a further embodiment,  $X_{11}$  is L or V in Formula I. In another embodiment,  $X_{18}$  is selected from the group consisting of Q, D and A in Formula I. In another embodiment of Formula I, if  $X_{20}$  is Y, then  $X_{18}$  is D. In further embodiment, the sequence of Formula I is selected from the group consisting of

CSQNEYFDSLLHACKPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC,  
CSQNEYFDSLLHACKPCDLCRSSNTPPLTCQRYC,  
CSQNEYFDSLLHACKPCDLYCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, and  
CSQNEYFDSLVHACKPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC.

In one embodiment, a polypeptide of this invention that binds BAFF comprises the sequence of Formula II:

C-X<sub>2</sub>-X<sub>3</sub>-X<sub>4</sub>-X<sub>5</sub>-X<sub>6</sub>-X<sub>7</sub>-D-X<sub>9</sub>-L-X<sub>11</sub>-X<sub>12</sub>-X<sub>13</sub>-C-X<sub>15</sub>-X<sub>16</sub>-C-X<sub>18</sub>-X<sub>19</sub>-X<sub>20</sub>-C-X<sub>22</sub>-X<sub>23</sub>-X<sub>24</sub>-X<sub>25</sub> - X<sub>26</sub>-X<sub>27</sub>-X<sub>28</sub>-X<sub>29</sub>-C-X<sub>31</sub>-X<sub>32</sub>-X<sub>33</sub>-C (Formula II)

wherein  $X_6$  is selected from the group consisting of Y, A, D, S and F;  
wherein  $X_{11}$  is any amino acid residue except A;  
wherein  $X_{15}$  is any amino acid residue except A or K;  
wherein  $X_{18}$  is selected from the group consisting of Q, D and A;  
wherein  $X_{20}$  is selected from the group consisting of R, Y and A;  
wherein  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5$ ,  $X_7$ ,  $X_9$ ,  $X_{10}$ ,  $X_{12}$ ,  $X_{13}$ ,  $X_{16}$ ,  $X_{19}$ ,  $X_{22}$ ,  $X_{23}$ ,  $X_{24}$ ,  $X_{25}$ ,  $X_{26}$ ,  $X_{27}$ ,  $X_{28}$ ,  $X_{29}$ ,  $X_{31}$ ,  $X_{32}$  and  $X_{33}$  are any amino acid except cysteine; and  
provided that the Formula II does not comprise the sequence

CSQNEYFDSLLHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC.

In an embodiment of Formula II,  $X_{11}$  is L or V. In an embodiment of Formula II,  $X_{15}$  is I. In another embodiment of Formula II, the sequence of Formula II is selected from the group consisting of

CSQNEAFDSLLHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC,  
CSQNESFDSLLHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC,  
CSQNEFFDSLLHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC,  
CSQNEYFDSLLHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC,  
CSQNEYFDSLLHACIPCQLYCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, and  
CSQNEYFDSLLHACIPCDLYCSSNTPPLTCQRYC.

In a further embodiment, the polypeptide comprising Formula II further comprises the sequence NSVKGT linked carboxy-terminal to the thirty-fourth residue.

In yet another embodiment, the polypeptides of this invention comprise heterologous sequences N-terminal, C-terminal or both N-terminal and C-terminal to the sequence of Formula I or Formula II, i.e., heterologous to a BCMA polypeptide. In yet another embodiment, the amino acid sequence of Formula I and Formula II are 85% or more, 90% or more, 95% or more or 99% or more identical to the CRD sequence of a native BCMA.

In yet another embodiment, the polypeptide of this invention is an immunoadhesin. In another embodiment, the polypeptide of this invention is an antibody. In one further embodiment of the invention, the antibody is selected from the group consisting of an F(ab) antibody, F(ab')<sub>2</sub> antibody and a scFv antibody. In another embodiment of the invention, the antibody is a multi-specific antibody (e.g., bispecific or trispecific). In another embodiment of the invention, the antibody is a humanized antibody.

In yet another embodiment, the polypeptide of this invention is attached directly or indirectly to an agent selected from the group consisting of a growth inhibitory agent, a cytotoxic agent, a detection agent, an agent that improves the bioavailability of the polypeptide and an agent that improves the half-life of the polypeptide. In another embodiment, the cytotoxic agent is selected from the group consisting of a toxin, an antibiotic and a radioactive isotope.

The present invention provides nucleic acid molecules that encode the polypeptides of this invention and host cells comprising the nucleic acid molecules. The present invention also provides a method for making the polypeptides of this invention comprising the step of culturing a host cell comprising the nucleic acid molecule of this invention and recovering the protein expressed by the host cell. The present invention further comprises an article of manufacture comprising a polypeptide or nucleic acid molecule of this invention.

The present invention further provides a composition comprising a polypeptide or nucleic acid molecule of this invention optionally further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The composition can further comprise a second therapeutic agent selected from the group consisting of an agent for treating an immune-related disease, a chemotherapeutic agent and a cytotoxic agent.

The present invention provides a method for identifying an inhibitor of APRIL binding to an APRIL receptor comprising the step of detecting an inhibitor that partially or fully blocks a polypeptide of this invention from binding to APRIL. The present invention provides a method for identifying an inhibitor of BAFF binding to a BAFF receptor comprising the step of detecting an inhibitor that partially or fully blocks a polypeptide of this invention from binding to BAFF. The present invention also provides a method for inhibiting APRIL binding to BCMA in a mammal comprising the step of administering a composition of this invention in an amount effective to inhibit binding between APRIL and BCMA in the mammal. The present invention also provides a method for inhibiting BAFF binding to BCMA in a mammal comprising the step of administering a composition of this invention in an amount effective to inhibit binding between BAFF and BCMA in the mammal. The present invention provides a method for inhibiting APRIL signaling in a mammal comprising the step of administering a composition of this invention in an amount effective to inhibit binding between APRIL and BCMA in the mammal. The present invention provides a method for inhibiting BAFF signaling in a mammal comprising the step of administering a composition of this invention in an amount effective to inhibit binding between BAFF and BCMA in the mammal.

The polypeptides of this invention are useful for treating diseases, including diseases characterized by increased levels of expression of BAFF, APRIL and/or BCMA as compared to subjects not suffering from the disease.

The polypeptides of this invention are useful for treating immune-related diseases. In one preferred embodiment, the immune-related disease is an autoimmune disease. In a further embodiment the autoimmune disease is selected from the group consisting of rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, myasthenia gravis and insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. In one preferred embodiment, BAFF-inhibiting polypeptides of this invention used to treat or prevent immune-related diseases in patients. In another embodiment, the immune-related disease is a T-cell mediated

disease associated with immunosuppression, e.g., graft rejection, graft versus host disease (GVHD) and inflammation (joint pain, swelling anemia or septic shock).

The polypeptides of this invention are useful for treating cancer in a mammal. In one preferred embodiment, polypeptides of this invention are used to treat or prevent a cancer in patients, wherein the cancer to be treated expresses higher levels of APRIL or BAFF than a normal tissue. In one embodiment, the cancer to be treated is selected from the group consisting of a leukemia, a lymphoma, or a myeloma. In another embodiment the cancer to be treated is a gastrointestinal tumor, such as tumor in the rectum, duadenum, colon, stomach and esophagus.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Figure 1.** Competitive displacement ELISA of BCMA ligand interaction. A. Inhibition of BCMA-Z binding to immobilized APRIL (circle) or BAFF (square) in the presence of increasing amounts of the same soluble ligand. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  s.d. of three data sets with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 20 nM for APRIL and  $>65 \mu\text{M}$  for BAFF. B. Displacement of biotinylated BCMA binding to immobilized APRIL by unbiotinylated BCMA (circle – biotinylated baculovirus BCMA and unlabeled baculovirus BCMA, square - biotinylated baculovirus BCMA and unlabeled BCMA-Z, diamond – biotinylated BCMA-Z and unlabeled baculovirus BCMA, x - biotinylated BCMA-Z and unlabeled BCMA-Z). C. Data shown are for BCMA-Z competing with biotinylated BCMA-Z for binding to immobilized APRIL (circle; IC<sub>50</sub>=11 nM) or BCMA-Z competing with biotinylated BR3 for binding to immobilized BAFF (square; IC<sub>50</sub>=8  $\mu\text{M}$ ). Data represent the mean  $\pm$  s.d. of three data sets and curves represent fitting to a four-parameter equation.

**Figure 2.** Comparison of monovalent and bivalent receptors for binding to immobilized ligand. A. Displacement of biotinylated mini-BR3 binding to immobilized BAFF by BCMA-Z (circle), BCMA-Fc (square), or BR3-Fc (diamond). B. Inhibition of biotinylated BCMA-Z binding to immobilized APRIL by BCMA-Z (circle), BCMA-Fc (square), or BR3-Fc (diamond).

**Figure 3.** Shotgun alanine-scan mutagenesis of BCMA for binding to BAFF or APRIL. The normalized frequency ratios (F) observed for each of the scanned positions in BCMA obtained from sequences of positive clones after two rounds of selection for binding to BAFF (solid) or APRIL (hatched). F values were calculated as described in experimental procedures for alanine substitutions. Those bars with an asterisk (\*) above indicate values that represent a lower limit since Ala was not observed at these positions.

**Figure 4.** Functional and structural epitopes for ligand-binding by BCMA. A. Summary of BAFF- and APRIL- binding determinants mapped onto the structure of BCMA (PDB code 1OQD, (Skelton, N.J., et al. (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278:7645-7654)). All side chains evaluated in the shotgun alanine-scan are shown. Side chains labeled F14, I22 and Q25 show  $>10$ -fold decrease on binding to BAFF when substituted by alanine; those labeled R27 and Y13 show  $>10$ -fold decrease on binding to APRIL when substituted by alanine; those labeled D15, L17 and L18 show  $>10$ -fold decrease on binding to both BAFF and APRIL when substituted by alanine. B. Close-up of the BAFF-BCMA contact region from the ligand-receptor co-crystal structure (PDB code 1OQD, (Skelton, N.J., et al., *supra*)); BAFF contact residues are labeled in black font while those for BCMA are labeled in white font. BCMA is

shown as a ribbon; only side chains that contact BAFF are shown, with the addition of Gln25. BAFF is shown in a surface representation, with the portion of the surface that contacts BCMA labeled in large bold print; residues labeled I23, L200', G209, R231, P264 and R265 of BAFF are identical between BAFF and APRIL; residues labeled Y163(V), Y206(F), A207(T), L211(I), L240'(R), E266(A), D273'(S) and D275'(H) are residues that differ between BAFF and APRIL, with the APRIL residue type shown parenthetically using single amino acid code. The apostrophe indicates that the residue is contributed by an adjacent monomer of the trimer.

Figure 5. Sequence of mouse APRIL protein, human BCMA protein and the mini-BR3.

Figure 6. The protein sequence of BCMA(I22K)-Fc fusion protein. The underlined portion refers to residues 5-51 of human BCMA. The "(K)" refers to a substitution at a residue corresponding to I22 of human BCMA.

Figure 7. Competitive displacement assay: BCMA-Fc binding to APRIL and BAFF and BCMA-I22K-Fc binding to APRIL and BAFF in the presence of increasing amounts of unbiotinylated BCMA-Fc or BCMA-I22K-Fc receptor, respectively.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The terms "BCMA," "BCMA polypeptide," "BCMA protein" when used herein encompasses "native BCMA polypeptides" and "BCMA variants" and homologs and fragments (such as a soluble extracellular portion thereof) and variants thereof, which have a biological activity of a BCMA. For example, a "BCMA" is a designation given to the BCMA polypeptide encoded by the amino acid sequence shown in Figure 5. According to one preferred embodiment, the BCMA polypeptide is or is derived from a mammalian BCMA. According to another preferred embodiment, the BCMA polypeptide is or is derived from a human BCMA.

A biological activity of a BCMA polypeptide is used herein in the broadest sense, and includes any molecule that binds an APRIL polypeptide but binds little or no a BAFF polypeptide (e.g., a BCMA variant comprising a substitution at a residue corresponding to I22), binds a BAFF polypeptide but binds little or no APRIL polypeptide (e.g., a BCMA variant comprising a substitution at a residue corresponding to R27 and Y13) or binds both APRIL and BAFF polypeptides within a similar order of magnitude (e.g., a BCMA variant comprising a substitution at a residue corresponding to R27 and Q25). In one embodiment, the biological activity of a BCMA polypeptide that binds an APRIL polypeptide but little or no BAFF polypeptide further includes the ability to partially or fully block, inhibit, or neutralize signaling by APRIL. In another embodiment, the biological activity of a BCMA polypeptide that binds a BAFF polypeptide but binds little or no APRIL polypeptide further includes the ability to partially or fully block, inhibit, or neutralize signaling by BAFF. In another embodiment, the biological activity of the BCMA polypeptide that binds both APRIL and BAFF polypeptides further includes the ability to partially or fully block, inhibit, or neutralize signaling by APRIL and BAFF.

According to one embodiment, the polypeptide of this invention comprises an amino acid sequence that is 85% or more identical to a "cysteine-rich domain" (CRD) sequence of a native BCMA. In one preferred embodiment, the CRD is derived from a human BCMA. In another preferred embodiment, the CRD is residue numbers 8-41 of the human BCMA protein described in FIG.5. In

another embodiment, the amino acid sequence is 90% or more identical to a CRD sequence of a native BCMA. In yet another embodiment, the amino acid sequence is 95% or more identical to a CRD sequence of a native BCMA. In yet another embodiment, the amino acid sequence is 99% or more identical to a CRD sequence of a native BCMA.

According to another embodiment, the polypeptide of this invention comprises an amino acid sequence that is 85% or more identical to an extracellular domain (ECD) sequence of a BCMA. In one preferred embodiment, the ECD is derived from a human BCMA. In another preferred embodiment, the ECD approximately residue numbers 1-52 of the human BCMA protein described in FIG.5. In another embodiment, the amino acid sequence is 90% or more identical to an ECD sequence of a native BCMA. In yet another embodiment, the amino acid sequence is 95% or more identical to an ECD sequence of native BCMA. In yet another embodiment, the amino acid sequence is 99% or more identical to an ECD sequence of native BCMA.

"Percent (%) amino acid sequence identity" with respect to the BCMA polypeptide sequences identified herein is defined as the percentage of amino acid residues in a candidate sequence that are identical with the amino acid residues in the polypeptide being compared, after aligning the sequences and introducing gaps, if necessary, to achieve the maximum percent sequence identity, and not considering any conservative substitutions as part of the sequence identity. Alignment for purposes of determining percent amino acid sequence identity can be achieved in various ways that are within the skill in the art, for instance, using publicly available computer software such as BLAST, BLAST-2, ALIGN or Megalign (DNASTAR) software. Those skilled in the art can determine appropriate parameters for measuring alignment, including any algorithms needed to achieve maximal alignment over the full length of the sequences being compared. For purposes herein, however, % amino acid sequence identity values are generated using the sequence comparison computer program ALIGN-2. The ALIGN-2 sequence comparison computer program was authored by Genentech, Inc. and the source code (Table 1) has been filed with user documentation in the U.S. Copyright Office, Washington D.C., 20559, where it is registered under U.S. Copyright Registration No. TXU510087. The ALIGN-2 program is publicly available through Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, California. The ALIGN-2 program should be compiled for use on a UNIX operating system, preferably digital UNIX V4.0D. All sequence comparison parameters are set by the ALIGN-2 program and do not vary.

Table 1

```
/*
 *
 * C-C increased from 12 to 15
 * Z is average of EQ
 * B is average of ND
 * match with stop is _M; stop-stop = 0; J (joker) match = 0
 */
#define _M      -8      /* value of a match with a stop */
```



```

#define DMAT      3      /* value of matching bases */
#define DMIS      0      /* penalty for mismatched bases */
#define DINS0     8      /* penalty for a gap */
#define DINS1     1      /* penalty per base */
#define PINS0     8      /* penalty for a gap */
#define PINS1     4      /* penalty per residue */

{
  struct jmp {
    short      n[MAXJMP]; /* size of jmp (neg for delay) */
    unsigned short x[MAXJMP]; /* base no. of jmp in seq x */
  };
  /* limits seq to 2^16 - 1 */

  struct diag {
    int        score;      /* score at last jmp */
    long       offset;     /* offset of prev block */
    short      ijmp;       /* current jmp index */
    struct jmp  jp;        /* list of jmps */
  };
}

struct path {
  int        spc;        /* number of leading spaces */
  short     n[JMPSS];    /* size of jmp (gap) */
  int        x[JMPSS];    /* loc of jmp (last elem before gap) */
};

char      *ofile;        /* output file name */
char      *namex[2];     /* seq names: getseqs( ) */
char      *prog;         /* prog name for err msgs */
char      *seqx[2];      /* seqs: getseqs( ) */
int       dmax;         /* best diag: nw( ) */
int       dmax0;        /* final diag */
int       dna;          /* set if dna: main( ) */
int       endgaps;      /* set if penalizing end gaps */
int       gapx, gapy;    /* total gaps in seqs */
int       len0, len1;    /* seq lens */
int       ngapx, ngapy;  /* total size of gaps */
int       smax;         /* max score: nw( ) */
int       *xbm;          /* bitmap for matching */
long      offset;        /* current offset in jmp file */
struct diag *dx;        /* holds diagonals */

```

```

struct path pp[2]; /* holds path for seqs */

char *calloc( ), *malloc( ), *index( ), *strcpy( );
char *getseq( ), *g_malloc( );

/* Needleman-Wunsch alignment program
 *
 * usage: progs file1 file2
 * where file1 and file2 are two dna or two protein sequences.
 * The sequences can be in upper- or lower-case and may contain ambiguity
 * Any lines beginning with ';' >' or '<' are ignored
 * Max file length is 65535 (limited by unsigned short x in the jmp struct)
 * A sequence with 1/3 or more of its elements ACGTU is assumed to be DNA
 * Output is in the file "align.out"
 *
 * The program may create a tmp file in /tmp to hold info about traceback.
 * Original version developed under BSD 4.3 on a vax 8650
 */

#include "nw.h"
#include "day.h"

static _dbval[26] = {
    1,14,2,13,0,0,4,11,0,0,12,0,3,15,0,0,0,5,6,8,8,7,9,0,10,0
};

static _pbval[26] = {
    1, 2|(1<<('D'-'A'))|(1<<('N'-'A')), 4, 8, 16, 32, 64,
    128, 256, 0xFFFFFFFF, 1<<10, 1<<11, 1<<12, 1<<13, 1<<14,
    1<<15, 1<<16, 1<<17, 1<<18, 1<<19, 1<<20, 1<<21, 1<<22,
    1<<23, 1<<24, 1<<25|(1<<('E'-'A'))|(1<<('Q'-'A'))
};

main(ac, av)
{
    int ac;
    char *av[];
    prog = av[0];
    if (ac != 3) {
        fprintf(stderr, "usage: %s file1 file2\n", prog);
        fprintf(stderr, "where file1 and file2 are two dna or two protein sequences.\n");
}

```

```

fprintf(stderr,"The sequences can be in upper- or lower-case\n");
fprintf(stderr,"Any lines beginning with ';' or '<' are ignored\n");
fprintf(stderr,"Output is in the file \"align.out\"\n");
exit(1);
}

namex[0] = av[1];
namex[1] = av[2];
seqx[0] = getseq(namex[0], &len0);
seqx[1] = getseq(namex[1], &len1);
xbm = (dna)? _dbval : _pbval;

endgaps = 0;           /* 1 to penalize endgaps */
ofile = "align.out";  /* output file */

nw( );                /* fill in the matrix, get the possible jmps */
readjmps( );          /* get the actual jmps */
print( );              /* print stats, alignment */

cleanup(0);           /* unlink any tmp files */
}

/* do the alignment, return best score: main( )
 * dna: values in Fitch and Smith, PNAS, 80, 1382-1386, 1983
 * pro: PAM 250 values
 * When scores are equal, we prefer mismatches to any gap, prefer
 * a new gap to extending an ongoing gap, and prefer a gap in seqx
 * to a gap in seq y.
 */
nw( )                      nw
{
    char      *px, *py;      /* seqs and ptrs */
    int       *ndely, *dely;  /* keep track of dely */
    int       ndelx, delx;   /* keep track of delx */
    int       *tmp;          /* for swapping row0, row1 */
    int       mis;           /* score for each type */
    int       ins0, ins1;    /* insertion penalties */
    register id;            /* diagonal index */
    register ij;            /* jmp index */

```

```

register      *col0, *col1;      /* score for curr, last row */
register      xx, yy;          /* index into seqs */

dx = (struct diag *)g_malloc("to get diags", len0+len1+1, sizeof(struct diag));

ndely = (int *)g_malloc("to get ndely", len1+1, sizeof(int));
dely = (int *)g_malloc("to get dely", len1+1, sizeof(int));
col0 = (int *)g_malloc("to get col0", len1+1, sizeof(int));
col1 = (int *)g_malloc("to get col1", len1+1, sizeof(int));
ins0 = (dna)? DINS0 : PINS0;
ins1 = (dna)? DINS1 : PINS1;

smax = -10000;
if (endgaps) {
    for (col0[0] = dely[0] = -ins0, yy = 1; yy <= len1; yy++) {
        col0[yy] = dely[yy] = col0[yy-1] - ins1;
        ndely[yy] = yy;
    }
    col0[0] = 0;      /* Waterman Bull Math Biol 84 */
}
else
    for (yy = 1; yy <= len1; yy++)
        dely[yy] = -ins0;

/* fill in match matrix
 */
for (px = seqx[0], xx = 1; xx <= len0; px++, xx++) {
    /* initialize first entry in col
     */
    if (endgaps) {
        if (xx == 1)
            col1[0] = delx = -(ins0+ins1);
        else
            col1[0] = delx = col0[0] - ins1;
        ndelx = xx;
    }
    else {
        col1[0] = 0;
        delx = -ins0;
        ndelx = 0;
    }
}

```

```

}

...nw

for (py = seqx[1], yy = 1; yy <= len1; py++, yy++) {
    mis = col0[yy-1];
    if (dna)
        mis += (xbm[*px-'A']&xbm[*py-'A'])? DMAT : DMIS;
    else
        mis += _day[*px-'A'][*py-'A'];

    /* update penalty for del in x seq;
     * favor new del over ongong del
     * ignore MAXGAP if weighting endgaps
     */
    if (endgaps || ndely[yy] < MAXGAP) {
        if (col0[yy] - ins0 >= dely[yy]) {
            dely[yy] = col0[yy] - (ins0+ins1);
            ndely[yy] = 1;
        } else {
            dely[yy] -= ins1;
            ndely[yy]++;
        }
    } else {
        if (col0[yy] - (ins0+ins1) >= dely[yy]) {
            dely[yy] = col0[yy] - (ins0+ins1);
            ndely[yy] = 1;
        } else
            ndely[yy]++;
    }
}

/* update penalty for del in y seq;
 * favor new del over ongong del
 */
if (endgaps || ndelx < MAXGAP) {
    if (col1[yy-1] - ins0 >= delx) {
        delx = col1[yy-1] - (ins0+ins1);
        ndelx = 1;
    } else {
        delx -= ins1;
        ndelx++;
    }
}

```

```

} else {
    if (col1[yy-1] - (ins0+ins1) >= delx) {
        delx = col1[yy-1] - (ins0+ins1);
        ndelx = 1;
    } else
        ndelx++;
}

/* pick the maximum score; we're favoring
 * mis over any del and delx over dely
 */
...
```

...nw

```

id = xx - yy + len1 - 1;
if (mis >= delx && mis >= dely[yy])
    col1[yy] = mis;
else if (delx >= dely[yy]) {
    col1[yy] = delx;
    ij = dx[id].ijmp;
    if (dx[id].jp.n[0] && (!dna || (ndelx >= MAXJMP
&& xx > dx[id].jp.x[ij]+MX) || mis > dx[id].score+DINS0)) {
        dx[id].ijmp++;
        if (++ij >= MAXJMP) {
            writejmps(id);
            ij = dx[id].ijmp = 0;
            dx[id].offset = offset;
            offset += sizeof(struct jmp) + sizeof(offset);
        }
    }
    dx[id].jp.n[ij] = ndelx;
    dx[id].jp.x[ij] = xx;
    dx[id].score = delx;
}
else {
    col1[yy] = dely[yy];
    ij = dx[id].ijmp;
    if (dx[id].jp.n[0] && (!dna || (ndely[yy] >= MAXJMP
&& xx > dx[id].jp.x[ij]+MX) || mis > dx[id].score+DINS0)) {
        dx[id].ijmp++;
        if (++ij >= MAXJMP) {
            writejmps(id);
        }
    }
}
```

```

    ij = dx[id].ijmp = 0;
    dx[id].offset = offset;
    offset += sizeof(struct jmp) + sizeof(offset);
}
}

dx[id].jp.n[ij] = -ndely[yy];
dx[id].jp.x[ij] = xx;
dx[id].score = dely[yy];
}

if (xx == len0 && yy < len1) {
    /* last col
     */
    if (endgaps)
        col1[yy] -= ins0+ins1*(len1-yy);
    if (col1[yy] > smax) {
        smax = col1[yy];
        dmax = id;
    }
}
if (endgaps && xx < len0)
    col1[yy-1] -= ins0+ins1*(len0-xx);
if (col1[yy-1] > smax) {
    smax = col1[yy-1];
    dmax = id;
}
tmp = col0; col0 = col1; col1 = tmp;
}

(void) free((char *)ndely);
(void) free((char *)dely);
(void) free((char *)col0);
(void) free((char *)col1); }

/*
*
* print( ) -- only routine visible outside this module
*
* static:
* getmat( ) -- trace back best path, count matches: print()
* pr_align( ) -- print alignment of described in array p[]: print()
* dumpblock( ) -- dump a block of lines with numbers, stars: pr_align()

```

```

* nums( ) -- put out a number line: dumpblock( )
* putline( ) -- put out a line (name, [num], seq, [num]): dumpblock( )
* stars( ) - -put a line of stars: dumpblock( )
* stripname( ) -- strip any path and prefix from a seqname
*/

```

```

#include "nw.h"

#define SPC      3
#define P_LINE 256      /* maximum output line */
#define P_SPC  3      /* space between name or num and seq */

extern _day[26][26];
int    olen;          /* set output line length */
FILE   *fx;           /* output file */

print( )          print
{
    int    lx, ly, firstgap, lastgap; /* overlap */

    if ((fx = fopen(ofile, "w")) == 0) {
        fprintf(stderr, "%s: can't write %s\n", prog, ofile);
        cleanup(1);
    }
    fprintf(fx, "<first sequence: %s (length = %d)\n", namex[0], len0);
    fprintf(fx, "<second sequence: %s (length = %d)\n", namex[1], len1);
    olen = 60;
    lx = len0;
    ly = len1;
    firstgap = lastgap = 0;
    if (dmax < len1 - 1) { /* leading gap in x */
        pp[0].spc = firstgap = len1 - dmax - 1;
        ly -= pp[0].spc;
    }
    else if (dmax > len1 - 1) { /* leading gap in y */
        pp[1].spc = firstgap = dmax - (len1 - 1);
        lx -= pp[1].spc;
    }
    if (dmax0 < len0 - 1) { /* trailing gap in x */
        lastgap = len0 - dmax0 - 1;
    }
}

```

```

    lx -= lastgap;
}

else if (dmax0 > len0 - 1) {      /* trailing gap in y */
    lastgap = dmax0 - (len0 - 1);
    ly -= lastgap;
}

getmat(lx, ly, firstgap, lastgap);
pr_align( );
}

/*
 * trace back the best path, count matches
 */

static
getmat(lx, ly, firstgap, lastgap)                                getmat
{
    int      lx, ly;          /* "core" (minus endgaps) */
    int      firstgap, lastgap; /* leading/trailing overlap */

    int      nm, i0, i1, siz0, siz1;
    char     outx[32];
    double   pct;
    register int n0, n1;
    register char *p0, *p1;

    /* get total matches, score
     */
    i0 = i1 = siz0 = siz1 = 0;
    p0 = seqx[0] + pp[1].spc;
    p1 = seqx[1] + pp[0].spc;
    n0 = pp[1].spc + 1;
    n1 = pp[0].spc + 1;

    nm = 0;
    while ( *p0 && *p1 ) {
        if (siz0) {
            p1++;
            n1++;
            siz0--;
        }
        else if (siz1) {
            p0++;
        }
    }
}

```

```

    n0++;
    siz1--;
}
else {
    if (xbm[*p0-'A']&xbm[*p1-'A'])
        nm++;
    if (n0++ == pp[0].x[i0])
        siz0 = pp[0].n[i0++];
    if (n1++ == pp[1].x[i1])
        siz1 = pp[1].n[i1++];
    p0++;
    p1++;
}
}

/* pct homology:
 * if penalizing endgaps, base is the shorter seq
 * else, knock off overhangs and take shorter core
 */
if (endgaps)
    lx = (len0 < len1)? len0 : len1;
else
    lx = (lx < ly)? lx : ly;
pct = 100.*(double)nm/(double)lx;
fprintf(fx, "\n");
fprintf(fx, "<%d match%s in an overlap of %d: %.2f percent similarity\n",
    nm, (nm == 1)? "" : "es", lx, pct);

fprintf(fx, "<gaps in first sequence: %d", gapx); ...getmat
if (gapx) {
    (void) sprintf(outx, " (%d %s%s)",
        ngapx, (dna)? "base":"residue", (ngapx == 1)? ":"s");
    fprintf(fx,"%s", outx);

    fprintf(fx, ", gaps in second sequence: %d", gapy);
    if (gapy) {
        (void) sprintf(outx, " (%d %s%s)",
            ngapy, (dna)? "base":"residue", (ngapy == 1)? ":"s");
        fprintf(fx,"%s", outx);
    }
}

```

```

if (dna)
    fprintf(fx,
    "\n<score: %d (match = %d, mismatch = %d, gap penalty = %d + %d per base)\n",
    smax, DMAT, DMIS, DINS0, DINS1);
else
    fprintf(fx,
    "\n<score: %d (Dayhoff PAM 250 matrix, gap penalty = %d + %d per residue)\n",
    smax, PINS0, PINS1);
if (endgaps)
    fprintf(fx,
    "<endgaps penalized. left endgap: %d %s%s, right endgap: %d %s%s\n",
    firstgap, (dna)? "base" : "residue", (firstgap == 1)? "" : "s",
    lastgap, (dna)? "base" : "residue", (lastgap == 1)? "" : "s");
else
    fprintf(fx, "<endgaps not penalized\n");
}

static nm;          /* matches in core -- for checking */
static lmax;        /* lengths of stripped file names */
static ij[2];        /* jmp index for a path */
static nc[2];        /* number at start of current line */
static ni[2];        /* current elem number -- for gapping */
static siz[2];
static char *ps[2];    /* ptr to current element */
static char *po[2];    /* ptr to next output char slot */
static char out[2][P_LINE]; /* output line */
static char star[P_LINE]; /* set by stars( ) */

/*
 * print alignment of described in struct path pp[]
 */
static
pr_align( )          pr_align
{
    int nn;          /* char count */
    int more;
    register i;

    for (i = 0, lmax = 0; i < 2; i++) {
        nn = stripname(namex[i]);

```

```

if (nn > lmax)
    lmax = nn;

nc[i] = 1;
ni[i] = 1;
siz[i] = ij[i] = 0;
ps[i] = seqx[i];
po[i] = out[i]; }

for (nn = nm = 0, more = 1; more; ) { ...pr_align
    for (i = more = 0; i < 2; i++) {
        /*
         * do we have more of this sequence?
         */
        if (!*ps[i])
            continue;

        more++;

        if (pp[i].spc) { /* leading space */
            *po[i]++ = ' ';
            pp[i].spc--;
        }
        else if (siz[i]) { /* in a gap */
            *po[i]++ = '-';
            siz[i]--;
        }
        else { /* we're putting a seq element
            /*
             *po[i] = *ps[i];
             if (islower(*ps[i]))
                 *ps[i] = toupper(*ps[i]);
             po[i]++;
             ps[i]++;
             /*
             * are we at next gap for this seq?
             */
            if (ni[i] == pp[i].x[ij[i]]) {
                /*
                 * we need to merge all gaps

```

```

        * at this location
        */
        siz[i] = pp[i].n[ij[i]++];
        while (ni[i] == pp[i].x[ij[i]])
            siz[i] += pp[i].n[ij[i]++];
    }
    ni[i]++;
}
}

if (++nn == olen || !more && nn) {
    dumpblock();
    for (i = 0; i < 2; i++)
        po[i] = out[i];
    nn = 0;
}
}

/*
 * dump a block of lines, including numbers, stars: pr_align( )
 */
static
dumpblock()
{
    register i;

    for (i = 0; i < 2; i++)
        *po[i]-- = '\0';
    (void) putc('\n', fx);
    for (i = 0; i < 2; i++) {
        if (*out[i] && (*out[i] != ' ' || *(po[i]) != ''))
            if (i == 0)
                nums(i);
            if (i == 0 && *out[1])
                stars();
            putline(i);
            if (i == 0 && *out[1])
                sprintf(fx, star);
        if (i == 1)
            ...dumpblock
}

```

```

        nums(i);
    }
}

/*
 * put out a number line: dumpblock( )
 */
static
nums(ix)                                nums
{
    int      ix;      /* index in out[] holding seq line */
{
    char      nline[P_LINE];
    register    i, j;
    register char    *pn, *px, *py;

    for (pn = nline, i = 0; i < lmax+P_SPC; i++, pn++)
        *pn = ' ';
    for (i = nc[ix], py = out[ix]; *py; py++, pn++) {
        if (*py == ' ' || *py == '-')
            *pn = ' ';
        else {
            if (i%10 == 0 || (i == 1 && nc[ix] != 1)) {
                j = (i < 0)? -i : i;
                for (px = pn; j /= 10, px--)
                    *px = j%10 + '0';
                if (i < 0)
                    *px = ' ';
            }
            else
                *pn = ' ';
        }
        i++;
    }
    *pn = '\0';
    nc[ix] = i;
    for (pn = nline; *pn; pn++)
        (void) putc(*pn, fx);
    (void) putc('\n', fx);
}

```

```

/*
 * put out a line (name, [num], seq, [num]): dumpblock( )
 */
static
putline(ix)                                putline
    int      ix;                            {
                                                ...
int      i;
register char  *px;

for (px = namex[ix], i = 0; *px && *px != ':'; px++, i++)
    (void) putc(*px, fx);
for (; i < lmax+P_SPC; i++)
    (void) putc(' ', fx);

/* these count from 1:
 * ni[] is current element (from 1)
 * nc[] is number at start of current line
 */
for (px = out[ix]; *px; px++)
    (void) putc(*px&0x7F, fx);
(void) putc('\n', fx);
}

/*
 * put a line of stars (seqs always in out[0], out[1]): dumpblock( )
 */
static
stars()                                     stars
{
    int      i;
register char  *p0, *p1, cx, *px;

if (!*out[0] || (*out[0] == ' ' && *(po[0]) == ') ||
    !*out[1] || (*out[1] == ' ' && *(po[1]) == ') )
    return;
px = star;

```

```

for (i = lmax+P_SPC; i--)          stripname
    *px++ = ' ';

for (p0 = out[0], p1 = out[1]; *p0 && *p1; p0++, p1++) {
    if (isalpha(*p0) && isalpha(*p1)) {

        if (xbm[*p0-'A']&xbm[*p1-'A']) {
            cx = '*';
            nm++;
        }
        else if (!dna && _day[*p0-'A'][*p1-'A'] > 0)
            cx = '.';
        else
            cx = ' ';
    }
    else
        cx = ' ';
    *px++ = cx;
}
/*                                         stripname
 * strip path or prefix from pn, return len: pr_align( )
 */
static
stripname(pn)
    char    *pn;    /* file name (may be path) */
{
    register char    *px, *py;

    py = 0;
    for (px = pn; *px; px++)
        if (*px == '/')
            py = px + 1;
    if (py)
        (void) strcpy(pn, py);
    return(strlen(pn));
}

```

```

/*
 * cleanup( ) -- cleanup any tmp file
 * getseq( ) -- read in seq, set dna, len, maxlen
 * g_calloc( ) -- calloc( ) with error checkin
 * readjmps( ) -- get the good jmps, from tmp file if necessary
 * writejmps( ) -- write a filled array of jmps to a tmp file: nw( )
 */
#include "nw.h"
#include <sys/file.h>

char *jname = "/tmp/homgXXXXXX";           /* tmp file for jmps */
FILE *fj;

int cleanup();                         /* cleanup tmp file */
long lseek();

/*
 * remove any tmp file if we blow
 */
cleanup(i)
    int i;
{
    if (fj)
        (void) unlink(jname);
    exit(i);
}

/*
 * read, return ptr to seq, set dna, len, maxlen
 * skip lines starting with ;, <, or >
 * seq in upper or lower case
 */
char *
getseq(file, len)                                getseq
{
    char *file; /* file name */
    int *len; /* seq len */
{
    char line[1024], *pseq;

```

```

register char    *px, *py;
int             natgc, tlen;
FILE            *fp;

if ((fp = fopen(file,"r")) == 0) {
    fprintf(stderr,"%s: can't read %s\n", prog, file);
    exit(1);
}
tlen = natgc = 0;
while (fgets(line, 1024, fp)) {
    if (*line == ';' || *line == '<' || *line == '>')
        continue;
    for (px = line; *px != '\n'; px++)
        if (isupper(*px) || islower(*px))
            tlen++;
}
if ((pseq = malloc((unsigned)(tlen+6))) == 0) {
    fprintf(stderr,"%s: malloc( ) failed to get %d bytes for %s\n", prog, tlen+6, file);
    exit(1);
}
pseq[0] = pseq[1] = pseq[2] = pseq[3] = '\0';

...getseq

py = pseq + 4;
*len = tlen;
rewind(fp);

while (fgets(line, 1024, fp)) {
    if (*line == ';' || *line == '<' || *line == '>')
        continue;
    for (px = line; *px != '\n'; px++) {
        if (isupper(*px))
            *py++ = *px;
        else if (islower(*px))
            *py++ = toupper(*px);
        if (index("ATGCU",*(py-1)))
            natgc++;
    }
}
*py++ = '\0';

```

```

*py = '\0';
(void) fclose(fp);
dma = natgc > (tlen/3);
return(pseq+4);
}

char *
g_calloc(msg, nx, sz)                                g_calloc
    char *msg;           /* program, calling routine */
    int nx, sz;          /* number and size of elements */
{
    char *px, *calloc( );

    if ((px = calloc((unsigned)nx, (unsigned)sz)) == 0) {
        if (*msg) {
            fprintf(stderr, "%s: g_calloc( ) failed %s (n=%d, sz=%d)\n", prog, msg, nx,
sz);
            exit(1);
        }
    }
    return(px);
}

/*
 * get final jmps from dx[] or tmp file, set pp[], reset dmax: main( )
 */
readjmps( )                                         readjmps
{
    int fd = -1;
    int siz, i0, i1;
    register i, j, xx;

    if (fj) {
        (void) fclose(fj);
        if ((fd = open(jname, O_RDONLY, 0)) < 0) {
            fprintf(stderr, "%s: can't open( ) %s\n", prog, jname);
            cleanup(1);
        }
    }
    for (i = i0 = i1 = 0, dmax0 = dmax, xx = len0; ; i++) {

```

```

while (1) {
    for (j = dx[dmax].jmp; j >= 0 && dx[dmax].jp.x[j] >= xx; j--)
        ;
    ...readjmps
    if (j < 0 && dx[dmax].offset && fj) {
        (void) lseek(fd, dx[dmax].offset, 0);
        (void) read(fd, (char *)&dx[dmax].jp, sizeof(struct jmp));
        (void) read(fd, (char *)&dx[dmax].offset, sizeof(dx[dmax].offset));
        dx[dmax].jmp = MAXJMP-1;
    }
    else
        break;
}
if (i >= JMPS) {
    fprintf(stderr, "%s: too many gaps in alignment\n", prog);
    cleanup(1);
}
if (j >= 0) {
    siz = dx[dmax].jp.n[j];
    xx = dx[dmax].jp.x[j];
    dmax += siz;
    if (siz < 0) { /* gap in second seq */
        pp[1].n[i1] = -siz;
        xx += siz;
        /* id = xx - yy + len1 - 1
        */
        pp[1].x[i1] = xx - dmax + len1 - 1;
        gapy++;
        ngapy -= siz;
    /* ignore MAXGAP when doing endgaps */
    siz = (-siz < MAXGAP || endgaps)? -siz : MAXGAP;
    i1++;
}
    else if (siz > 0) { /* gap in first seq */
        pp[0].n[i0] = siz;
        pp[0].x[i0] = xx;
        gapx++;
        ngapx += siz;
    /* ignore MAXGAP when doing endgaps */
    siz = (siz < MAXGAP || endgaps)? siz : MAXGAP;
}
}

```

```

        i0++;
    }
}
else
    break;
}

/* reverse the order of jmps
 */
for (j = 0, i0--; j < i0; j++, i0--) {
    i = pp[0].n[j]; pp[0].n[j] = pp[0].n[i0]; pp[0].n[i0] = i;
    i = pp[0].x[j]; pp[0].x[j] = pp[0].x[i0]; pp[0].x[i0] = i;
}
for (j = 0, i1--; j < i1; j++, i1--) {
    i = pp[1].n[j]; pp[1].n[j] = pp[1].n[i1]; pp[1].n[i1] = i;
    i = pp[1].x[j]; pp[1].x[j] = pp[1].x[i1]; pp[1].x[i1] = i;
}
if (fd >= 0)
    (void) close(fd);
if (!fj) {
    (void) unlink(jname);
    fj = 0;
    offset = 0;
}
}

/*
 * write a filled jmp struct offset of the prev one (if any): nw( )
 */
writejmps(ix)                                         writejmps
{
    int      ix;
    char    *mktemp( );
    if (!fj) {
        if (mktemp(jname) < 0) {
            fprintf(stderr, "%s: can't mktemp( ) %s\n", prog, jname);
            cleanup(1);
        }
        if ((fj = fopen(jname, "w")) == 0) {
            fprintf(stderr, "%s: can't write %s\n", prog, jname);
            exit(1);
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }
}

(void) fwrite((char *)&dx[ix].jp, sizeof(struct jmp), 1, fj);
(void) fwrite((char *)&dx[ix].offset, sizeof(dx[ix].offset), 1, fj);

```

In situations where ALIGN-2 is employed for amino acid sequence comparisons, the % amino acid sequence identity of a given amino acid sequence A to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B (which can alternatively be phrased as a given amino acid sequence A that has or comprises a certain % amino acid sequence identity to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B) is calculated as follows:

100 times the fraction X/Y

wherein X is the number of amino acid residues scored as identical matches by the sequence alignment program ALIGN-2 in that program's alignment of A and B, and where Y is the total number of amino acid residues in B. It will be appreciated that where the length of amino acid sequence A is not equal to the length of amino acid sequence B, the % amino acid sequence identity of A to B will not equal the % amino acid sequence identity of B to A. As examples of % amino acid sequence identity calculations using this method, Tables 2 and 3 demonstrate how to calculate the % amino acid sequence identity of the amino acid sequence designated "Comparison Protein" to the amino acid sequence designated "BCMA", wherein "BCMA" represents the amino acid sequence of polypeptide of interest, "Comparison Protein" represents the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide against which the "BCMA" polypeptide of interest is being compared, and "X", "Y" and "Z" each represent different hypothetical amino acid residues. Unless specifically stated otherwise, all % amino acid sequence identity values used herein are obtained as described in the immediately preceding paragraph using the ALIGN-2 computer program.

**Table 2**

|  |                    |                           |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|
| BCMA   | XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX | (Length = 15 amino acids) |
| Comparison Protein   | XXXXXXXXYYYYYYYY   | (Length = 12 amino acids) |
| % amino acid sequence identity =   |                    |                           |
| (the number of identically matching amino acid residues between the two polypeptide sequences as determined by ALIGN-2) divided by (the total number of amino acid residues of the BCMA polypeptide) |                    |                           |
| =  |                    |                           |
| 5 divided by 15 = 33.3%  |                    |                           |

**Table 3**

|                                  |                    |                           |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| BCMA                             | XXXXXXXXXXXX       | (Length = 10 amino acids) |
| Comparison Protein               | XXXXXXXXYYYYYYZZYZ | (Length = 15 amino acids) |
| % amino acid sequence identity = |                    |                           |

(the number of identically matching amino acid residues between the two polypeptide sequences as determined by ALIGN-2) divided by (the total number of amino acid residues of the BCMA polypeptide)  
 =  
 5 divided by 10 = 50%

A "native" or "native sequence" polypeptide according to this invention is a polypeptide which has an amino acid sequence that is the same as an amino acid sequence from a polypeptide from nature. Such native polypeptide can be isolated from nature or be produced by recombinant or synthetic means.

A BCMA "variant" or "mutant" according to this invention is derived from all or a portion of a native BCMA ECD amino acid sequence, but does not comprise the exact CRD sequence of the native BCMA polypeptide. According to one embodiment, the BCMA variant contains the same number and relative positions of cysteines of the CRD of a native BCMA. According to another embodiment, a BCMA variant is a polypeptide that has a decreased affinity for BAFF as compared to a native BCMA or an ECD of a native BCMA (e.g., by mutating any one or all of residues corresponding to F14, I22 or Q25 of FIG.5, optionally substituting with alanine). According to another embodiment, a BCMA variant is a polypeptide that has a decreased affinity for APRIL as compared to a native BCMA or an ECD of a native BCMA (e.g., by mutating any one or all of residues corresponding to R27 and Y13 of FIG.5, optionally substituting with alanine). According to another embodiment, a BCMA variant is a polypeptide that has a decreased affinity for APRIL and BAFF as compared to a native BCMA or an ECD of a native BCMA (e.g., by mutating any one or all of residues corresponding to D15, L18 and L17 of FIG.5, optionally substituting with alanine). In one preferred embodiment of this invention, the BCMA variant is derived from a human BCMA.

Alteration(s) to a polypeptide can be made by chemically or physically changing amino acid residue(s) as compared to the unaltered form of the polypeptide, for example, by substitution with other amino acid residue(s), other mutation such as deletion of residue(s), or chemical addition or modification of residue(s). Recombinant nucleic acid methods are useful for altering polypeptides so that the polypeptide expressed from the engineered nucleic acid molecule contains the alteration (e.g., substitution and deletion of residues). Alterations of a residue can also be achieved by direct change to a polynucleotide of interest, e.g., by chemical reaction with amino acid residue(s). It should be understood that a polypeptide of this invention can have additional alterations, other than those alterations specifically disclosed herein, as compared to a native sequence BCMA.

A BCMA "extracellular domain" or "ECD" refers to a form of the BCMA polypeptide which is essentially free of the BCMA transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains. Examples of ECD of BCMA include residues 1-52 or 5-51 of the human BCMA described in FIG.5.

A soluble polypeptide according to this invention (example soluble BCMA) is a polypeptide that is soluble in water and lacks appreciable affinity for lipids. A soluble BCMA polypeptide can be made, e.g., by removing the transmembrane domain of BCMA and optionally removing the cytoplasmic domain.

The BAFF polypeptide signaling promotes, among other things, B cell survival and B cell maturation. The inhibition, blockage or neutralization of BAFF signaling results in, among other things,

the partial or full block, inhibition, or neutralization of one or more biological activities of a BAFF polypeptide, *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Biologically active BAFF can potentiate any one or combination of the following events: an increased survival of B cells, an increased level of IgG and/or IgM, an increased numbers of plasma cells, and processing of NF- $\kappa$ b2/100 to p52 NF- $\kappa$ b in splenic B cells (e.g., Batten, M et al., (2000) *J. Exp. Med.* 192:1453-1465; Moore, et al., (1999) *Science* 285:260-263; Kayagaki, et al., *Immunity* (2002) 10:515-524).

Inhibition, blockage or neutralization of APRIL signaling can be identified by using any one or combination of methods known in the art. For example, an inhibitor can partially or fully block, inhibit, or neutralize (1) costimulation of primary B and T cells by APRIL *in vitro* or (2) stimulation of IgM production from peripheral blood B cells by APRIL (Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat. Immunol.* 1:252-256; Marsters, S.A., et al., (2000) *Curr. Biol.* 10:785-788). In another example, an inhibitor can reduce the expansion of the B cell population and T cell activation after administration of APRIL *in vivo* (Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat. Immunol.* 1:252-256). In yet another example, an inhibitor can be tested for the reduction of the growth of a tumor implanted into mice after administration with the inhibitor (Rennert, P., et al. (2000) *J. Exp. Med.* 192:1677-1683).

The term "BLyS," "BLyS polypeptide," or "BAFF" specifically encompasses naturally-occurring full-length, truncated, secreted and soluble forms (e.g., an extracellular domain sequence) of mammalian BAFF, naturally-occurring variant forms (e.g., alternatively spliced forms), naturally-occurring allelic variants of mammalian BAFF and recombinantly expressed full-length, truncated, secreted and soluble forms of BAFF having an amino acid sequence of a naturally-occurring BAFF.

Examples of "BAFF polypeptides" are shown below:

Human BAFF sequence

MDDSTEREQS RLTSLKKRE EMKLKECVSI LPRKESPSVR SSKDGKLLAA TLLLALLSCC  
LTVVSFYQVA ALQGDLASLR AELQGHHAEK LPAGAGAPKA GLEEAPAVTA GLKIFEPPAP  
GEGNSSQNSR NKRAVQGPEE TVTQDCLQLI ADSETPTIQQK GSYTFVPWLL SFKRGSALEE  
KENKILVKET GYFFIYGQVL YTDKTYAMGH LIQRKKVHVF GDELSLVTLF RCIQNMPETL  
PNNSCYSAGI AKLEEGDELQ LAIPRENAQI SLDGDVTFFG ALKLL

Mouse BAFF sequence

MDESAKTLPP PCLCFCSEKG EDMKVGYDPI TPQKEEGAWF GICRDGRLLA ATLLLALLSS  
SFTAMSLYQL AALQADLMNL RMELQSYRGS ATPAAAGAPE LTGKVLLTP AAPRPHNSSL  
GHRNRRAFQG PEETEQDVNL SAPPAPCLPG CRHSQHDDNG MNLRNIIQDC LQLIADSDTP  
TIRKGTYTFV PWLLSFKRGN ALEEKENKIV VRQTGYFFIY SQVLYTDPF AMGHVIQRKK  
VHVGDELSL VTLFRCIQNM PKTLPNNSCY SAGIARLEEG DEIQLAIPRE NAQISRNGDD  
TFFGALKLL

The term "APRIL polypeptide," "APRIL," and "APRIL protein" specifically encompasses naturally-occurring full-length, truncated, secreted and soluble forms (e.g., an extracellular domain sequence) of mammalian APRIL, naturally-occurring variant forms (e.g., alternatively spliced forms), naturally-occurring allelic variants of mammalian APRIL and recombinantly expressed full-length, truncated, secreted and soluble forms of APRIL having an amino acid sequence of a naturally-occurring APRIL. The term "APRIL" includes those polypeptides described in Hahne et al., *J. Exp. Med.*, 188:1185-1190 (1998); GenBank Accession No. AF046888; WO 99/00518 published January 7, 1999; WO 99/12965 published March 18, 1999; WO 99/33980 published July 8, 1999; WO 97/33902

published September 18, 1997; WO 99/11791 published March 11, 1999; EP 911,633 published March 28, 1999; and WO99/50416 published October 7, 1999.

Examples of APRIL polypeptides are shown below:

**Human APRIL sequence**

MPASSPFLLAPKGPPGNMGGPVREPALSVALWLSWGAALGAVACAMALLTQQTELQLRREVS  
RLQGTGGPSQNCEGYPWQSLPEQSSDALEAWENGERSRKRAVLTQKQKKQHSLVHLVPINAT  
SKDDSDVTEVMWQPALRRGRGLQAQGYGVRIQDAGVYLLYSQVLQDVTFTMGQVVSREGQ  
GRQETLFRCIRSMPSHPDRAYNSCYSAGVFHLHQGDLISVIIPRARAKLNLSPHGTFLGFVKL

**Mouse APRIL sequence**

MPASSPGHMGGSVREPALSVALWLSWGAVLGAVTCAVALLIQQTELQLRREVSRLQRSGGPS  
QKQGERPWQSLWEQSPDVLEAWKDGAKSRRRAVLTQKHKKKHSLVHLVPVNITSKDSDVTE  
VMWQPVLRRGRGLEAQGDIVRVWDTGIYLLYSQVLQDVTFTMGQVVSREGQGRRETLFRCIR  
SMPSPDPDRAYNSCYSAGVFHLHQGDIITVKIPRANAKLSSLSPHGTFLGFVKL

According to one embodiment, an inhibitor according this invention is a "small" molecule or a "small organic" molecule that is 1500 daltons or less.

The term "antibody" is used in the broadest sense and specifically covers, for example, monoclonal antibodies, polyclonal antibodies, antibodies with polyepitopic specificity, single chain antibodies, and fragments of antibodies. According to one embodiment, a polypeptide of this invention is fused into an antibody framework, for example, in the variable region or in a CDR such that the antibody can bind to and inhibit a ligand binding to its receptor. The antibodies comprising a polypeptide of this invention can be chimeric, humanized, or human. The antibodies comprising a polypeptide of this invention can be an antibody fragment. Antibodies and methods of generating them are described in more detail below.

The term "monoclonal antibody" as used herein refers to an antibody obtained from a population of substantially homogeneous antibodies, i.e., the individual antibodies comprising the population are identical except for possible naturally occurring mutations that can be present in minor amounts. Monoclonal antibodies are highly specific, being directed against a single antigenic site. Furthermore, in contrast to conventional (polyclonal) antibody preparations which typically include different antibodies directed against different determinants (epitopes), each monoclonal antibody is directed against a single determinant on the antigen. The modifier "monoclonal" indicates the character of the antibody as being obtained from a substantially homogeneous population of antibodies, and is not to be construed as requiring production of the antibody by any particular method. For example, the monoclonal antibodies to be used in accordance with the present invention may be made by recombinant DNA methods (see, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567). The "monoclonal antibodies" can also be isolated from phage antibody libraries using the techniques described in Clackson et al., *Nature*, 352:624-628 (1991) and Marks et al., *J. Mol. Biol.*, 222:581-597 (1991), for example.

The monoclonal antibodies herein specifically include "chimeric" antibodies (immunoglobulins) in which a portion of the heavy and/or light chain is identical with or homologous to corresponding sequences in antibodies derived from a particular species or belonging to a particular antibody class or subclass, while the remainder of the chain(s) is identical with or homologous to corresponding sequences in antibodies derived from another species or belonging to another antibody class or subclass, as well as

fragments of such antibodies, so long as they exhibit the desired biological activity (U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567; Morrison et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 81:6851-6855 (1984)). Methods of making chimeric antibodies are known in the art.

"Humanized" forms of non-human antibodies are chimeric immunoglobulins, immunoglobulin chains or fragments thereof (such as Fv, Fab, Fab', F(ab')2 or other antigen-binding subsequences of antibodies) which contain minimal sequence derived from non-human immunoglobulin. For the most part, humanized antibodies are human immunoglobulins (recipient antibody) in which residues from a complementarity-determining region (CDR) of the recipient are replaced by residues from a CDR of a non-human species (donor antibody) such as mouse, rat or rabbit or another source having the desired specificity, affinity, and capacity. In some instances, Fv framework region (FR) residues of the human immunoglobulin are replaced by corresponding non-human residues. Furthermore, humanized antibodies can comprise residues which are found neither in the recipient antibody nor in the imported CDR or framework sequences. These modifications are made to further refine and maximize antibody performance. In general, the humanized antibody will comprise substantially all of at least one, and typically two, variable domains, in which all or substantially all of the CDR regions correspond to those of a non-human immunoglobulin and all or substantially all of the FR regions are those of a human immunoglobulin sequence. The humanized antibody optimally also will comprise at least a portion of an immunoglobulin constant region (Fc), typically that of a human immunoglobulin. For further details, see Jones et al., *Nature*, 321:522-525 (1986); Reichmann et al., *Nature*, 332:323-329 (1988); and Presta, L., *Curr. Op. Struct. Biol.*, 2:593-596 (1992). Methods of making humanized antibodies are known in the art.

Human antibodies can also be produced using various techniques known in the art, including phage-display libraries. Hoogenboom and Winter, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 227:381 (1991); Marks et al., *J. Mol. Biol.*, 222:581 (1991). The techniques of Cole et al. and Boerner et al. are also available for the preparation of human monoclonal antibodies. Cole et al., *Monoclonal Antibodies and Cancer Therapy*, Alan R. Liss, p. 77 (1985); Boerner et al., *J. Immunol.*, 147(1):86-95 (1991).

A "composition" of this invention comprises a polypeptide of this invention, optionally in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The composition can further comprise an additional therapeutic agent to treat the indication intended. In one embodiment, the composition comprises a second therapeutic agent selected from a drug for treating an immune-related disease and a drug for treating a cancer. In another embodiment, the drug for treating a cancer is selected from the group consisting of a cytotoxic agent, a chemotherapeutic agent, a growth inhibiting agent and a chemotherapeutic agent.

"Carriers" as used herein include pharmaceutically acceptable carriers, excipients, or stabilizers which are nontoxic to the cell or mammal being exposed thereto at the dosages and concentrations employed. Often the physiologically acceptable carrier is an aqueous pH buffered solution. Examples of physiologically acceptable carriers include buffers such as phosphate, citrate, and other organic acids; antioxidants including ascorbic acid; low molecular weight (less than about 10 residues) polypeptide; proteins, such as serum albumin, gelatin, or immunoglobulins; hydrophilic polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone; amino acids such as glycine, glutamine, asparagine, arginine or lysine;

monosaccharides, disaccharides, and other carbohydrates including glucose, mannose, or dextrins; chelating agents such as EDTA; sugar alcohols such as mannitol or sorbitol; salt-forming counterions such as sodium; and/or nonionic surfactants such as TWEEN™, polyethylene glycol (PEG), and PLURONICSTM.

The word "label" or "detection agent" when used herein refers to a detectable compound or composition which is conjugated directly or indirectly to the polypeptide, antibody, antagonist or composition so as to generate a "labeled" a polypeptide, antibody, antagonist or composition. The label can be detectable by itself (e.g. radioisotope labels or fluorescent labels) or, in the case of an enzymatic label, can catalyze chemical alteration of a substrate compound or composition which is detectable (e.g., by FRET).

Various tag polypeptides and their respective antibodies are well known in the art. Tagged polypeptides and antibodies of this invention are contemplated. Examples include poly-histidine (poly-his) or poly-histidine-glycine (poly-his-gly) tags; the flu HA tag polypeptide and its antibody 12CA5 [Field et al., *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 8:2159-2165 (1988)]; the c-myc tag and the 8F9, 3C7, 6E10, G4, B7 and 9E10 antibodies thereto [Evan et al., *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 5:3610-3616 (1985)]; and the Herpes Simplex virus glycoprotein D (gD) tag and its antibody [Paborsky et al., *Protein Engineering*, 3(6):547-553 (1990)]. The FLAG-peptide [Hopp et al., *BioTechnology*, 6:1204-1210 (1988)] is recognized by an anti-FLAG M2 monoclonal antibody (Eastman Kodak Co., New Haven, CT). Purification of a protein containing the FLAG peptide can be performed by immunoaffinity chromatography using an affinity matrix comprising the anti-FLAG M2 monoclonal antibody covalently attached to agarose (Eastman Kodak Co., New Haven, CT). Other tag polypeptides include the KT3 epitope peptide [Martin et al., *Science*, 255:192-194 (1992)]; an  $\alpha$ -tubulin epitope peptide [Skinner et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 266:15163-15166 (1991)]; and the T7 gene 10 protein peptide tag [Lutz-Freyermuth et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 87:6393-6397 (1990)].

The term "cytotoxic agent" as used herein refers to a substance that inhibits or prevents the function of cells and/or causes destruction of cells. The term is intended to include radioactive isotopes (e.g. At<sup>211</sup>, I<sup>131</sup>, I<sup>125</sup>, Y<sup>90</sup>, Re<sup>186</sup>, Re<sup>188</sup>, Sm<sup>153</sup>, Bi<sup>212</sup>, P<sup>32</sup> and radioactive isotopes of Lu), chemotherapeutic agents e.g. methotrexate, adriamycin, vinca alkaloids (vincristine, vinblastine, etoposide), doxorubicin, melphalan, mitomycin C, chlorambucil, daunorubicin or other intercalating agents, enzymes and fragments thereof such as nucleolytic enzymes, antibiotics, and toxins such as small molecule toxins or enzymatically active toxins of bacterial, fungal, plant or animal origin, including fragments and/or variants thereof, and the various antitumor or anticancer agents disclosed below. Other cytotoxic agents are described below.

A "chemotherapeutic agent" is a chemical compound useful in the treatment of cancer. Examples of chemotherapeutic agents include alkylating agents such as thiotepa and cyclophosphamide (CYTOXANTM); alkyl sulfonates such as busulfan, improsulfan and piposulfan; aziridines such as benzodopa, carboquone, meturedopa, and uredopa; ethylenimines and methylamelamines including altretamine, triethylenemelamine, triethylenephosphoramide, triethylenethiophosphoramide and trimethylololomelamine; nitrogen mustards such as chlorambucil, chlornaphazine, chlophosphamide, estramustine, ifosfamide, mechlorethamine, mechlorethamine oxide hydrochloride, melphalan,

novembichin, phenesterine, prednimustine, trofosfamide, uracil mustard; nitrosureas such as carmustine, chlorozotocin, fotemustine, lomustine, nimustine, ranimustine; antibiotics such as aclacinomysins, actinomycin, authramycin, azaserine, bleomycins, cactinomycin, calicheamicin, carabicin, carminomycin, carzinophilin, chromomycins, dactinomycin, daunorubicin, detorubicin, 6-diazo-5-oxo-L-norleucine, doxorubicin, epirubicin, esorubicin, idarubicin, marcellomycin, mitomycins, mycophenolic acid, nogalamycin, olivomycins, peplomycin, potfiromycin, puromycin, quelamycin, rodothiocarb, streptonigrin, streptozocin, tubercidin, ubenimex, zinostatin, zorubicin; anti-metabolites such as methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU); folic acid analogues such as denopterin, methotrexate, pteropterin, trimetrexate; purine analogs such as fludarabine, 6-mercaptopurine, thiamiprime, thioguanine; pyrimidine analogs such as ancitabine, azacitidine, 6-azauridine, carmofur, cytarabine, dideoxyuridine, doxifluridine, enocitabine, floxuridine, 5-FU; androgens such as calusterone, dromostanolone propionate, epitostanol, mepitiostane, testolactone; anti-adrenals such as aminoglutethimide, mitotane, trilostane; folic acid replenisher such as folinic acid; aceglatone; aldophosphamide glycoside; aminolevulinic acid; amsacrine; bestrabucil; bisantrene; edatraxate; defofamine; demecolcine; diaziquone; el fornithine; elliptinium acetate; etogucid; gallium nitrate; hydroxyurea; lentinan; lonidamine; mitoguazone; mitoxantrone; moperidol; nitracrine; pentostatin; phenacetin; pirarubicin; podophyllinic acid; 2-ethylhydrazide; procarbazine; PSK®; razoxane; sizofiran; spirogermanium; tenuazonic acid; triaziquone; 2, 2', 2"-trichlorotriethylamine; urethan; vindesine; dacarbazine; mannomustine; mitobronitol; mitolactol; pipobroman; gacytosine; arabinoside ("Ara-C"); cyclophosphamide; thiotepa; taxoids, *e.g.* paclitaxel (TAXOL®, Bristol-Myers Squibb Oncology, Princeton, NJ) and doxetaxel (TAXOTERE®, Rhône-Poulenc Rorer, Antony, France); chlorambucil; gemcitabine; 6-thioguanine; mercaptopurine; methotrexate; platinum analogs such as cisplatin and carboplatin; vinblastine; platinum; etoposide (VP-16); ifosfamide; mitomycin C; mitoxantrone; vincristine; vinorelbine; navelbine; novantrone; teniposide; daunomycin; aminopterin; xeloda; ibandronate; CPT-11; topoisomerase inhibitor RFS 2000; difluoromethylornithine (DMFO); retinoic acid; esperamicins; capecitabine; and pharmaceutically acceptable salts, acids or derivatives of any of the above. Also included in this definition are anti-hormonal agents that act to regulate or inhibit hormone action on tumors such as anti-estrogens including for example tamoxifen, raloxifene, aromatase inhibiting 4(5)-imidazoles, 4-hydroxytamoxifen, trioxifene, keoxifene, LY117018, onapristone, and toremifene (Fareston); and anti-androgens such as flutamide, nilutamide, bicalutamide, leuprolide, and goserelin; and pharmaceutically acceptable salts, acids or derivatives of any of the above. esperamicins (see U.S. Pat. No. 4,675,187), melphalan and other related nitrogen mustards. Also included in this definition are hormonal agents that act to regulate or inhibit hormone action such as tamoxifen and onapristone.

A "growth inhibitory agent" when used herein refers to a compound or composition which inhibits growth of a cell, either *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Examples of growth inhibitory agents include agents that block cell cycle progression (at a place other than S phase), such as agents that induce G1 arrest and M-phase arrest. Classical M-phase blockers include the vincas (vincristine and vinblastine), taxol, and topo II inhibitors such as doxorubicin, epirubicin, daunorubicin, etoposide, and bleomycin. Those agents that arrest G1 also spill over into S-phase arrest, for example, DNA alkylating agents such as tamoxifen,

prednisone, dacarbazine, mechlorethamine, cisplatin, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, and ara-C. Further information can be found in *The Molecular Basis of Cancer*, Mendelsohn and Israel, eds. Chapter 1, entitled "Cell cycle regulation, oncogens, and antineoplastic drugs" by Murakami et al. (WB Saunders: Philadelphia, 1995), especially p. 13.

"Isolated," when used to describe the various proteins disclosed herein, means protein that has been identified and separated and/or recovered away from a component of its natural environment. Contaminant components of its natural environment are materials that would typically interfere with diagnostic or therapeutic uses for the protein, and may include enzymes, hormones, and other proteinaceous or non-proteinaceous solutes. In preferred embodiments, the protein will be purified (1) to a degree sufficient to obtain at least 15 residues of N-terminal or internal amino acid sequence by use of a spinning cup sequenator, or (2) to homogeneity by SDS-PAGE under non-reducing or reducing conditions using Coomassie blue or, preferably, silver stain. Isolated protein includes protein *in situ* within recombinant cells, since at least one component of the protein natural environment will not be present. Ordinarily, however, isolated protein will be prepared by at least one purification step.

A "heterologous" component refers to a component that is introduced into or produced within a different entity from that in which it is naturally located. For example, a polynucleotide derived from one organism and introduced by genetic engineering techniques into a different organism is a heterologous polynucleotide which, if expressed, can encode a heterologous polypeptide. Similarly, a promoter or enhancer that is removed from its native coding sequence and operably linked to a different coding sequence is a heterologous promoter or enhancer. Similarly, a non-BCMA polypeptide sequence that is fused to a BCMA polypeptide is a heterologous protein sequence.

"Treatment" or "therapy" refer to both therapeutic treatment and prophylactic or preventative measures.

"Mammal" for purposes of treatment or therapy refers to any animal classified as a mammal, including humans, domestic and farm animals, and zoo, sports, or pet animals, such as dogs, horses, cats, cows, etc. Preferably, the mammal is human.

The term "therapeutically effective amount" refers to an amount of a composition of this invention effective to "alleviate" or "treat" a disease or disorder in a subject or mammal. In one embodiment, if the immune-disease to be treated is a B-cell mediated disease, it is an amount that results in the reduction in the number of B cells (B cell depletion) in the mammal. In the case of cancer, the therapeutically effective amount of the drug can reduce the number of cancer cells; reduce the tumor size; inhibit (*i.e.*, slow to some extent and preferably stop) cancer cell infiltration into peripheral organs; inhibit (*i.e.*, slow to some extent and preferably stop) tumor metastasis; inhibit, to some extent, tumor growth; and/or relieve to some extent one or more of the symptoms associated with the cancer. To the extent the drug may prevent growth and/or kill existing cancer cells, it may be cytostatic and/or cytotoxic.

The terms "cancer", "cancerous", and "malignant" refer to or describe the physiological condition in mammals that is typically characterized by unregulated cell growth. Examples of cancer include but are not limited to, carcinoma including adenocarcinoma, lymphoma, blastoma, melanoma, sarcoma, and leukemia. More particular examples of such cancers include squamous cell cancer, small-

cell lung cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, pancreatic cancer, glioblastoma, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, liver cancer such as hepatic carcinoma and hepatoma, bladder cancer, breast cancer, colon cancer, colorectal cancer, endometrial carcinoma, salivary gland carcinoma, kidney cancer such as renal cell carcinoma and Wilms' tumors, basal cell carcinoma, melanoma, prostate cancer, vulval cancer, thyroid cancer, testicular cancer, esophageal cancer, and various types of head and neck cancer. Optionally, the cancer will express, or have associated with the cancer cell, increased BAFF, APRIL and/or BCMA levels. In one embodiment, the cancers for treatment herein include lymphoma, leukemia and myeloma, and subtypes thereof, such as Burkitt's lymphoma, multiple myeloma, acute lymphoblastic or lymphocytic leukemia, non-Hodgkin's and Hodgkin's lymphoma, and acute myeloid leukemia.

The term "immune related disease" means a disease in which a component of the immune system of a mammal causes, mediates or otherwise contributes to a morbidity in the mammal. Also included are diseases in which stimulation or intervention of the immune response has an ameliorative effect on progression of the disease. Included within this term are autoimmune diseases, immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, non-immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, infectious diseases, and immunodeficiency diseases. Examples of immune-related and inflammatory diseases, some of which are immune or T cell mediated, which can be treated according to the invention include I, rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile chronic arthritis, spondyloarthropathies, systemic sclerosis (scleroderma), idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (dermatomyositis, polymyositis), Sjogren's syndrome, systemic vasculitis, sarcoidosis, autoimmune hemolytic anemia (immune pancytopenia, paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria), autoimmune thrombocytopenia (idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, immune-mediated thrombocytopenia), thyroiditis (Grave's disease, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, juvenile lymphocytic thyroiditis, atrophic thyroiditis), diabetes mellitus, immune-mediated renal disease (glomerulonephritis, tubulointerstitial nephritis), demyelinating diseases of the central and peripheral nervous systems such as multiple sclerosis, idiopathic demyelinating polyneuropathy or Guillain-Barré syndrome, and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, hepatobiliary diseases such as infectious hepatitis (hepatitis A, B, C, D, E and other non-hepatotropic viruses), autoimmune chronic active hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, granulomatous hepatitis, and sclerosing cholangitis, inflammatory and fibrotic lung diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease (ulcerative colitis: Crohn's disease), gluten-sensitive enteropathy, and Whipple's disease, autoimmune or immune-mediated skin diseases including bullous skin diseases, erythema multiforme and contact dermatitis, psoriasis, allergic diseases such as asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis, food hypersensitivity and urticaria, immunologic diseases of the lung such as eosinophilic pneumonias, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and hypersensitivity pneumonitis, transplantation associated diseases including graft rejection and graft-versus-host-disease. Infectious diseases include AIDS (HIV infection), hepatitis A, B, C, D, and E, bacterial infections, fungal infections, protozoal infections and parasitic infections.

"Autoimmune disease" is used herein in a broad, general sense to refer to disorders or conditions in mammals in which destruction of normal or healthy tissue arises from humoral or cellular immune responses of the individual mammal to his or her own tissue constituents. Examples include,

but are not limited to, lupus erythematosus, thyroiditis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, multiple sclerosis, autoimmune diabetes, and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

As used herein, "B cell depletion" refers to a reduction in B cell levels in an animal or human after drug or antibody treatment, as compared to the level before treatment. B cell levels are measurable using well known assays such as by getting a complete blood count, by FACS analysis staining for known B cell markers, and by methods such as described in the Experimental Examples. B cell depletion can be partial or complete. In a patient receiving a B cell depleting drug, B cells are generally depleted for the duration of time when the drug is circulating in the patient's body and the time for recovery of B cells.

The term "T-cell mediated" disease means a disease in which T cells directly or indirectly mediate or otherwise contribute to the morbidity in a mammal. The T cell mediated disease may be associated with cell mediated effects, lymphokine mediated effects, etc. and even effects associated with B cells if the B cells are stimulated, for example, by the lymphokines secreted by T cells.

#### Methods and Materials

Generally, the methods of the invention for inhibiting BAFF or APRIL binding their receptors in mammalian cells comprise exposing the cells to a desired amount of a polypeptide of this invention which fully or partially blocks BAFF or APRIL interaction with their receptors. In one embodiment, the amount of polypeptide employed will be an amount effective to affect the binding and/or activity of BAFF or APRIL to achieve a therapeutic effect. This can be accomplished *in vitro* or *in vivo* in accordance, for instance, with the methods described below and in the Examples. Exemplary conditions or disorders to be treated with a polypeptide of this invention include conditions in mammals clinically referred to as autoimmune diseases, including but not limited to rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, psoriasis, and lupus or other pathological conditions, including cancer and T-cell mediated diseases.

Diagnostic methods are also provided herein. For instance, the polypeptides of the invention can be used to detect APRIL or BAFF in mammals or *in vitro* assays, including detection in mammals known to be or suspected of having a BAFF or APRIL – related pathological condition or expressing abnormal amounts of APRIL or BAFF (e.g., lupus patients and NZB/WF1 mice). According to one embodiment, polypeptides of this invention are used, e.g., in immunoassays to detect or quantitate BAFF or APRIL in a sample. According to another embodiment, a sample, such as cells obtained from a mammal, can be incubated in the presence of a labeled polypeptide of this invention, and detection of the labeled polypeptide is performed. Such assays, including various clinical assay procedures, are known in the art, for instance as described in Voller et al., *Immunoassays*, University Park, 1981.

#### A. MATERIALS

According to one embodiment, the polypeptides of this invention are selected from the group consisting of covalently modified forms of the polypeptides (e.g., immunoadhesins, labeled polypeptides, protected polypeptides, conjugated polypeptides etc.). Various techniques that are employed for making these forms of polypeptides are described below. Methods for labeling polypeptides and conjugating molecules to polypeptides are known in the art.

Compositions of the invention can be prepared using recombinant techniques known in the art. The description below relates to methods of producing such polypeptides by culturing host cells

transformed or transfected with a vector containing the encoding nucleic acid and recovering the polypeptide from the cell culture. (See, e.g., Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1989); Dieffenbach *et al.*, *PCR Primer: A Laboratory Manual* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1995)).

The nucleic acid (e.g., cDNA or genomic DNA) encoding the desired polypeptide can be inserted into a replicable vector for further cloning (amplification of the DNA) or for expression. Various vectors are publicly available. The vector components generally include, but are not limited to, one or more of the following: a signal sequence, an origin of replication, one or more marker genes, an enhancer element, a promoter, and a transcription termination sequence, each of which is described below. Optional signal sequences, origins of replication, marker genes, enhancer elements and transcription terminator sequences that can be employed are known in the art and described in further detail in WO97/25428.

Expression and cloning vectors usually contain a promoter that is recognized by the host organism and is operably linked to the encoding nucleic acid sequence. Promoters are untranslated sequences located upstream (5') to the start codon of a structural gene (generally within about 100 to 1000 bp) that control the transcription and translation of a particular nucleic acid sequence, to which they are operably linked. Such promoters typically fall into two classes, inducible and constitutive. Inducible promoters are promoters that initiate increased levels of transcription from DNA under their control in response to some change in culture conditions, e.g., the presence or absence of a nutrient or a change in temperature. At this time a large number of promoters recognized by a variety of potential host cells are well known. These promoters are operably linked to the encoding DNA by removing the promoter from the source DNA by restriction enzyme digestion and inserting the isolated promoter sequence into the vector.

Promoters suitable for use with prokaryotic and eukaryotic hosts are known in the art. Construction of suitable vectors containing one or more of the above-listed components employs standard ligation techniques. Isolated plasmids or DNA fragments are cleaved, tailored, and re-ligated in the form desired to generate the plasmids required. For analysis to confirm correct sequences in plasmids constructed, the ligation mixtures can be used to transform *E. coli* K12 strain 294 (ATCC 31,446) and successful transformants selected by ampicillin or tetracycline resistance where appropriate. Plasmids from the transformants are prepared, analyzed by restriction endonuclease digestion, and/or sequenced using standard techniques known in the art. [See, e.g., Messing *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 9:309 (1981); Maxam *et al.*, *Methods in Enzymology*, 65:499 (1980)].

Expression vectors that provide for the transient expression in mammalian cells of the encoding DNA can be employed. In general, transient expression involves the use of an expression vector that is able to replicate efficiently in a host cell, such that the host cell accumulates many copies of the expression vector and, in turn, synthesizes high levels of a desired polypeptide encoded by the expression vector [Sambrook *et al.*, *supra*]. Transient expression systems, comprising a suitable expression vector and a host cell, allow for the convenient positive identification of polypeptides encoded by cloned DNAs, as well as for the rapid screening of such polypeptides for desired biological or physiological properties.

Other methods, vectors, and host cells suitable for adaptation to the synthesis of the desired polypeptide in recombinant vertebrate cell culture are described in Gething et al., *Nature*, 293:620-625 (1981); Mantei et al., *Nature*, 281:40-46 (1979); EP 117,060; and EP 117,058.

Suitable host cells for cloning or expressing the DNA in the vectors herein include prokaryote, yeast, or higher eukaryote cells. Suitable prokaryotes for this purpose include but are not limited to eubacteria, such as Gram-negative or Gram-positive organisms, for example, Enterobacteriaceae such as Escherichia, e.g., *E. coli*, Enterobacter, Erwinia, Klebsiella, Proteus, Salmonella, e.g., *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Serratia*, e.g., *Serratia marcescans*, and *Shigella*, as well as Bacilli such as *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* (e.g., *B. licheniformis* 41P disclosed in DD 266,710 published 12 April 1989), *Pseudomonas* such as *P. aeruginosa*, and *Streptomyces*. Preferably, the host cell secrete minimal amounts of proteolytic enzymes.

In addition to prokaryotes, eukaryotic microbes such as filamentous fungi or yeast are suitable cloning or expression hosts for vectors. Suitable host cells for the expression of glycosylated polypeptide are derived from multicellular organisms. Examples of all such host cells are described further in WO97/25428.

Host cells are transfected and preferably transformed with the above-described expression or cloning vectors and cultured in nutrient media modified as appropriate for inducing promoters, selecting transformants, or amplifying the genes encoding the desired sequences.

Transfection refers to the taking up of an expression vector by a host cell whether or not any coding sequences are in fact expressed. Numerous methods of transfection are known to the ordinarily skilled artisan, for example, CaPO4 and electroporation. Successful transfection is generally recognized when any indication of the operation of this vector occurs within the host cell.

Transformation means introducing DNA into an organism so that the DNA is replicable, either as an extrachromosomal element or by chromosomal integrant. Depending on the host cell used, transformation is done using standard techniques appropriate to such cells. The calcium treatment employing calcium chloride, as described in Sambrook et al., *supra*, or electroporation is generally used for prokaryotes or other cells that contain substantial cell-wall barriers. Infection with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is used for transformation of certain plant cells, as described by Shaw et al., *Gene*, 23:315 (1983) and WO 89/05859 published 29 June 1989. In addition, plants can be transfected using ultrasound treatment as described in WO 91/00358 published 10 January 1991.

For mammalian cells without such cell walls, the calcium phosphate precipitation method of Graham and van der Eb, *Virology*, 52:456-457 (1978) can be employed. General aspects of mammalian cell host system transformations have been described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,399,216. Transformations into yeast are typically carried out according to the method of Van Solingen et al., *J. Bact.*, 130:946 (1977) and Hsiao et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)*, 76:3829 (1979). However, other methods for introducing DNA into cells, such as by nuclear microinjection, electroporation, bacterial protoplast fusion with intact cells, or polycations, e.g., polybrene, polyornithine, can also be used. For various techniques for transforming mammalian cells, see Keown et al., *Methods in Enzymology*, 185:527-537 (1990) and Mansour et al., *Nature*, 336:348-352 (1988).

Prokaryotic cells can be cultured in suitable culture media as described generally in Sambrook et al., *supra*. Examples of commercially available culture media include Ham's F10 (Sigma), Minimal Essential Medium ("MEM", Sigma), RPMI-1640 (Sigma), and Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium ("DMEM", Sigma). Any such media may be supplemented as necessary with hormones and/or other growth factors (such as insulin, transferrin, or epidermal growth factor), salts (such as sodium chloride, calcium, magnesium, and phosphate), buffers (such as HEPES), nucleosides (such as adenosine and thymidine), antibiotics (such as GentamycinTM drug), trace elements (defined as inorganic compounds usually present at final concentrations in the micromolar range), and glucose or an equivalent energy source. Any other necessary supplements may also be included at appropriate concentrations that would be known to those skilled in the art. The culture conditions, such as temperature, pH, and the like, are those previously used with the host cell selected for expression, and will be apparent to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

In general, principles, protocols, and practical techniques for maximizing the productivity of mammalian cell cultures can be found in *Mammalian Cell Biotechnology: A Practical Approach*, M. Butler, ed. (IRL Press, 1991).

The expressed polypeptides can be recovered from the culture medium as a secreted polypeptide, although may also can be recovered from host cell lysates when directly produced without a secretory signal. If the polypeptide is membrane-bound, it can be released from the membrane using a suitable detergent solution (e.g. Triton-X 100) or its extracellular region can be released by enzymatic cleavage.

When the polypeptide is produced in a recombinant cell other than one of human origin, it is free of proteins or polypeptides of human origin. However, it is usually necessary to recover or purify the polypeptide from recombinant cell proteins or polypeptides to obtain preparations that are substantially homogeneous. As a first step, the culture medium or lysate may be centrifuged to remove particulate cell debris. The following are procedures exemplary of suitable purification procedures: by fractionation on an ion-exchange column; ethanol precipitation; hydrophobic interaction chromatography; reverse phase HPLC; chromatography on silica or on a cation-exchange resin such as DEAE; chromatofocusing; SDS-PAGE; ammonium sulfate precipitation; gel filtration using, for example, Sephadex G-75; and protein A Sepharose columns to remove contaminants such as IgG.

Variants according to this invention can be prepared by introducing appropriate nucleotide changes into the DNA, and/or by synthesis of the polypeptide (e.g., Kunkel mutagenesis). Those skilled in the art will appreciate that amino acid changes may alter post-translational processes of the polypeptide, such as changing the number or position of glycosylation sites or altering the membrane anchoring characteristics.

Variations in polypeptides described herein, can be made, for example, using any of the techniques and guidelines for conservative and non-conservative mutations set forth, for instance, in U.S. Patent No. 5,364,934. Variations can be a substitution, deletion or insertion of one or more codons encoding the polypeptide that results in a change in the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide. Amino acid substitutions can be the result of replacing one amino acid with another amino acid having similar structural and/or chemical properties, such as the replacement of a leucine with a serine, i.e., conservative

amino acid replacements. Insertions or deletions can optionally be in the range of about 1 to 5 amino acids. The variation allowed can be determined by systematically making insertions, deletions or substitutions of amino acids in the sequence and testing the resulting variants for activity exhibited by the full-length or mature native sequence.

Table 4

| Original Residue | Exemplary Substitutions             | Preferred Substitutions |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ala (A)          | val; leu; ile                       | val                     |
| Arg (R)          | lys; gln; asn                       | lys                     |
| Asn (N)          | gln; his; asp, lys; arg             | gln                     |
| Asp (D)          | glu; asn                            | glu                     |
| Cys (C)          | ser; ala                            | ser                     |
| Gln (Q)          | asn; glu                            | asn                     |
| Glu (E)          | asp; gln                            | asp                     |
| Gly (G)          | ala                                 | ala                     |
| His (H)          | asn; gln; lys; arg                  | arg                     |
| Ile (I)          | leu; val; met; ala; phe; norleucine | leu                     |
| Leu (L)          | norleucine; ile; val; met; ala; phe | ile                     |
| Lys (K)          | arg; gln; asn                       | arg                     |
| Met (M)          | leu; phe; ile                       | leu                     |
| Phe (F)          | leu; val; ile; ala; tyr             | tyr                     |
| Pro (P)          | ala                                 | ala                     |
| Ser (S)          | thr                                 | thr                     |
| Thr (T)          | ser                                 | ser                     |
| Trp (W)          | tyr; phe                            | tyr                     |
| Tyr (Y)          | trp; phe; thr; ser                  | phe                     |
| Val (V)          | ile; leu; met; phe; ala; norleucine | leu                     |

Substantial modifications in function or immunological identity of the polypeptide are accomplished by selecting substitutions that differ significantly in their effect on maintaining (a) the structure of the polypeptide backbone in the area of the substitution, for example, as a sheet or helical conformation, (b) the charge or hydrophobicity of the molecule at the target site, or (c) the bulk of the side chain. Naturally occurring residues are divided into groups based on common side-chain properties:

- (1) hydrophobic: norleucine, met, ala, val, leu, ile;
- (2) neutral hydrophilic: cys, ser, thr;

- (3) acidic: asp, glu;
- (4) basic: asn, gln, his, lys, arg;
- (5) residues that influence chain orientation: gly, pro; and
- (6) aromatic: trp, tyr, phe.

Non-conservative substitutions will entail exchanging a member of one of these classes for another class. Such substituted residues also may be introduced into the conservative substitution sites or, more preferably, into the remaining (non-conserved) sites.

The term "amino acid" within the scope of the present invention is used in its broadest sense and is meant to include the naturally occurring L  $\alpha$ -amino acids or residues. The commonly used one and three letter abbreviations for naturally occurring amino acids are used herein (Lehninger, A.L., Biochemistry, 2d ed., pp. 71-92, (1975), Worth Publishers, New York). The term includes D-amino acids as well as chemically modified amino acids such as amino acid analogs, naturally occurring amino acids that are not usually incorporated into proteins such as norleucine, and chemically synthesized compounds having properties known in the art to be characteristic of an amino acid. For example, analogs or mimetics of phenylalanine or proline, which allow the same conformational restriction of the peptide compounds as natural Phe or Pro are included within the definition of amino acid. Such analogs and mimetics are referred to herein as "functional equivalents" of an amino acid. Other examples of amino acids are listed by Roberts and Vellaccio (The Peptides: Analysis, Synthesis, Biology,) Eds. Gross and Meienhofer, Vol. 5 p 341, Academic Press, Inc, N.Y. 1983, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Amino acids can be grouped according to similarities in the properties of their side chains (in A. L. Lehninger, in Biochemistry, second ed., pp. 73-75, Worth Publishers, New York (1975)):

- (1) nonpolar: Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Pro, Phe, Trp, Met
- (2) uncharged polar: Gly, Ser, Thr, Cys, Tyr, Asn, Gln
- (3) acidic: Asp, Glu
- (4) basic: Lys, Arg, His

The term "conservative" amino acid substitution as used within this invention is meant to refer to amino acid substitutions which substitute functionally equivalent amino acids. Conservative amino acid changes result in silent changes in the amino acid sequence of the resulting peptide. For example, one or more amino acids of a similar polarity act as functional equivalents and result in a silent alteration within the amino acid sequence of the peptide. In general, substitutions within a group can be considered conservative with respect to structure and function. However, the skilled artisan will recognize that the role of a particular residue is determined by its context within the three-dimensional structure of the molecule in which it occurs. For example, Cys residues may occur in the oxidized (disulfide) form, which is less polar than the reduced (thiol) form. The long aliphatic portion of the Arg side chain can constitute a critical feature of its structural or functional role, and this may be best conserved by substitution of a nonpolar, rather than another basic residue. Also, it will be recognized that side chains containing aromatic groups (Trp, Tyr, and Phe) can participate in ionic-aromatic or "cation-pi" interactions. In these cases, substitution of one of these side chains with a member of the acidic or uncharged polar group may be conservative with respect to structure and function. Residues such as Pro,

Gly, and Cys (disulfide form) can have direct effects on the main chain conformation, and often may not be substituted without structural distortions.

Peptides synthesized by the standard solid phase synthesis techniques described here, for example, are not limited to amino acids encoded by genes for substitutions involving the amino acids. Commonly encountered amino acids which are not encoded by the genetic code, include, for example, those described in International Publication No. WO 90/01940, as well as, for example, 2-amino adipic acid (Aad) for Glu and Asp; 2-aminopimelic acid (Apm) for Glu and Asp; 2-aminobutyric (Abu) acid for Met, Leu, and other aliphatic amino acids; 2-aminoheptanoic acid (Ahe) for Met, Leu and other aliphatic amino acids; 2-aminoisobutyric acid (Aib) for Gly; cyclohexylalanine (Cha) for Val, and Leu and Ile; homoarginine (Har) for Arg and Lys; 2,3-diaminopropionic acid (Dpr) for Lys, Arg and His; N-ethylglycine (EtGly) for Gly, Pro, and Ala; N-ethylglycine (EtGly) for Gly, Pro, and Ala; N-ethylasparagine (EtAsn) for Asn, and Gln; Hydroxyllysine (Hyl) for Lys; allohydroxyllysine (AHyl) for Lys; 3-(and 4)hydroxyproline (3Hyp, 4Hyp) for Pro, Ser, and Thr; allo-isoleucine (AIle) for Ile, Leu, and Val; -amidinophenylalanine for Ala; N-methylglycine (MeGly, sarcosine) for Gly, Pro, and Ala; N-methylisoleucine (MeIle) for Ile; Norvaline (Nva) for Met and other aliphatic amino acids; Norleucine (Nle) for Met and other aliphatic amino acids; Ornithine (Orn or Or) for Lys, Arg and His; Citrulline (Cit) and methionine sulfoxide (MSO) for Thr, Asn and Gln; -methylphenylalanine (MePhe), trimethylphenylalanine, halo (F, Cl, Br, and I)phenylalanine, triflourylphenylalanine, for Phe.

The variations can be made using methods known in the art such as oligonucleotide-mediated (site-directed) mutagenesis, alanine scanning, and PCR mutagenesis. Site-directed mutagenesis [Carter et al., *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 13:4331 (1986); Zoller et al., *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 10:6487 (1987)], cassette mutagenesis [Wells et al., *Gene*, 34:315 (1985)], restriction selection mutagenesis [Wells et al., *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London SerA*, 317:415 (1986)] or other known techniques can be performed on the cloned DNA to produce the variant DNA.

Scanning amino acid analysis can also be employed to identify one or more amino acids along a contiguous sequence. Among the preferred scanning amino acids are relatively small, neutral amino acids. Such amino acids include alanine, glycine, serine, and cysteine. Alanine is typically a preferred scanning amino acid among this group because it eliminates the side-chain beyond the beta-carbon and is less likely to alter the main-chain conformation of the variant [Cunningham and Wells, *Science*, 244: 1081-1085 (1989)]. Alanine is also typically preferred because it is the most common amino acid. Further, it is frequently found in both buried and exposed positions [Creighton, *The Proteins*, (W.H. Freeman & Co., N.Y.); Chothia, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 150:1 (1976)]. If alanine substitution does not yield adequate amounts of variant, an isoteric amino acid can be used.

Immunoadhesin molecules comprising the polypeptides of this invention are further contemplated for use in the methods herein. In one embodiment, the molecule comprises a fusion of a polypeptide of this invention with an immunoglobulin or a particular region of an immunoglobulin. For a bivalent form of the immunoadhesin, such a fusion usefully comprise the Fc region of an IgG molecule. In a further embodiment, the Fc region is from a human IgG1 molecule. In one embodiment, the immunoglobulin fusion includes the hinge, CH2 and CH3, or the hinge, CH1, CH2 and CH3 regions of

an IgG1 molecule. For the production of immunoglobulin fusions, see also US Patent No. 5,428,130 issued June 27, 1995 and Chamow et al., *TIBTECH*, 14:52-60 (1996).

The simplest and most straightforward immunoadhesin design often combines the binding domain(s) of the adhesin (e.g. polypeptide of this invention) with the Fc region of an immunoglobulin heavy chain. Ordinarily, when preparing the immunoadhesins of the present invention, nucleic acid encoding the binding domain of the adhesin will be fused C-terminally to nucleic acid encoding the N-terminus of an immunoglobulin constant domain sequence, however N-terminal fusions are also possible.

Typically, in such fusions the encoded chimeric polypeptide will retain at least functionally active hinge, CH2 and CH3 domains of the constant region of an immunoglobulin heavy chain. Fusions are also made to the C-terminus of the Fc portion of a constant domain, or immediately N-terminal to the CH1 of the heavy chain or the corresponding region of the light chain. The precise site at which the fusion is made is not critical; particular sites are well known and can be selected in order to optimize the biological activity, secretion, or binding characteristics of the immunoadhesin.

In a preferred embodiment, the adhesin sequence is fused to the N-terminus of the Fc region of immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1). It is possible to fuse the entire heavy chain constant region to the adhesin sequence. However, more preferably, a sequence beginning in the hinge region just upstream of the papain cleavage site which defines IgG Fc chemically (i.e. residue 216, taking the first residue of heavy chain constant region to be 114), or analogous sites of other immunoglobulins is used in the fusion. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the adhesin amino acid sequence is fused to (a) the hinge region and CH2 and CH3 or (b) the CH1, hinge, CH2 and CH3 domains, of an IgG heavy chain.

For bispecific immunoadhesins, the immunoadhesins are assembled as multimers, and particularly as heterodimers or heterotetramers. Generally, these assembled immunoglobulins will have known unit structures. A basic four chain structural unit is the form in which IgG, IgD, and IgE exist. A four chain unit is repeated in the higher molecular weight immunoglobulins; IgM generally exists as a pentamer of four basic units held together by disulfide bonds. IgA globulin, and occasionally IgG globulin, may also exist in multimeric form in serum. In the case of multimer, each of the four units may be the same or different.

Various exemplary assembled immunoadhesins within the scope herein are schematically diagrammed below:

- (a) ACL-ACL;
- (b) ACH-(ACH, ACL-ACH, ACL-VHCH, or VLCL-ACH);
- (c) ACL-ACH-(ACL-ACH, ACL-VHCH, VLCL-ACH, or VLCL-VHCH);
- (d) ACL-VHCH-(ACH, or ACL-VHCH, or VLCL-ACH);
- (e) VLCL-ACH-(ACL-VHCH, or VLCL-ACH); and
- (f) (A-Y)<sub>n</sub>-(VLCL-VHCH)2,

wherein each A represents identical or different adhesin amino acid sequences;

VL is an immunoglobulin light chain variable domain;

VH is an immunoglobulin heavy chain variable domain;

CL is an immunoglobulin light chain constant domain;

CH is an immunoglobulin heavy chain constant domain;

n is an integer greater than 1;

Y designates the residue of a covalent cross-linking agent.

In the interests of brevity, the foregoing structures only show key features; they do not indicate joining (J) or other domains of the immunoglobulins, nor are disulfide bonds shown. However, where such domains are required for binding activity, they shall be constructed to be present in the ordinary locations which they occupy in the immunoglobulin molecules.

Alternatively, the adhesin sequences can be inserted between immunoglobulin heavy chain and light chain sequences, such that an immunoglobulin comprising a chimeric heavy chain is obtained. In this embodiment, the adhesin sequences are fused to the 3' end of an immunoglobulin heavy chain in each arm of an immunoglobulin, either between the hinge and the CH2 domain, or between the CH2 and CH3 domains. Similar constructs have been reported by Hoogenboom et al., *Mol. Immunol.*, 28:1027-1037 (1991).

Although the presence of an immunoglobulin light chain is not required in the immunoadhesins of the present invention, an immunoglobulin light chain might be present either covalently associated to an adhesin-immunoglobulin heavy chain fusion polypeptide, or directly fused to the adhesin. In the former case, DNA encoding an immunoglobulin light chain is typically coexpressed with the DNA encoding the adhesin-immunoglobulin heavy chain fusion protein. Upon secretion, the hybrid heavy chain and the light chain will be covalently associated to provide an immunoglobulin-like structure comprising two disulfide-linked immunoglobulin heavy chain-light chain pairs. Methods suitable for the preparation of such structures are, for example, disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567, issued 28 March 1989.

Immunoadhesins are most conveniently constructed by fusing the cDNA sequence encoding the adhesin portion in-frame to an immunoglobulin cDNA sequence. However, fusion to genomic immunoglobulin fragments can also be used (see, e.g. Aruffo et al., *Cell*, 61:1303-1313 (1990); and Stamenkovic et al., *Cell*, 66:1133-1144 (1991)). The latter type of fusion can require the presence of Ig regulatory sequences for expression. cDNAs encoding IgG heavy-chain constant regions can be isolated based on published sequences from cDNA libraries derived from spleen or peripheral blood lymphocytes, by hybridization or by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques. The cDNAs encoding the "adhesin" and the immunoglobulin parts of the immunoadhesin can be inserted in tandem into a plasmid vector that directs efficient expression in the chosen host cells.

Leucine zipper forms of these molecules are also contemplated by the invention. "Leucine zipper" is a term in the art used to refer to a leucine rich sequence that enhances, promotes, or drives dimerization or trimerization of its fusion partner (e.g., the sequence or molecule to which the leucine zipper is fused or linked to). Various leucine zipper polypeptides have been described in the art. See, e.g., Landschulz et al., *Science*, 240:1759 (1988); US Patent 5,716,805; WO 94/10308; Hoppe et al., *FEBS Letters*, 344:1991 (1994); Maniatis et al., *Nature*, 341:24 (1989). Those skilled in the art will appreciate that a leucine zipper sequence can be fused at either the 5' or 3' end of the polypeptide of this invention.

The polypeptides of the present invention can also be modified in a way to form chimeric molecules by fusing the polypeptide to another, heterologous polypeptide or amino acid sequence. According to one embodiment, such heterologous polypeptide or amino acid sequence is one which acts to oligomerize the chimeric molecule. In one embodiment, such a chimeric molecule comprises a fusion of the polypeptide with a tag polypeptide which provides an epitope to which an anti-tag antibody can selectively bind. The epitope tag is generally placed at the amino- or carboxyl- terminus of the polypeptide. The presence of such epitope-tagged forms of the polypeptide can be detected using an antibody against the tag polypeptide. Also, provision of the epitope tag enables the polypeptide to be readily purified by affinity purification using an anti-tag antibody or another type of affinity matrix that binds to the epitope tag. Various tag polypeptides and their respective antibodies are well known in the art. Examples include poly-histidine (poly-his) or poly-histidine-glycine (poly-his-gly) tags; the flu HA tag polypeptide and its antibody 12CA5 [Field et al., *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 8:2159-2165 (1988)]; the c-myc tag and the 8F9, 3C7, 6E10, G4, B7 and 9E10 antibodies thereto [Evan et al., *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 5:3610-3616 (1985)]; and the Herpes Simplex virus glycoprotein D (gD) tag and its antibody [Paborsky et al., *Protein Engineering*, 3(6):547-553 (1990)]. Other tag polypeptides include the Flag-peptide [Hopp et al., *BioTechnology*, 6:1204-1210 (1988)]; the KT3 epitope peptide [Martin et al., *Science*, 255:192-194 (1992)]; an "-tubulin epitope peptide [Skinner et al., *J. Biol. Chem.*, 266:15163-15166 (1991)]; and the T7 gene 10 protein peptide tag [Lutz-Freyermuth et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 87:6393-6397 (1990)].

It is contemplated that the polypeptides of this invention will be used to create antibodies comprising the polypeptides.

It can be desirable to modify an antibody of the invention with respect to effector function, so as to enhance the effectiveness of the antibody in treating an immune related disease, for example. For example cysteine residue(s) may be introduced in the Fc region, thereby allowing interchain disulfide bond formation in this region. The homodimeric antibody thus generated can have improved internalization capability and/or increased complement-mediated cell killing and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). See Caron et al., *J. Exp Med* 176:1191-1195 (1992) and Shope, B., *J. Immunol.* 148:2918-2922 (1992). Homodimeric antibodies with enhanced anti-tumor activity can also be prepared using heterobifunctional cross-linkers as described in Wolff et al. *Cancer Research* 53:2560-2565 (1993). Alternatively, an antibody can be engineered which has dual Fc regions and can thereby have enhanced complement lysis and ADCC capabilities. See Stevenson et al., *Anti-Cancer Drug Design*, 3:219-230 (1989).

The binding specificity of monoclonal antibodies can be determined by immunoprecipitation or by an *in vitro* binding assay, such as radioimmunoassay (RIA) or enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA). The binding affinity of the monoclonal antibody can, for example, be determined by the Scatchard analysis of Munson et al., *Anal. Biochem.*, 107:220 (1980).

Antibodies or antibody fragments comprising a polypeptide of this invention can be isolated from antibody phage libraries generated using the techniques described in McCafferty et al., *Nature*, 348:552-554 (1990). Clackson et al., *Nature*, 352:624-628 (1991) and Marks et al., *J. Mol. Biol.*, 222:581-597 (1991) describe the isolation of murine and human antibodies, respectively, using phage

libraries. Subsequent publications describe the production of high affinity (nM range) human antibodies by chain shuffling (Marks *et al.*, *Bio/Technology*, 10:779-783 (1992)), as well as combinatorial infection and *in vivo* recombination as a strategy for constructing very large phage libraries (Waterhouse *et al.*, *Nuc. Acids. Res.*, 21:2265-2266 (1993)).

The DNA also can be modified, for example, by covalently joining to the immunoglobulin coding sequence all or part of the coding sequence for a non-immunoglobulin polypeptide.

Typically such non-immunoglobulin polypeptides are substituted for the constant domains of an antibody, or they are substituted for the variable domains of one antigen-combining site of an antibody to create a chimeric bivalent antibody comprising one antigen-combining site having specificity for an antigen and another antigen-combining site having specificity for a different antigen.

Methods for humanizing non-human antibodies have been described in the art. Preferably, a humanized antibody has one or more amino acid residues introduced into it from a source which is non-human. These non-human amino acid residues are often referred to as "import" residues, which are typically taken from an "import" variable domain. Humanization can be essentially performed following the method of Winter and co-workers (Jones *et al.*, *Nature*, 321:522-525 (1986); Riechmann *et al.*, *Nature*, 332:323-327 (1988); Verhoeyen *et al.*, *Science*, 239:1534-1536 (1988)), by substituting hypervariable region sequences for the corresponding sequences of a human antibody. Accordingly, such "humanized" antibodies are chimeric antibodies (U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567) wherein substantially less than an intact human variable domain has been substituted by the corresponding sequence from a non-human species. In practice, humanized antibodies are typically human antibodies in which some hypervariable region residues and possibly some FR residues are substituted by residues from analogous sites in rodent antibodies.

The choice of human variable domains, both light and heavy, to be used in making the humanized antibodies is very important to reduce antigenicity. According to the so-called "best-fit" method, the sequence of the variable domain of a rodent antibody is screened against the entire library of known human variable-domain sequences. The human sequence which is closest to that of the rodent is then accepted as the human framework region (FR) for the humanized antibody (Sims *et al.*, *J. Immunol.*, 151:2296 (1993); Chothia *et al.*, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 196:901 (1987)).

Another method uses a particular framework region derived from the consensus sequence of all human antibodies of a particular subgroup of light or heavy chains. The same framework may be used for several different humanized antibodies (Carter *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 89:4285 (1992); Presta *et al.*, *J. Immunol.*, 151:2623 (1993)).

It is further important that antibodies be humanized with retention of high affinity for the antigen and other favorable biological properties. To achieve this goal, according to a preferred method, humanized antibodies are prepared by a process of analysis of the parental sequences and various conceptual humanized products using three-dimensional models of the parental and humanized sequences. Three-dimensional immunoglobulin models are commonly available and are familiar to those skilled in the art. Computer programs are available which illustrate and display probable three-dimensional conformational structures of selected candidate immunoglobulin sequences. Inspection of these displays permits analysis of the likely role of the residues in the functioning of the candidate

immunoglobulin sequence, *i.e.*, the analysis of residues that influence the ability of the candidate immunoglobulin to bind its antigen. In this way, FR residues can be selected and combined from the recipient and import sequences so that the desired antibody characteristic, such as increased affinity for the target antigen(s), is achieved. In general, the hypervariable region residues are directly and most substantially involved in influencing antigen binding.

Various techniques have been developed for the production of antibody fragments. Traditionally, these fragments were derived via proteolytic digestion of intact antibodies (see, *e.g.*, Morimoto *et al.*, *Journal of Biochemical and Biophysical Methods* 24:107-117 (1992) and Brennan *et al.*, *Science*, 229:81 (1985)). However, these fragments can now also be produced directly by recombinant host cells. For example, the antibody fragments can be isolated from the antibody phage libraries discussed above. Alternatively, Fab'-SH fragments can be directly recovered from *E. coli* and chemically coupled to form F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragments (Carter *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:163-167 (1992)).

According to another approach, F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragments can be isolated directly from recombinant host cell culture. Other techniques for the production of antibody fragments will be apparent to the skilled practitioner. In other embodiments, the antibody of choice is a single chain Fv fragment (scFv). See WO 93/16185; US Patent No. 5,571,894; and US Patent No. 5,587,458. The antibody fragment can also be a "linear antibody", *e.g.*, as described in US Patent 5,641,870 for example. Such linear antibody fragments may be monospecific or bispecific.

Bispecific antibodies are antibodies that have binding specificities for at least two different epitopes (*e.g.*, an epitope on APRIL and an epitope on BAFF). In one embodiment, an anti-BAFF or anti-APRIL binding arm can be combined with an arm which binds to a triggering molecule on a leukocyte such as a T-cell receptor molecule (*e.g.* CD2 or CD3), or Fc receptors for IgG (FcR), such as FcRI (CD64), FcRII (CD32) and FcRIII (CD16) or NK receptors (*e.g.*, NKG2D) so as to focus cellular defense mechanisms to the cells expressing APRIL or BAFF. Bispecific antibodies may also be used to localize cytotoxic agents to the B cell. These antibodies possess a B cell marker-binding arm and an arm which binds the cytotoxic agent (*e.g.* saporin, vinca alkaloid, ricin A chain, methotrexate or radioactive isotope hapten). Bispecific antibodies can be prepared as full length antibodies or antibody fragments (*e.g.* F(ab')<sub>2</sub> bispecific antibodies).

Methods for making bispecific antibodies are known in the art. According to a one approach, antibody variable domains comprising a polypeptide of this invention are fused to immunoglobulin constant domain sequences. The fusion preferably is with an immunoglobulin heavy chain constant domain, comprising at least part of the hinge, CH2, and CH3 regions. It is preferred to have the first heavy-chain constant region (CH1) containing the site necessary for light chain binding, present in at least one of the fusions. DNAs encoding the immunoglobulin heavy chain fusions and, if desired, the immunoglobulin light chain, are inserted into separate expression vectors, and are co-transfected into a suitable host organism. This provides for great flexibility in adjusting the mutual proportions of the three polypeptide fragments in embodiments when unequal ratios of the three polypeptide chains used in the construction provide the optimum yields. It is, however, possible to insert the coding sequences for two or all three polypeptide chains in one expression vector when the expression of at least two polypeptide chains in equal ratios results in high yields or when the ratios are of no particular significance.

In a preferred embodiment of this approach, the bispecific antibodies are composed of a hybrid immunoglobulin heavy chain with a first binding specificity in one arm, and a hybrid immunoglobulin heavy chain-light chain pair (providing a second binding specificity) in the other arm. It was found that this asymmetric structure facilitates the separation of the desired bispecific compound from unwanted immunoglobulin chain combinations, as the presence of an immunoglobulin light chain in only one half of the bispecific molecule provides for a facile way of separation. This approach is disclosed in WO 94/04690. For further details of generating bispecific antibodies see, for example, Suresh *et al.*, *Methods in Enzymology*, 121:210 (1986).

According to another approach described in US Patent No. 5,731,168, the interface between a pair of antibody molecules can be engineered to maximize the percentage of heterodimers which are recovered from recombinant cell culture. The preferred interface comprises at least a part of the C<sub>H</sub>3 domain of an antibody constant domain. In this method, one or more small amino acid side chains from the interface of the first antibody molecule are replaced with larger side chains (e.g. tyrosine or tryptophan). Compensatory "cavities" of identical or similar size to the large side chain(s) are created on the interface of the second antibody molecule by replacing large amino acid side chains with smaller ones (e.g. alanine or threonine). This provides a mechanism for increasing the yield of the heterodimer over other unwanted end-products such as homodimers.

Bispecific antibodies include cross-linked or "heteroconjugate" antibodies. For example, one of the antibodies in the heteroconjugate can be coupled to avidin, the other to biotin. Such antibodies have, for example, been proposed to target immune system cells to unwanted cells (US Patent No. 4,676,980), and for treatment of HIV infection (WO 91/00360, WO 92/200373, and EP 03089). Heteroconjugate antibodies can be made using any convenient cross-linking methods. Suitable cross-linking agents are well known in the art, and are disclosed in US Patent No. 4,676,980, along with a number of cross-linking techniques.

Techniques for generating bispecific antibodies from antibody fragments have also been described in the literature. For example, bispecific antibodies can be prepared using chemical linkage. Brennan *et al.*, *Science*, 229: 81 (1985) describe a procedure wherein intact antibodies are proteolytically cleaved to generate F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragments. These fragments are reduced in the presence of the dithiol complexing agent sodium arsenite to stabilize vicinal dithiols and prevent intermolecular disulfide formation. The Fab' fragments generated are then converted to thionitrobenzoate (TNB) derivatives. One of the Fab'-TNB derivatives is then reconverted to the Fab'-thiol by reduction with mercaptoethylamine and is mixed with an equimolar amount of the other Fab'-TNB derivative to form the bispecific antibody. The bispecific antibodies produced can be used as agents for the selective immobilization of enzymes.

Recent progress has facilitated the direct recovery of Fab'-SH fragments from *E. coli*, which can be chemically coupled to form bispecific antibodies. Shalaby *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.*, 175: 217-225 (1992) describe the production of a fully humanized bispecific antibody F(ab')<sub>2</sub> molecule. Each Fab' fragment was separately secreted from *E. coli* and subjected to directed chemical coupling *in vitro* to form the bispecific antibody. The bispecific antibody thus formed was able to bind to cells overexpressing the

ErbB2 receptor and normal human T cells, as well as trigger the lytic activity of human cytotoxic lymphocytes against human breast tumor targets.

Various techniques for making and isolating bispecific antibody fragments directly from recombinant cell culture have also been described. For example, bispecific antibodies have been produced using leucine zippers. Kostelny *et al.*, *J. Immunol.*, 148(5):1547-1553 (1992). The leucine zipper peptides from the Fos and Jun proteins were linked to the Fab' portions of two different antibodies by gene fusion. The antibody homodimers were reduced at the hinge region to form monomers and then re-oxidized to form the antibody heterodimers. This method can also be utilized for the production of antibody homodimers. The "diabody" technology described by Hollinger *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 90:6444-6448 (1993) has provided an alternative mechanism for making bispecific antibody fragments. The fragments comprise a heavy-chain variable domain ( $V_H$ ) connected to a light-chain variable domain ( $V_L$ ) by a linker which is too short to allow pairing between the two domains on the same chain. Accordingly, the  $V_H$  and  $V_L$  domains of one fragment are forced to pair with the complementary  $V_L$  and  $V_H$  domains of another fragment, thereby forming two antigen-binding sites. Another strategy for making bispecific antibody fragments by the use of single-chain Fv (sFv) dimers has also been reported. See Gruber *et al.*, *J. Immunol.*, 152:5368 (1994).

Antibodies with more than two valencies are contemplated. For example, trispecific antibodies can be prepared. Tutt *et al.* *J. Immunol.* 147: 60 (1991).

Additional amino acid sequence modification(s) of the polypeptides of this invention, including antibodies, described herein are contemplated. For example, it may be desirable to improve the binding affinity and/or other biological properties of a polypeptide of this invention. Amino acid sequence variants of the polypeptides of this invention can be prepared by introducing appropriate nucleotide changes into the nucleic acid encoding it, or by peptide synthesis. Such modifications include, for example, deletions from, and/or insertions into and/or substitutions of, residues within the amino acid sequences of the antagonist. Any combination of deletion, insertion, and substitution is made to arrive at the final construct, provided that the final construct possesses the desired characteristics, a biological activity of BCMA. The amino acid changes also can alter post-translational processes of the antagonist, such as changing the number or position of glycosylation sites.

A useful method for identification of other residues or regions of the polypeptides of this invention that are preferred locations for mutagenesis is called "alanine scanning mutagenesis" as described by Cunningham and Wells *Science*, 244:1081-1085 (1989). Here, a residue or group of target residues are identified (e.g., charged residues such as arg, asp, his, lys, and glu) and replaced by a neutral or negatively charged amino acid (most preferably alanine or polyalanine) to affect the interaction of the amino acids with antigen. Those amino acid locations demonstrating functional sensitivity to the substitutions then are refined by introducing further or other variants at, or for, the sites of substitution. Thus, while the site for introducing an amino acid sequence variation is predetermined, the nature of the mutation *per se* need not be predetermined. For example, to analyze the performance of a mutation at a given site, ala scanning or random mutagenesis is conducted at the target codon or region and the expressed antagonist variants are screened for the desired activity.

Amino acid sequence insertions include amino- and/or carboxyl-terminal fusions ranging in length from one residue to polypeptides containing a hundred or more residues, as well as intrasequence insertions of single or multiple amino acid residues. Examples of terminal insertions include a polypeptide of this invention with an N-terminal methionyl residue or the a polypeptide of this invention fused to a cytotoxic polypeptide. Other insertional variants of the polypeptide include the fusion to the N- or C-terminus of the polypeptide to an agent that increases the serum half-life of the polypeptide (e.g., a serum albumin binding peptide).

Another type of variant is an amino acid substitution variant. These variants have at least one amino acid residue in the antagonist molecule replaced by different residue. The sites of greatest interest for substitutional mutagenesis of antibody antagonists include the hypervariable regions, but FR alterations are also contemplated. Conservative substitutions are shown in Table 4 above under the heading of "preferred substitutions". If such substitutions result in a change in biological activity, then more substantial changes, denominated "exemplary substitutions" in Table 4 can be introduced and the products screened.

#### B. ASSAY METHODS

According to one embodiment, BAFF/BAFF receptor binding studies or APRIL/APRIL receptor binding studies can be carried out in any known assay method, such as competitive binding assays, direct and indirect sandwich assays, and immunoprecipitation assays. According to another embodiment, binding assays are carried out as described herein, using the polypeptides of the invention in place of native sequence BCMA. Cell-based assays and animal models can be used to further understand the interaction between the BCMA and its ligands and the development and pathogenesis of the conditions and diseases referred to herein. Depending on the assay, the polypeptides of this invention can be for example, a soluble polypeptide, a membrane-bound polypeptide with transmembrane and cytoplasmic region of a non-BCMA polypeptide or a native BCMA polypeptide, or a polypeptide immobilized on a solid substrate.

In one approach, mammalian cells can be transfected with a nucleic acid molecule encoding BCMA, and the ability of test polypeptide to inhibit binding APRIL or BAFF to its receptor or APRIL or BAFF activity is analyzed. In addition, primary cultures derived from transgenic animals can be used in the cell-based assays. Techniques to derive continuous cell lines from transgenic animals are well known in the art. [see, e.g., Small et al., *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 5:642-648 (1985)].

In one cell based assay, epitope-tagged BAFF or APRIL (e.g., AP or Flag) is added to cells that have or express a polypeptide of this invention, and analyzed for binding to the cells by FACS staining with anti-tag antibody. In another assay, the ability of a polypeptide of this invention to inhibit the BAFF or APRIL induced proliferation of B cells, T cells or tumor cells is assayed. Primary cells or cell lines can be cultured with BAFF or APRIL in the presence or absence of the test polypeptide and the proliferation of the cells can be measured by, e.g., <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine incorporation or FACS analysis.

To test the direct role of a polypeptide in inhibiting T cell activation, an *in vitro* assay of antigen-specific activation of T cells can be performed. Activation of T cells by anti-CD3 antibody *in*

*vitro* in the presence of test polypeptide can be examined by measuring proliferation and IL-2 production by these T cells.

Splenic cells from adult C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratory) can be cultured ( $1 \times 10^6$  per well) in various concentrations of 10  $\mu$ g/ml anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody (Pharmingen) with or without different concentrations of the test polypeptide in medium as described above. Proliferation can be measured by uptake of  $^3$ H-thymidine. Parallel assays can also be set up to measure the effects of the test polypeptide on production of anti-CD3 antibody induced IL-2 production in a 24 hour culture system. An ELISA can be used to determine IL-2 levels in supernatants, using antibodies from Pharmingen, and using their recommended protocols.

To study the effects of the test polypeptide on *in vitro* stimulation of TCR transgenic cells,  $1 \times 10^6$  cells from adult MBP-TCR transgenic mice (e.g., from Dr. Richard Flavell, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Yale University) can be cultured in the presence of 10  $\mu$ g/ml MBP-Ac1-11 (a synthetic NH2-terminal peptide of Myelin Basic Protein having amino acid sequence ASQKRPQSK (SEQ ID NO:\_\_\_\_) with the first amino acid acetylated) with or without different concentrations of test polypeptide in 96-well plates in DMEM medium supplemented with 5% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin. Proliferation can be measured by addition of 1  $\mu$ Ci of [ $^3$ H] thymidine (International Chemical and Nuclear, Irvine, CA) for the last 18 hours of a 5-day culture, and incorporation of radioactivity can be assayed by liquid scintillation counting. The inhibition of anti-CD3 antibody-induced proliferation of naive T cells or anti-CD3 antibody-induced IL-2 production in a dose dependent manner is an indicator that T cell activation can be blocked with the test polypeptide.

The results of the cell based *in vitro* assays can be further verified using *in vivo* animal models. A variety of well known animal models can be used to further study the polypeptides of the invention in immune related disease or cancer and to test the efficacy of the candidate therapeutic agents. The *in vivo* nature of such models makes them particularly predictive of responses in human patients. Animal models of immune related diseases include both non-recombinant and recombinant (transgenic) animals. Non-recombinant animal models include, for example, rodent, e.g., murine models. Such models can be generated by introducing cells into syngeneic mice using standard techniques, e.g. subcutaneous injection, tail vein injection, spleen implantation, intraperitoneal implantation, and implantation under the renal capsule.

Animal models for delayed type hypersensitivity provides an assay of cell mediated immune function as well. Delayed type hypersensitivity reactions are a T cell mediated *in vivo* immune response characterized by inflammation which does not reach a peak until after a period of time has elapsed after challenge with an antigen. These reactions also occur in tissue specific autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS) and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE, a model for MS). A suitable procedure is described in detail in Current Protocols in Immunology, unit 4.5.

An animal model for arthritis is collagen-induced arthritis. This model shares clinical, histological and immunological characteristics of human autoimmune rheumatoid arthritis and is an acceptable model for human autoimmune arthritis. Mouse and rat models are characterized by synovitis, erosion of cartilage and subchondral bone. The compounds of the invention can be tested for activity against autoimmune arthritis using the protocols described in Current Protocols in Immunology, above,

units 15.5. See also the model using a monoclonal antibody to CD18 and VLA-4 integrins described in Issekutz, A. C. et al., *Immunology*, (1996) 88:569. For example, in one CIA model, two groups of mice (7 to 8 week old male DBA/1 mice (Jackson Laboratory)) can be immunized intradermally with 100 µg bovine collagen type-II (BCII) (Sigma Chemical Co.) emulsified in complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) (Difco). The mice are then rechallenged with BCII in incomplete Freund's adjuvant 21 days later. A dramatic disease with clinical signs of arthritis developed in the animals that progressed to a more severe form with time. Starting on day 24, one group of mice were injected with 100 µg of a polypeptide of interest three times per week intraperitoneally for six weeks (N=9), and a second group received 100 µg of murine IgG as a control (N=10). Animals are then monitored for the clinical signs of arthritis, and at the end of the study, as described below, a radiological and histo-pathological examination is performed.

The mice can be examined daily for signs of joint inflammation and scored as follows: 0, normal; 1, erythema and mild swelling confined to the ankle joint; 2, erythema and mild swelling extending from the ankle to metatarsal/metacarpal joints; 3, erythema and moderate swelling extending from the ankle to the metatarsophalangeal/metacarpophalangeal joints; 4, erythema and severe swelling extending from the ankle to the digits. The maximal arthritic score per foot is 4 and the maximal disease score per mouse is 16; the mean arthritic score is calculated from all animals in the group.

For radiological analysis at the end of the study, both fore- and hind-paws can be radio-graphed using X-ray Faxitron Imaging System (Faxitron X-ray Corp., Wheeling, IL). Data can be digitized and pictures of radiographs prepared. The radiographs can then be examined for bone erosion and soft tissue swelling. For histo-pathological analysis, paws from the mice can be excised, fixed in 10% formalin, decalcified, and embedded in paraffin. Joint sections (6 - 8 µm) can be prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin using standard histochemical methods. Microscopic evaluation of arthritic paws can be performed in a blinded fashion. Arthritic changes in the ankle, metacarpophalangeal/metatarsophalangeal, proximal interphalangeal, and joints can be examined for articular cartilage and subchondral bone erosion.

Additionally, the compositions of the invention can be tested on animal models for psoriasis like diseases. The compounds of the invention can be tested in the scid/scid mouse model described by Schon, M. P. et al., *Nat. Med.*, (1997) 3:183, in which the mice demonstrate histopathologic skin lesions resembling psoriasis. Another suitable model is the human skin/scid mouse chimera prepared as described by Nickoloff, B. J. et al., *Am. J. Path.*, (1995) 146:580.

Various animal models are well known for testing anti-cancer activity of a candidate therapeutic composition. These include human tumor xenografting into athymic nude mice or scid/scid mice, or genetic murine tumor models such as p53 knockout mice.

One model for multiple myeloma is the human plasmacytoma xenograft mouse model (LeBlanc, R., et al. (2002) *Cancer Res.* 2002 62(17): 4996-5000). In this assay, immunodeficient (beige-nude-xid) mice can be used in two independent experiments. The mice are injected s.c. with e.g.,  $3 \times 10^7$  RPMI-8226 myeloma cells. When tumors become measurable (9.2 days; range, 6-13 days after tumor injection), mice are assigned to treatment groups receiving varying concentrations of the test polypeptide or to control groups receiving the vehicle only. Significant inhibition of tumor growth, even with some

complete tumor regression, is an indicator of an therapeutic inhibitor. Significant prolongation of the median overall survival compared with controls is an indicator of an therapeutic inhibitor

C. FORMULATIONS

The polypeptides and compositions described herein are preferably employed in a carrier. Suitable carriers and their formulations are described in Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 16th ed., 1980, Mack Publishing Co., edited by Oslo et al. Typically, an appropriate amount of a pharmaceutically-acceptable salt is used in the carrier to render the formulation isotonic. Examples of the carrier include saline, Ringer's solution and dextrose solution. The pH of the solution is preferably from about 5 to about 8, and more preferably from about 7.4 to about 7.8. It will be apparent to those persons skilled in the art that certain carriers can be more preferable depending upon, for instance, the route of administration and concentration of agent being administered. The carrier can be in the form of a lyophilized formulation or aqueous solution.

Acceptable carriers, excipients, or stabilizers are preferably nontoxic to cells and/or recipients at the dosages and concentrations employed, and include buffers such as phosphate, citrate, and other organic acids; antioxidants including ascorbic acid and methionine; preservatives (such as octadecyldimethylbenzyl ammonium chloride; hexamethonium chloride; benzalkonium chloride, benzethonium chloride; phenol, butyl or benzyl alcohol; alkyl parabens such as methyl or propyl paraben; catechol; resorcinol; cyclohexanol; 3-pentanol; and m-cresol); low molecular weight (less than about 10 residues) polypeptides; proteins, such as serum albumin, gelatin, or immunoglobulins; hydrophilic polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone; amino acids such as glycine, glutamine, asparagine, histidine, arginine, or lysine; monosaccharides, disaccharides, and other carbohydrates including glucose, mannose, or dextrins; chelating agents such as EDTA; sugars such as sucrose, mannitol, trehalose or sorbitol; salt-forming counter-ions such as sodium; and/or non-ionic surfactants such as TWEEN™, PLURONICS™ or polyethylene glycol (PEG).

The formulation can also contain more than one active compound as necessary for the particular indication being treated, preferably those with complementary activities that do not adversely affect each other.

The polypeptides of this invention also be entrapped in microcapsules prepared, for example, by coacervation techniques or by interfacial polymerization, for example, hydroxymethylcellulose or gelatin-microcapsules and poly-(methylmethacrylate) microcapsules, respectively, in colloidal drug delivery systems (for example, liposomes, albumin microspheres, microemulsions, nano-particles and nanocapsules) or in macroemulsions. Such techniques are disclosed in Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences 16th edition, Oslo, A. Ed. (1980).

The formulations to be used for in vivo administration should be sterile. This is readily accomplished by filtration through sterile filtration membranes.

Sustained-release preparations can be prepared. Suitable examples of sustained-release preparations include semipermeable matrices of solid hydrophobic polymers containing the antibody, which matrices are in the form of shaped articles, e.g. films, or microcapsules. Examples of sustained-release matrices include polyesters, hydrogels (for example, poly(2-hydroxyethyl-methacrylate), or

poly(vinylalcohol)), polylactides (U.S. Pat. No. 3,773,919), copolymers of L-glutamic acid and ( ethyl-L-glutamate, non-degradable ethylene-vinyl acetate, degradable lactic acid-glycolic acid copolymers such as the LUPRON DEPOT™ (injectable microspheres composed of lactic acid-glycolic acid copolymer and leuprolide acetate), and poly-D-(-)-3-hydroxybutyric acid. While polymers such as ethylene-vinyl acetate and lactic acid-glycolic acid enable release of molecules for over 100 days, certain hydrogels release proteins for shorter time periods.

#### D. MODES OF THERAPY

The polypeptides described herein are useful in treating various pathological conditions, such as immune related diseases or cancer. These conditions can be treated by inhibiting a BAFF or APRIL activity or by targeting cells expressing BAFF or APRIL for death in a mammal through administration of one or more polypeptides of the invention.

Diagnosis in mammals of the various pathological conditions described herein can be made by the skilled practitioner. Diagnostic techniques are available in the art which allow, e.g., for the diagnosis or detection of cancer or immune related disease in a mammal. For instance, cancers can be identified through techniques, including but not limited to, palpation, blood analysis, x-ray, NMR and the like. Immune related diseases can also be readily identified. In systemic lupus erythematosus, the central mediator of disease is the production of auto-reactive antibodies to self proteins/tissues and the subsequent generation of immune-mediated inflammation. Multiple organs and systems are affected clinically including kidney, lung, musculoskeletal system, mucocutaneous, eye, central nervous system, cardiovascular system, gastrointestinal tract, bone marrow and blood.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease that mainly involves the synovial membrane of multiple joints with resultant injury to the articular cartilage. The pathogenesis is T lymphocyte dependent and is associated with the production of rheumatoid factors, auto-antibodies directed against self IgG, with the resultant formation of immune complexes that attain high levels in joint fluid and blood. These complexes in the joint can induce the marked infiltrate of lymphocytes and monocytes into the synovium and subsequent marked synovial changes; the joint space/fluid if infiltrated by similar cells with the addition of numerous neutrophils. Tissues affected are primarily the joints, often in symmetrical pattern. However, extra-articular disease also occurs in two major forms. One form is the development of extra-articular lesions with ongoing progressive joint disease and typical lesions of pulmonary fibrosis, vasculitis, and cutaneous ulcers. The second form of extra-articular disease is the so called Felty's syndrome which occurs late in the RA disease course, sometimes after joint disease has become quiescent, and involves the presence of neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and splenomegaly. This can be accompanied by vasculitis in multiple organs with formations of infarcts, skin ulcers and gangrene. Patients often also develop rheumatoid nodules in the subcutis tissue overlying affected joints; the nodules late stage have necrotic centers surrounded by a mixed inflammatory cell infiltrate. Other manifestations which can occur in RA include: pericarditis, pleuritis, coronary arteritis, intestinal pneumonitis with pulmonary fibrosis, keratoconjunctivitis sicca, and rheumatoid nodules.

Juvenile chronic arthritis is a chronic idiopathic inflammatory disease which begins often at less than 16 years of age. Its phenotype has some similarities to RA; some patients which are rheumatoid factor positive are classified as juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. The disease is sub-classified into three major categories: pauciarticular, polyarticular, and systemic. The arthritis can be severe and is typically destructive and leads to joint ankylosis and retarded growth. Other manifestations can include chronic anterior uveitis and systemic amyloidosis.

Spondyloarthropathies are a group of disorders with some common clinical features and the common association with the expression of HLA-B27 gene product. The disorders include: ankylosing spondylitis, Reiter's syndrome (reactive arthritis), arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease, spondylitis associated with psoriasis, juvenile onset spondyloarthropathy and undifferentiated spondyloarthropathy. Distinguishing features include sacroileitis with or without spondylitis; inflammatory asymmetric arthritis; association with HLA-B27 (a serologically defined allele of the HLA-B locus of class I MHC); ocular inflammation, and absence of autoantibodies associated with other rheumatoid disease. The cell most implicated as key to induction of the disease is the CD8+ T lymphocyte, a cell which targets antigen presented by class I MHC molecules. CD8+ T cells may react against the class I MHC allele HLA-B27 as if it were a foreign peptide expressed by MHC class I molecules. It has been hypothesized that an epitope of HLA-B27 may mimic a bacterial or other microbial antigenic epitope and thus induce a CD8+ T cells response.

Systemic sclerosis (scleroderma) has an unknown etiology. A hallmark of the disease is induration of the skin; likely this is induced by an active inflammatory process. Scleroderma can be localized or systemic; vascular lesions are common and endothelial cell injury in the microvasculature is an early and important event in the development of systemic sclerosis; the vascular injury may be immune mediated. An immunologic basis is implied by the presence of mononuclear cell infiltrates in the cutaneous lesions and the presence of anti-nuclear antibodies in many patients. ICAM-1 is often upregulated on the cell surface of fibroblasts in skin lesions suggesting that T cell interaction with these cells may have a role in the pathogenesis of the disease. Other organs involved include: the gastrointestinal tract: smooth muscle atrophy and fibrosis resulting in abnormal peristalsis/motility; kidney: concentric subendothelial intimal proliferation affecting small arcuate and interlobular arteries with resultant reduced renal cortical blood flow, results in proteinuria, azotemia and hypertension; skeletal muscle: atrophy, interstitial fibrosis; inflammation; lung: interstitial pneumonitis and interstitial fibrosis; and heart: contraction band necrosis, scarring/fibrosis.

Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies including dermatomyositis, polymyositis and others are disorders of chronic muscle inflammation of unknown etiology resulting in muscle weakness. Muscle injury/inflammation is often symmetric and progressive. Autoantibodies are associated with most forms. These myositis-specific autoantibodies are directed against and inhibit the function of components, proteins and RNA's, involved in protein synthesis.

Sjogren's syndrome is due to immune-mediated inflammation and subsequent functional destruction of the tear glands and salivary glands. The disease can be associated with or accompanied by inflammatory connective tissue diseases. The disease is associated with autoantibody production against Ro and La antigens, both of which are small RNA-protein complexes. Lesions result in

keratoconjunctivitis sicca, xerostomia, with other manifestations or associations including biliary cirrhosis, peripheral or sensory neuropathy, and palpable purpura.

Systemic vasculitis are diseases in which the primary lesion is inflammation and subsequent damage to blood vessels which results in ischemia/necrosis/degeneration to tissues supplied by the affected vessels and eventual end-organ dysfunction in some cases. Vasculitides can also occur as a secondary lesion or sequelae to other immune-inflammatory mediated diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, systemic sclerosis, etc., particularly in diseases also associated with the formation of immune complexes. Diseases in the primary systemic vasculitis group include: systemic necrotizing vasculitis; polyarteritis nodosa, allergic angiitis and granulomatosis, polyangiitis; Wegener's granulomatosis; lymphomatoid granulomatosis; and giant cell arteritis. Miscellaneous vasculitides include: mucocutaneous lymph node syndrome (MLNS or Kawasaki's disease), isolated CNS vasculitis, Behet's disease, thromboangiitis obliterans (Buerger's disease) and cutaneous necrotizing venulitis. The pathogenic mechanism of most of the types of vasculitis listed is believed to be primarily due to the deposition of immunoglobulin complexes in the vessel wall and subsequent induction of an inflammatory response either via ADCC, complement activation, or both.

Sarcoidosis is a condition of unknown etiology which is characterized by the presence of epithelioid granulomas in nearly any tissue in the body; involvement of the lung is most common. The pathogenesis involves the persistence of activated macrophages and lymphoid cells at sites of the disease with subsequent chronic sequelae resultant from the release of locally and systemically active products released by these cell types.

Autoimmune hemolytic anemia including autoimmune hemolytic anemia, immune pancytopenia, and paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria is a result of production of antibodies that react with antigens expressed on the surface of red blood cells (and in some cases other blood cells including platelets as well) and is a reflection of the removal of those antibody coated cells via complement mediated lysis and/or ADCC/Fc-receptor-mediated mechanisms.

In autoimmune thrombocytopenia including thrombocytopenic purpura, and immune-mediated thrombocytopenia in other clinical settings, platelet destruction/removal occurs as a result of either antibody or complement attaching to platelets and subsequent removal by complement lysis, ADCC or FC-receptor mediated mechanisms.

Thyroiditis including Grave's disease, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, juvenile lymphocytic thyroiditis, and atrophic thyroiditis, are the result of an autoimmune response against thyroid antigens with production of antibodies that react with proteins present in and often specific for the thyroid gland. Experimental models exist including spontaneous models: rats (BUF and BB rats) and chickens (obese chicken strain); inducible models: immunization of animals with either thyroglobulin, thyroid microsomal antigen (thyroid peroxidase).

Type I diabetes mellitus or insulin-dependent diabetes is the autoimmune destruction of pancreatic islet  $\beta$  cells; this destruction is mediated by auto-antibodies and auto-reactive T cells. Antibodies to insulin or the insulin receptor can also produce the phenotype of insulin-non-responsiveness.

Immune mediated renal diseases, including glomerulonephritis and tubulointerstitial nephritis, are the result of antibody or T lymphocyte mediated injury to renal tissue either directly as a result of the production of autoreactive antibodies or T cells against renal antigens or indirectly as a result of the deposition of antibodies and/or immune complexes in the kidney that are reactive against other, non-renal antigens. Thus other immune-mediated diseases that result in the formation of immune-complexes can also induce immune mediated renal disease as an indirect sequelae. Both direct and indirect immune mechanisms result in inflammatory response that produces/induces lesion development in renal tissues with resultant organ function impairment and in some cases progression to renal failure. Both humoral and cellular immune mechanisms can be involved in the pathogenesis of lesions.

Demyelinating diseases of the central and peripheral nervous systems, including Multiple Sclerosis; idiopathic demyelinating polyneuropathy or Guillain-Barr syndrome; and Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy, are believed to have an autoimmune basis and result in nerve demyelination as a result of damage caused to oligodendrocytes or to myelin directly. In MS there is evidence to suggest that disease induction and progression is dependent on T lymphocytes. Multiple Sclerosis is a demyelinating disease that is T lymphocyte-dependent and has either a relapsing-remitting course or a chronic progressive course. The etiology is unknown; however, viral infections, genetic predisposition, environment, and autoimmunity all contribute. Lesions contain infiltrates of predominantly T lymphocyte mediated, microglial cells and infiltrating macrophages; CD4+T lymphocytes are the predominant cell type at lesions. The mechanism of oligodendrocyte cell death and subsequent demyelination is not known but is likely T lymphocyte driven.

Inflammatory and Fibrotic Lung Disease, including Eosinophilic Pneumonias; Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis, and Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis may involve a disregulated immune-inflammatory response. Inhibition of that response would be of therapeutic benefit.

Autoimmune or Immune-mediated Skin Disease including Bullous Skin Diseases, Erythema Multiforme, and Contact Dermatitis are mediated by auto-antibodies, the genesis of which is T lymphocyte-dependent.

Psoriasis is a T lymphocyte-mediated inflammatory disease. Lesions contain infiltrates of T lymphocytes, macrophages and antigen processing cells, and some neutrophils.

Allergic diseases, including asthma; allergic rhinitis; atopic dermatitis; food hypersensitivity; and urticaria are T lymphocyte dependent. These diseases are predominantly mediated by T lymphocyte induced inflammation, IgE mediated-inflammation or a combination of both.

Transplantation associated diseases, including Graft rejection and Graft-Versus-Host-Disease (GVHD) are T lymphocyte-dependent; inhibition of T lymphocyte function is ameliorative.

Other diseases in which intervention of the immune and/or inflammatory response have benefit are Infectious disease including but not limited to viral infection (including but not limited to AIDS, hepatitis A, B, C, D, E) bacterial infection, fungal infections, and protozoal and parasitic infections (molecules (or derivatives/agonists) which stimulate the MLR can be utilized therapeutically to enhance the immune response to infectious agents), diseases of immunodeficiency (molecules/derivatives/agonists) which stimulate the MLR can be utilized therapeutically to enhance the

immune response for conditions of inherited, acquired, infectious induced (as in HIV infection), or iatrogenic (i.e. as from chemotherapy) immunodeficiency), and neoplasia.

The polypeptides of this invention can be administered in accord with known methods, such as intravenous administration as a bolus or by continuous infusion over a period of time, by intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intracerebrospinal, subcutaneous, intra-articular, intrasynovial, intrathecal, oral, topical, or inhalation routes. Optionally, administration can be performed through mini-pump infusion using various commercially available devices. The polypeptides of this invention can also be employed using gene therapy techniques which have been described in the art.

Effective dosages and schedules for administering the polypeptides of this invention can be determined empirically, and making such determinations is within the skill in the art. Single or multiple dosages can be employed. It is presently believed that an effective dosage or amount of a polypeptide of this invention used alone can range from about 1 ng/kg to about 100 mg/kg of body weight or more per day. Interspecies scaling of dosages can be performed in a manner known in the art, e.g., as disclosed in Mordini et al., *Pharmaceut. Res.*, 8:1351 (1991).

When *in vivo* administration of a polypeptide of this invention thereof is employed, normal dosage amounts can vary from about 10 ng/kg to up to 100 mg/kg of mammal body weight or more per day, preferably about 1  $\mu$ g/kg/day to 10 mg/kg/day, depending upon the route of administration. Guidance as to particular dosages and methods of delivery is provided in the literature; see, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,657,760; 5,206,344; or 5,225,212. It is anticipated that different formulations will be effective for different treatment compounds and different disorders, that administration targeting one organ or tissue, for example, can necessitate delivery in a manner different from that to another organ or tissue. Those skilled in the art will understand that the dosage of polypeptide that must be administered will vary depending on, for example, the mammal which will receive the agonist or antagonist, the route of administration, and other drugs or therapies being administered to the mammal.

Depending on the type of cells and/or severity of the disease, about 1 ng/kg to 15 mg/kg (e.g. 0.1-20 mg/kg) of polypeptide is an initial candidate dosage for administration, whether, for example, by one or more separate administrations, or by continuous infusion. A typical daily dosage might range from about 1 ng/kg to 100 mg/kg or more, depending on the factors mentioned above. For repeated administrations over several days or longer, depending on the condition, the treatment is sustained until a desired suppression of disease symptoms occurs. However, other dosage regimens can be useful.

Optionally, prior to administration of any polypeptide, the mammal or patient can be tested to determine levels or activity of APRIL or BAFF. Such testing can be conducted by ELISA or FACS of serum samples or peripheral blood leukocytes.

It is contemplated that yet additional therapies can be employed in the methods. The polypeptide of this invention (and one or more other therapies) can be administered concurrently or sequentially. The one or more other therapies can include but are not limited to, administration of radiation therapy, cytokine(s), growth inhibitory agent(s), chemotherapeutic agent(s), cytotoxic agent(s), tyrosine kinase inhibitors, ras farnesyl transferase inhibitors, angiogenesis inhibitors, and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors. In addition, therapies based on therapeutic antibodies that target tumor antigens such as Rituxan<sup>TM</sup> or Herceptin<sup>TM</sup> as well as anti-angiogenic antibodies such as anti-VEGF.

Preparation and dosing schedules for chemotherapeutic agents can be used according to manufacturers' instructions or as determined empirically by the skilled practitioner. Preparation and dosing schedules for such chemotherapy are also described in *Chemotherapy Service* Ed., M.C. Perry, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD (1992). The chemotherapeutic agent can precede, or follow administration of, e.g. a polypeptide of this invention, or can be given simultaneously therewith. The polypeptide of this invention can also be combined with an anti-oestrogen compound such as tamoxifen or an anti-progesterone such as onapristone (see, EP 616812) in dosages known for such molecules.

It can be desirable to also administer antibodies against other antigens, such as antibodies which bind to CD20, CD11a, CD18, CD40, ErbB2, EGFR, ErbB3, ErbB4, or vascular endothelial factor (VEGF). Alternatively, or in addition, two or more antibodies binding the same or two or more different antigens disclosed herein can be co-administered to the patient. Sometimes, it can be beneficial to also administer one or more cytokines to the patient. In one embodiment, the antagonists herein are co-administered with a growth inhibitory agent. For example, the growth inhibitory agent can be administered first, followed by a polypeptide of the present invention.

#### E. METHODS OF SCREENING

The invention also encompasses methods of identifying inhibitors of BAFF or APRIL binding to their receptors. Such molecules can comprise small molecules or polypeptides, including antibodies. Examples of small molecules include, but are not limited to, small peptides or peptide-like molecules, preferably soluble peptides, and synthetic non-peptidyl organic or inorganic compounds. The screening assays for drug candidates are designed to identify compounds or molecules that bind or complex with the polypeptides identified herein, or otherwise interfere with the interaction of these polypeptides with other cellular proteins. Such screening assays will include assays amenable to high-throughput screening of chemical libraries, making them particularly suitable for identifying small molecule drug candidates.

The assays can be performed in a variety of formats, including protein-protein binding assays, biochemical screening assays, immunoassays, and cell-based assays, which are well characterized in the art.

Assays for, for instance, identifying inhibitors are common in that they call for contacting the drug candidate with a polypeptide of this invention under conditions and for a time sufficient to allow these two components to interact.

In binding assays, the interaction is binding and the complex formed can be isolated or detected in the reaction mixture. In a particular embodiment, the polypeptide identified herein or the drug candidate is immobilized on a solid phase, e.g., on a microtiter plate, by covalent or non-covalent attachments. Non-covalent attachment generally is accomplished by coating the solid surface with a solution of the polypeptide and drying. Alternatively, an immobilized antibody, e.g., a monoclonal antibody, specific for the polypeptide to be immobilized can be used to anchor it to a solid surface. The assay is performed by adding the non-immobilized component (e.g., drug candidate), which can be labeled by a detectable label, to the immobilized component, e.g., the coated surface containing the anchored component. When the reaction is complete, the non-reacted components are removed, e.g., by washing, and complexes anchored on the solid surface are detected. When the originally non-

immobilized component carries a detectable label, the detection of label immobilized on the surface indicates that complexing occurred. Where the originally non-immobilized component does not carry a label, complexing can be detected, for example, by using a labeled antibody specifically binding the non-immobilized component.

Compounds or molecules that interfere with the interaction of BAFF and its receptors and APRIL and its receptors and other intra- or extracellular components can be tested as follows: usually a reaction mixture is prepared containing a polypeptide of this invention under conditions and for a time allowing for the interaction and binding of the two products. To test the ability of a candidate compound to inhibit binding, the reaction is run in the absence and in the presence of the test compound. In addition, a placebo can be added to a third reaction mixture, to serve as positive control. The binding (complex formation) between the test compound and the polypeptide of this invention is monitored. The formation of a complex in the control reaction(s) but not in the reaction mixture containing the test compound indicates that the test compound interferes with the interaction of the test compound and its reaction partner.

The polypeptides of this invention can also be evaluated to determine the strength of their activity using assays known in the art. According to one embodiment, a method of identifying a BAFF inhibitor is a NF- $\kappa$ B2/100 processing assay in splenic B cells. According to a further embodiment, the NF- $\kappa$ B2/100 processing assay is performed as follows: splenic B cells from mice are isolated using MACS beads (Miltenyi). The B cells are cultured for 24 h in the presence or absence of recombinant BAFF (2 nM) which has been preincubated for 30 min at room temperature with the polypeptide to be tested or a control (e.g., native BCMA polypeptide-as a positive inhibitory control). Thereafter, the B cells are lysed. The lysates (10  $\mu$ g) are subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-NF- $\kappa$ B2 or anti- $\beta$ actin antibodies. See Kayagaki, N et al., (2002) *Immunity* 10:515-524. An inhibitor in this assay will decrease the processing of NF- $\kappa$ B2/100 to p52 protein.

In another assay, a BCMA-DR4 chimeric receptor (the extracellular domain of human DR4 replaced with that of a BCMA polypeptide) is used in an apoptosis assay. HeLa cells can be used for stable expression of BCMA-DR4. Addition of BAFF or APRIL triggers apoptosis due to activation of the BCMA-DR4 chimeric receptor. The cell based screening is based on the fact that BAFF antagonists or APRIL antagonists should prevent BAFF or APRIL induced cell death. HeLa cells expressing BCMA-DR4 would be seeded into 12-well plate 16 hours before the assay. Purified recombinant BAFF or APRIL (10 ng/ml) is first preincubated with the agents to be tested (e.g., a polypeptide of this invention) for 30 min at room temperature prior to addition to the cells expressing BCMA-DR4. 8 to 16 hours after addition of BAFF or APRIL, cell death is quantified by Trypan-Blue assay.

## F ARTICLES OF MANUFACTURE

In another embodiment of the invention, an article of manufacture containing materials useful for the treatment of the disorders described above is provided. The article of manufacture comprises a container and a label and a polypeptide or nucleic acid of this invention. Suitable containers include, for example, bottles, vials, syringes, and test tubes. The containers can be formed from a variety of materials such as glass or plastic. The container holds a composition which is effective for treating the condition

and can have a sterile access port (for example the container can be an intravenous solution bag or a vial having a stopper pierceable by a hypodermic injection needle). The active agents in the composition comprises a polypeptide of this invention alone or in combination with an additional therapeutic agent. Examples of an additional therapeutic agent includes, chemotherapeutic agents, cytotoxic agents, etc. The label on, or associated with, the container indicates that the composition is used for treating the condition of choice. The article of manufacture can further comprise a second container comprising a pharmaceutically-acceptable buffer, such as phosphate-buffered saline, Ringer's solution and dextrose solution. It can further include other materials desirable from a commercial and user standpoint, including other buffers, diluents, filters, needles, syringes, and package inserts with instructions for use.

Commercially available reagents referred to in the Examples were used according to manufacturer's instructions unless otherwise indicated. The source of those cells identified in the following Examples, and throughout the specification, by ATCC accession numbers is the American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA. Unless otherwise noted, the present invention uses standard procedures of recombinant DNA technology, such as those described hereinabove and in the following textbooks: Sambrook *et al.*, *supra*; Ausubel *et al.*, Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (Green Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, N.Y., 1989); Innis *et al.*, PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications (Academic Press, Inc.: N.Y., 1990); Harlow *et al.*, Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Press: Cold Spring Harbor, 1988); Gait, Oligonucleotide Synthesis (IRL Press: Oxford, 1984); Freshney, Animal Cell Culture, 1987; Coligan *et al.*, Current Protocols in Immunology, 1991.

Throughout this specification and claims, the word "comprise," or variations such as "comprises" or "comprising," will be understood to imply the inclusion of a stated integer or group of integers but not the exclusion of any other integer or group of integers.

The foregoing written description is considered to be sufficient to enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention. The following Examples are offered for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the present invention in any way. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those shown and described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description and fall within the scope of the appended claims.

## EXAMPLES

### EXAMPLE 1 - Materials and Methods

The reagents listed below were obtained from the following sources: o-Phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) (Sigma), Streptavidin peroxidase (POD) (Roche/Boehringer Mannheim), IgG-horse radish peroxidase (HRP) (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories) Protease Complete (Boehringer Mannheim), anti-M13-HRP (Boehringer Mannheim), sulfo NHS-biotin (Pierce). Human BR3-Fc (Pelletier, M., et al., (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278, 33127-33133) was a kind gift from Y.-M. Hsu (Biogen). BR3-Fc contains the first 70 amino acids of human BR3 extracellular domain, carrying Val20 -> Asn and Leu27 -> Pro mutations, fused with a human IgG1 Fc domain.

**BAFF<sub>82-285</sub> production.** Human BAFF was expressed and purified as previously described (Gordon, N. C., et al., (2003) *Biochemistry* **42**, 5977-5983). Briefly, a DNA fragment encoding human BAFF (residues 82-285) was cloned into the pET15b (Novagen) expression vector, creating a fusion with an N-terminal His-tag followed by a thrombin cleavage site. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Novagen) cultures were grown to mid-log phase at 37 °C in LB medium with 50 mg/L carbenicillin and then cooled to 16 °C prior to induction with 1.0 mM IPTG. Cells were harvested by centrifugation after 12 h of further growth and stored at -80 °C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 500 mM NaCl and sonicated on ice. After centrifugation, the supernatant was loaded onto a Ni-NTA agarose column (Qiagen). The column was washed with 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole and then eluted with a step gradient in the same buffer with 250 mM imidazole. BAFF-containing fractions were pooled, thrombin was added, and the sample was dialyzed overnight against 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> at 4°C. The protein was further purified on a monoQ (Pharmacia) column and finally on an S-200 size exclusion column in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The resulting BAFF protein was used as described below.

**APRIL expression and production.** A PCR product coding for amino acids K104-L241 of murine APRIL was subcloned into the XbaI/NotI sites of a pET-32aΔEK, a modified PET-32a vector (Novagen) with a deleted S-Tag and enterokinase site (basepairs 5602-5683) to generate an N-terminal thioredoxin (TRX) fusion protein. The pET-32a-APRIL(K104-L241) was transformed into Origami (DE3) competent cells (Novagen). Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 and grown at room temperature (RT) in LB media with 50 µg /ml carbenicillin to an A<sub>600</sub> of 0.8 with vigorous shaking. IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1 mM for induction and cultures were grown overnight at 25 °C. One liter of frozen cell pellet was resuspended in 100 ml Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 2 mM benzamidine) with 5 mM imidazole and placed on ice for 30 min. Cells were homogenized by passage through a microfluidizer, and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 45 min. Supernatant was loaded onto a 3 ml Ni-NTA agarose column (Qiagen), washed with 10 column volumes of Buffer A with 10 mM imidazole, and eluted with 10 column volumes of Buffer A with 300 mM imidazole. Fractions containing TRX-APRIL fusion protein were pooled, concentrated and purified by Superdex 200 size exclusion chromatography.

**Baculovirus expression and purification of BCMA ECD.** DNA coding for residues 4-53 (M4 to N53) of the human BCMA extracellular domain (ECD) was amplified by PCR and subcloned into the vector pET15b (Novagen) using the NdeI and XhoI restriction sites to introduce an N-terminal His tag, and a thrombin cleavage sequence preceding the BCMA coding region. This His-tagged BCMA construct was subcloned into the baculovirus transfer vector pAcGP67B (Pharmingen) using the BamHI and NotI restriction sites. The transfer vector was co-transfected with BaculoGold DNA into Sf9 cells and recombinant virus subsequently isolated and amplified to facilitate protein production. After three days of growth of virally infected Hi5 cells at 27°C, his-tagged protein was purified from the culture medium by chromatography on Ni-NTA resin as described previously (Hymowitz, S. G., et al, (2001) *Embo J* **20**, 5332-5341), followed by gel filtration on a Superdex 75 column. BCMA eluted from the Superdex 75 column as a monomer. N-terminal sequencing and mass spectrometry were used to confirm

the proper identity of the purified protein and the presence of both glycosylated and non-glycosylated species in the purified protein pool, respectively.

**Expression and purification of BCMA-Z fusion proteins.** Plasmid BCMA-Z, designed to express a protein fusion of BCMA ECD (residues 5-50) and the Z domain of Staphylococcal protein A (Nilsson, B., et al., (1987) Protein Eng 1, 107-113) was constructed by using PCR to replace the amber stop and gene 3 portion of BCMA1-g3 with the Z domain fragment from plasmid pZCT (Starovasnik, M. A., et al., (1999) Protein Sci 8, 1423-1431). For these experiments, the Z domain contained the following sequence:

AQHDEAVDNKFNKEQQNAFYEILHLPNLNEEQRNAFIQSLKDDPSQSANLLAEAKKLNDAQAPK (SEQ ID NO:2)

Oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis was performed as described (Kunkel, T. A., et al., (1987) Methods Enzymol 154, 367-382) to generate point mutations in the BCMA sequence and all constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. BCMA-Z and mutant BCMA-Z fusion proteins were expressed by secretion from *E. coli* and purified by chromatography on IgG-Sepharose as described previously (Starovasnik, M. A., et al., (1996) Biochemistry 35, 15558-15569). BCMA-Z and mutant BCMA-Z fusion proteins were further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-100 HR column. BCMA-Z had an elution volume from the S-100 column between that of soluble human tissue factor (MW=24800) and *E. coli* thioredoxin (MW=11675). A molar mass of 12,000, consistent with the monomer MW of 13017 calculated from the amino acid sequence, was calculated from light scattering data collected on a miniDAWN detector (Wyatt Technologies). Amino acid analysis was performed on the purified BCMA-Z to determine the extinction coefficient ( $\epsilon_{280} = 9832 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).

**Competitive displacement ELISA.** BCMA, BCMA mutants and BR3 polypeptides were tested for binding to either APRIL or BAFF in a competition ELISA assay. A 100  $\mu\text{l}$  solution of carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) containing 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  target ligand, either APRIL or BAFF, was coated on Nunc Maxisorp 96 well plates overnight at 4 °C. The plate was washed with PBS and blocked for 1 hr with 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of 0.2% BSA in PBS. In one set of experiments, BCMA-Z was added for a final concentration of 0.2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  to three-fold serial dilutions of ligand that were prepared in a 96 well plate containing PBS/0.05% Tween-20 and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature. After washing the coated plate with PBS/0.05% Tween-20, 100  $\mu\text{l}$  /well of each dilution was transferred to the washed plate and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature. The plate was then washed with PBS/0.05% Tween-20 and incubated with 100  $\mu\text{l}$  /well of 1:3000 dilution of IgG-HRP for 1 hr at room temperature to detect bound BCMA-Z through the Z domain-IgG interaction. After washing plate with PBS/0.05% Tween-20 followed by final wash in PBS, the plate was incubated for 5 min at room temperature with 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{well}$  PBS substrate solution containing 0.8 mg/ml OPD (Sigma) and 0.01%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . The reaction was quenched with 100  $\mu\text{l}$  /well of 1M  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  and the plate was read at 492 nm. See Figure 1A. To account for background signal, 100  $\mu\text{l}/\text{well}$  of each dilution was also transferred to uncoated wells blocked with 0.2% BSA in PBS and then processed as described above.

In a variation of the above ELISA, three-fold serial dilutions of receptors were prepared in PBS/0.05% Tween-20 with 7 pM biotinylated BCMA-Z (when APRIL was the target) or 0.3 pM

biotinylated "miniBR3", BR3 residues 17-42, (when BAFF was the target). MiniBR3 was prepared and biotinylated as described previously (Gordon, N. C., et al., (2003) *Biochemistry* **42**, 5977-5983). For BCMA-Z biotinylation, 20 µg of purified BCMA-Z was incubated with a 3-fold molar excess of biotin-*sulphoNHS* (Pierce) in PBS at 25 °C for 3 hrs and then quenched with a 10-fold molar excess of Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. After washing the NUNC plate coated with either APRIL or BAFF with PBS/Tween-20, 100 µl /well of each receptor dilution was transferred and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature. The plate was washed with PBS/Tween-20 and incubated with 100 µl /well of 0.1 U/ml Streptavidin-POD (Boehringer Mannheim) for 15 min. The peroxidase signal was developed and detected as described above. To account for background signal, 100µl/well of each dilution was also transferred to uncoated wells blocked with 0.2% BSA in PBS and then processed as described above.

**Measurement of binding constants for receptors to ligands.** Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) measurements on a BIACore 3000 instrument (Pharmacia Biosensor) were used to measure binding affinities of receptors to immobilized APRIL and BAFF. CM5 chips from BIACore were docked according to manufacturer instructions. Then, BIACore system was primed with 0.2 µm filtered buffer containing 10mM Hepes pH 7.2, 150mM NaCl, 0.005% Tween20. After buffer priming, the flow cells were normalized with 70% glycerol. Each flow cell surface was activated individually in preparation for linkage of the ligand to the chip with a 1:1 mixture of EDC (*N*-ethyl-*N'*-(3-dimethyl aminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride) and NHS (*N*-hydroxysuccinimide). In this instance, the ligand is the immobilized component (e.g., APRIL or BAFF) and the analyte (e.g., BCMA or BR3) is the component whose binding is being measured. Generally, the ligand to be immobilized (30 µg/ml in 10 mM NaOAc, pH 5.buffer) was injected into the system at 40 µl/min. Once approximately 400 RU was generated, the flow cell was blocked with ethanolamine. For use as a control, one activated flow cell that had not been conjugated with anything was also blocked with ethanolamine. The system was then primed again.

50 µl samples of analyte ranging in concentration from 6.25 nM to 200 nM in 2-fold increments were injected over flow cells at a flow rate of 30 µl/min. The ligand-receptor complex was allowed to dissociate by washing the flow cell at 30 µl/min for 600 seconds. Alternatively, if several binding measurements over the same flow cell were to be performed, the ligand-receptor complex was allowed to dissociate by washing the flow cell at 30 µl/min for 900 seconds. Sensorgrams were recorded for each binding assay. A 1:1 Langmuir binding equation was used to simultaneously fit the association and dissociation rates of the ligand-analyte interactions.  $k_a$ ,  $k_d$ ,  $K_D$  were calculated using curve fit analysis software provided with the BiaCore instrument

#### EXAMPLE 2 - Binding Assays with Monomers and Dimers of BCMA

Previous reports on the interaction of BCMA with BAFF utilizing dimeric BCMA constructs (BCMA-Fc) have indicated a high affinity interaction with  $K_D$  values of about 1 nM (Marsters, S. A., et al., (2000) *Curr Biol* **10**, 785-788; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* **1**, 252-256). More recently, studies with monovalent BCMA-Fc have indicated a much weaker affinity for BAFF (Pelletier, M., et al., (2003) *J Biol Chem* **278**, 33127-33133). In this study, monomeric BCMAs were produced and their affinities for both BAFF and APRIL were measured. Monomeric BCMA was used in the form of a BCMA ECD purified from baculovirus expression or a protein containing a BCMA ECD fused to the Z domain of Protein A (BCMA-Z) purified from secretions of *E. coli* as in Example 1. Although human BCMA and

human BAFF were used in these experiments, murine APRIL was used since the murine protein is much better behaved than the human protein *in vitro*. Murine and human APRIL share >80% sequence identity and the putative receptor-binding residues are absolutely conserved. APRIL was expressed and purified as a thioredoxin fusion protein as described in Example 1. All binding experiments were done with the intact fusion protein since removal of the thioredoxin by limited proteolysis resulted in reduced solubility. The binding affinity for monomeric human BCMA-Z to murine APRIL and human BAFF was measured by competition ELISA as described in Example 1.

APRIL in solution was able to compete for binding to BCMA-Z with immobilized APRIL with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 20 nM whereas BAFF in solution competed for BCMA-Z binding with immobilized BAFF with an IC<sub>50</sub> of >65  $\mu$ M (Figure 1A). Comparison of APRIL binding affinity for BCMA-Z and BCMA from baculovirus expression in a competition ELISA shows that the Z domain does not influence the measured affinity (Figure 1B). This equivalent binding allowed the remaining BCMA binding measurements to be made using BCMA-Z. A competition ELISA measuring BCMA-Z binding to APRIL and BAFF using biotinylated BCMA-Z (for APRIL binding) or biotinylated miniBR3 (Gordon, N. C., et al., (2003) *Biochemistry* **42**, 5977-5983) (for BAFF binding) confirms that the affinity measurements of BCMA-Z binding to receptors (Figure 1C) are consistent with the ligand competition data: IC<sub>50</sub> values of BCMA-Z binding to APRIL (IC<sub>50</sub> = 11 nM) are about 1000-fold higher in affinity than BCMA-Z binding to BAFF (IC<sub>50</sub> = 8  $\mu$ M for BAFF).

Since previously reported binding affinities of BCMA-BAFF interaction have been reported to be in the low nM range (Marsters, S. A., et al., (2000) *Curr Biol* **10**, 785-788; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* **1**, 252-256; Pelletier, M., et al., (2003) *J Biol Chem* **278**, 33127-33133), a comparison of various receptor constructs and ligands was done to examine the effects of avidity on receptor binding to APRIL and BAFF. Figure 2 compares binding affinities of BCMA-Z, BCMA-Fc, and BR3-Fc to either APRIL (Figure 2A) or BAFF (Figure 2B). These data reveal that the monovalent BCMA-Z binds to BAFF (IC<sub>50</sub> = 9  $\mu$ M) with a 1000-fold reduced affinity compared to APRIL (IC<sub>50</sub> = 7 nM), while BCMA-Fc, a bivalent construct, binds to both APRIL and BAFF with low nM affinity (IC<sub>50</sub> = 5 nM and 13 nM, respectively). BR3-Fc binds BAFF, its predicted physiological ligand, with IC<sub>50</sub> = 7 nM, but has no measurable affinity for APRIL.

The ligand binding affinity of BCMA was also measured by surface plasmon resonance. APRIL and BAFF were selected for immobilization due to non-specific interactions of APRIL with the sensor chip surface when used in the mobile phase. Table 5 summarizes the kinetics data for BCMA-Z and BCMA-Fc.

Table 5.

| Receptor-Ligand | k <sub>a</sub><br>( $\times 10^{-5}$ M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) | k <sub>d</sub><br>( $\times 10^3$ s <sup>-1</sup> ) | K <sub>D</sub><br>(nM) |
|-----------------|--|---|------------------------|
| BCMA-Fc - APRIL | 31.2   | 0.1   | 0.2                    |
| BCMA-Z - APRIL  | 8.5  | 4.7   | 5.5                    |
| BCMA-Fc - BAFF  | 6.8  | 3.4   | 4.9                    |

|                        |     |     |     |
|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| BCMA-Z - BAFF          | NMB | NMB | NMB |
| BCMA(baculovirus)-BAFF | NMB | NMB | NMB |

**Table 5. Binding constants for receptor binding to ligands.** Association ( $k_a$ ) and dissociation ( $k_d$ ) rate constants, and dissociation constants ( $K_D$ ) were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis using a 1:1 binding model. NMB signifies no measurable binding.

BCMA-Z bound to immobilized APRIL with a  $K_D$  of 5.5 nM whereas binding to BAFF was not detectable. BAFF-binding by BCMA ECD produced via baculovirus expression was also undetectable. In contrast, BCMA-Fc bound to both BAFF ( $K_D$  = 4.9 nM) and APRIL ( $K_D$  = 0.2 nM) with high affinity, consistent with the competition ELISA result. BCMA-Fc binding to immobilized APRIL gave a faster on-rate with a slower off-rate than measured for the BCMA-Z-APRIL interaction, as expected for a bivalent molecule where avidity contributes to binding.

Monovalent BCMA binds APRIL with high affinity. In contrast, the affinity for BAFF is 1000-fold weaker than for APRIL. Since the BCMA produced in *E. coli* binds APRIL with high affinity, and is equivalent to material produced by expression in insect cells, the weak affinity for BAFF cannot be explained by a misfolding of BCMA-Z. This study is believed to be the first report of a high affinity interaction between monovalent BCMA and APRIL. Consistent with earlier studies (Marsters, S. A., et al., (2000) *Curr Biol* 10, 785-788; Yu, G., et al., (2000) *Nat Immunol* 1, 252-256; Pelletier, M., et al., (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278, 33127-33133), the bivalent protein (BCMA-Fc) binds BAFF with an apparent high affinity. An avidity component originating from a bivalent receptor interacting with a ligand having three binding sites can enhance the apparent affinity. Thus, it is likely that BAFF may bind with high affinity to cells expressing BCMA only if the receptors are pre-organized on the cell surface. Although it has been proposed that FAS and TNFR1 can form homo-oligomers on the cell surface in the absence of ligand (Chan, F. K., et al., (2000) *Science* 288, 2351-2354), BCMA appears to lack the pre-ligand assembly domain ("PLAD") necessary for this association. Under normal physiological conditions, endogenous BCMA may naturally operate only as a receptor for APRIL and not for BAFF.

#### EXAMPLE 3 - BCMA Mutational Analysis

A shotgun alanine scan (Weiss, G. A., et al., (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97, 8950-8954) of the single extracellular cysteine rich domain (CRD) of BCMA was used to determine the contribution of individual amino acid side chains to the binding of either APRIL or BAFF. This was carried out by generating phage display libraries expressing the BCMA mutants made by shotgun alanine scan and screening phage display libraries for those that bound to APRIL and/or BAFF.

**Library construction.** An initial vector for phage display of the BCMA extracellular domain was prepared by PCR subcloning of the fragment encoding residues 5-50 (A5 to K51) into the phagemid sTF-g3 (Lee, G. F., and Kelley, R. F. (1998) *J Biol Chem* 273, 4149-4154). The resulting construct (BCMA1-g3) contained residues 5-50 fused at the C-terminus to a tripeptide (G-S-A) linker and an amber stop codon followed by the C-terminal half of the M13 p3 coat protein. The bacterial signal sequence stII was joined to the N-terminus of BCMA with an inserted Ser residue comprising the P1' cleavage site for the signal peptidase. Expression was driven by the alkaline phosphatase promoter.

Phagemid BCMA2-g3 was prepared by using site-directed mutagenesis (Kunkel, T. A., et al., (1987) *Methods Enzymol* **154**, 367-382) to insert the peptide epitope (MADPNRFRGKDLGG) (SEQ ID NO:1) for an antibody (3C8:2F4, Genentech, Inc.) between the P1 and P1' residues of the signal sequence cleavage site. In order to generate phage libraries that were completely represented by the phage pool, the ECD was divided into two distinct libraries as described below.

BCMA2-g3 phagemid was used to prepare two "shotgun alanine" scanning libraries essentially as described previously (Weiss, G. A., et al., (2000) *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 8950-8954). In these libraries, certain wild-type codons were replaced by degenerate codons within amino acid positions 7-36 in the BCMA ECD (except cysteines and alanines) resulting in either the wild-type amino acid or alanine or a few alternative amino acids being expressed at the selected sites. More specifically, each library, prepared separately, contained shotgun codons at the following positions: library 1 has shotgun codons at positions 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, library 2 has shotgun codons at positions 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36. These sites were chosen for mutagenesis on the basis of their proximity to BAFF in the BCMA-BAFF crystal structure (Liu, Y., et al., (2003) *Nature* **423**, 49-56) and because of their structural equivalence to residues found important for BAFF-binding in a shotgun scan of BR3 (Gordon, N. C., et al., (2003) *Biochemistry* **42**, 5977-5983). The shotgun codon substituted at each wild-type position was as follows:

| Amino acid | Shotgun codon* | Shotgun substitutions |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| C          | KST            | A/C/G/S               |
| D          | GMT            | A/D                   |
| E          | GMA            | A/E                   |
| F          | KYT            | A/F/S/V               |
| G          | GST            | A/G                   |
| H          | SMT            | A/H/D/P               |
| I          | RYT            | A/I/T/V               |
| K          | RMA            | A/K/E/T               |
| L          | SYT            | A/L/P/V               |
| M          | RYG            | A/M/T/V               |
| N          | RMC            | A/N/D/T               |
| P          | SCA            | A/P                   |
| Q          | SMA            | A/Q/E/P               |
| R          | SST            | A/R/G/P               |
| S          | KCC            | A/S                   |
| T          | RCT            | A/T                   |
| V          | GYT            | A/V                   |
| W          | KSG            | A/W/G/S               |
| Y          | KMT            | A/Y/D/S               |

For each amino acid, the appropriate shotgun codon ideally encoded only the wt amino acid or alanine, but the nature of the genetic code necessitates the occurrence of two other amino acids for some shotgun substitutions. Single-letter amino acid and nucleotide abbreviations are used. \*DNA degeneracies are represented by IUB code (K = G/T, M = A/C, N = A/C/G/T, R = A/G, S = G/C, W = A/T, Y = C/T). Thus, for positions where the wild-type residue is Arg, Asn, Gln, His, Ile, Leu, Phe, or Tyr, the shotgun code allows for two additional amino acid substitutions. Each library contained at

least  $1 \times 10^{11}$  phage/ml, allowing for complete representation of the theoretical diversity ( $>10^5$  -fold excess) [library 1 codes  $1.1 \times 10^6$  unique sequences, library 2 codes  $5.2 \times 10^5$  unique sequences].

**Library sorting and analysis.** The BCMA phage libraries were individually subjected to two types of selection: target ligand selection (BAFF or APRIL), and display selection by binding to an antibody (e.g., 3C8:2F4 (Genentech, Inc.)) that recognizes an epitope tag N-terminally displayed on all BCMA library members (Skelton, N. J., et al., (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278, 7645-7654). Display selection is important for normalizing BAFF- and APRIL-binding selection with respect to expression differences between libraries. BAFF, APRIL, or anti-tag antibody, 3C8:2F4, were immobilized on 96-well Nunc Maxisorp immunoplates (Sidhu, S. S. (2001) *Biomol Eng* 18, 57-63). BSA-coated wells were used to determine non-specific background binding. Phage was diluted to  $1 \times 10^9$  phage/ml in PBC/0.05% Tween 20/0.2% BSA and bound at room temperature for 2 hours with gentle shaking. After incubation, phage solutions were discarded and bound phage were washed ten times with PBS/0.05% Tween 20. Bound phage were eluted by incubating each well with 100  $\mu$ l of 100mM HCl for 5 minutes at room temperature. Phage eluted from each target were neutralized with 2M Tris base and propagated in *E. coli* XL1-Blue in the presence of M13K07 helper phage; amplified phage were used for selection against the same target in the previous round. Phage sorting was stopped, generally at round 2 or 3, when 100-fold enrichment was obtained. Enrichment was calculated from the ratio of the phage titer eluted from the target-coated wells to the phage titer eluted from the BSA-coated wells. Individual clones from each library and selection target were then grown in a 96-well format in 400  $\mu$ l of 2YT medium supplemented with carbenicillin and K07 helper phage.

Phage ELISA assays (Sidhu, S. S., Weiss, G. A., and Wells, J. A. (2000) *J Mol Biol* 296, 487-495) were performed to detect phage-displayed variants of BCMA ECD capable of binding BAFF, APRIL or anti-tag antibody. Generally, the phage ELISA assays were carried out as described below.

Cultures of *E. coli* XL1-Blue harboring phagemids were grown for eight hours at 37 °C in 1 ml of 2YT, 50mg/ml carbenicillin, 10mg/ml tetracycline. The cultures were transferred to 30 ml of the same medium, supplemented with M13-KO7 helper phage ( $10^{10}$  phage/ml) at the appropriate concentration, and grown overnight at 37 °C. Phage were harvested from the culture supernatant by precipitation twice with 20% PEG/NaCl and resuspended in 1.0 ml of BSA blocking buffer (phosphate- buffered saline, 0.2 % (w/v) BSA, 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20). Phage concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically ( $A_{268} = 1.2 \times 10^{8} M^{-1} cm^{-1}$ ).

Maxisorp immunoplates (96-well) were coated with capture target protein for two hours at room temperature (100  $\mu$ l at 5 g/ml in 50 mM carbonate buffer (pH 9.6)). The plates were then blocked for one hour with 0.2 % BSA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature and washed eight times with PBS, 0.05 % Tween 20. Phage particles were serially diluted into BSA blocking buffer and 100  $\mu$ l was transferred to coated wells. After one hour at room temperature, plates were washed eight times with PBS, 0.05 % Tween 20, incubated with 100  $\mu$ l of 1:3000 horseradish peroxidase/anti-M13 antibody conjugate in BSA blocking buffer for 30 minutes, and then washed eight times with PBS, 0.05 % Tween 20 and twice with PBS. Plates were developed using an o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution (100  $\mu$ l), stopped with 2.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (50  $\mu$ l), and absorbance measured at 492 nm.

All clones tested that were found to be positive in their respective ELISAs were then sequenced as described previously (Sidhu, S. S. (2001) *Biomol Eng* 18, 57-63). Sequence data without ambiguity in the BCMA ECD sequence were translated and aligned. For selection of binding to BAFF, 40 and 47 sequences were analyzed from libraries 1 and 2, respectively. For selection of binding to APRIL, 44 and 46 sequences were analyzed from libraries 1 and 2, respectively. For the display selection, binding to anti-tag antibody, a minimum of 40 sequences was analyzed for each library. To quantify the effect of each mutation on ligand binding, normalized frequency ratios ( $F$ ) for each amino acid position was calculated from a ratio of ligand selection to display efficiency selection, as described previously (Skelton, N. J., et al., (2003) *J Biol Chem* 278, 7645-7654).

$F$  values describe the effect of mutation on target binding, while accounting for differences in display efficiencies. This is generally accomplished by comparing the number of clones with the wild type residue at each position with the number of clones with each designed mutant at the same position (either alanine or homolog) and categorizing the substitutions as those that reduce (ratio>1), do not affect (ratio = approx. 1), or improve (ratio < 1) binding to peptide. To control for variation in expression or display level for different library members, the libraries were also selected for binding to an immobilized antibody (e.g., 3C8:2F4) capable of recognizing an epitope tag that was displayed at the N terminus of all library members. A normalized frequency of occurrence ( $F$ ) was derived by dividing the function selection of wt/mutant ratio by the display selection wt/mutant ratio. The normalized wild-type/alanine ratios ( $F$ ) obtained at each position for both BAFF and APRIL selection are shown in Figure 3.

Due to the relatively small pool of enriched sequences analyzed, only  $F$  values representing a greater than 10-fold effect are considered significant. The Tyr13 position in BCMA tolerated some alanine, as well as aspartate and serine, substitution for BAFF-binding but was absolutely conserved for APRIL-binding. The  $F$  values for Phe14 indicate a significant contribution to BAFF-binding but a more modest effect on affinity for APRIL. For both BAFF and APRIL binding, amino acids Asp15 and Leu17 are absolutely critical in that only wild-type residues were selected. The Asp15 and Leu17 form a part of a DxL motif present at the tip of a type I b-turn in BCMA (Kayagaki, N., et al., (2002) *Immunity* 17, 515-524; Liu, Y., et al., (2003) *Nature* 423, 49-56).

A conservative substitution of Leu18 to Val was observed for both APRIL and BAFF selection, however alanine was not observed at this position. Ala substitution of Ile22 was not tolerated for binding to BAFF but was compatible with APRIL binding. The Gln25 to Ala replacement appeared to have opposite effects on APRIL and BAFF binding but the difference in  $F$  value was barely significant. In contrast, Ala substitution of Arg27 was strongly preferred for binding to BAFF and disfavored for APRIL binding. Other replacements in the C-terminal portion of BCMA had no effect on ligand binding.

These results suggest that this portion of BCMA is bound in a cavity on APRIL that is similar to the pocket on BAFF for binding BCMA and BR3 (Liu, Y., et al. (2002) *Cell* 108, 383-394; Kim, H. M., et al. (2003) *Nat Struct Biol* 10, 342-348; Oren, D. A., et al. (2002) *Nat Struct Biol* 9, 288-29232-34).

Figure 4A shows the structure of residues 8 to 46 of BCMA.

In the structures determined for the BR3-BAFF or BCMA-BAFF (Figure 4B) complexes, the leucine residue of the DxL motif (Leu28 in BR3, Leu17 in BCMA) interacts with BAFF residues

Ala207, Leu211, Ile233, and Pro264, with Gly209 forming the bottom of the pocket. The Asp residue of the DxL motif (Asp26 in BR3, Asp15 in BCMA) makes a salt bridge with BAFF residue Arg265 and the conformation of the Asp may be stabilized through a hydrogen bond with BAFF residue Tyr206. High-resolution structures for APRIL by itself or in complex with BCMA are not available; however, APRIL is expected to have a similar binding pocket for the leucine side chain since, as shown in Figure 4B, the key residues are conserved (Gly209, Ile233, Pro264) or conservatively substituted (A207T, L211V). Arg265 is conserved in APRIL, thus allowing formation of a salt bridge with Asp15.

#### EXAMPLE 4 - Further Studies in BCMA-Ligand Binding Specificity

**NNS library construction and sorting.** Mutagenesis results for residues Ile22, Gln25, and Arg27 in Example 3 suggest these positions are likely candidates for providing ligand specificity since Ala substitution had opposite effects on BAFF and APRIL binding. Positions Leu18, Ile22, Gln25, and Arg27 were selected for further phage optimization studies by incorporation of NNS degenerate codons at these positions in a BCMA2-g3 phagemid followed by selection for ligand binding (NNS degenerate codon as defined by IUB code (Sidhu, S. S., et al. (2000) Methods Enzymol 328, 333-363). The library contained  $1 \times 10^{10}$  phage/ml allowing complete representation of the library, theoretically  $1 \times 10^6$  unique members.

Because each amino acid position selected for NNS codon introduction has the potential of all 20 amino acids from 31 triplet codons, the data was weighed according to codon degeneracy by calculating the ratio of percent occurrence to percent degeneracy of the amino acid at a given position as suggested previously (LaBean, T. H., and Kauffman, S. A. (1993) Protein Sci 2, 1249-1254). The normalized F' value corrects for display bias and calculated as the percent occurrence to percent degeneracy ratio for ligand selection divided by the percent occurrence to percent degeneracy ratio for display efficiency. Percent occurrence is calculated by dividing the number of times a particular amino acid appeared at a particular position by the total number of amino acids sequenced at that position followed by multiplying by 100. Percent degeneracy is calculated by dividing the degeneracy in code for a particular amino acid (see chart below) divided by the total degeneracy possible at that position followed by multiplying by 100 (e.g., if only A, G and I were selected, total degeneracy possible would be 5).

| degeneracy | a.a. |
|------------|------|
| 2          | A    |
| 1          | C    |
| 1          | D    |
| 1          | E    |
| 2          | F    |
| 2          | G    |
| 1          | H    |
| 1          | I    |
| 1          | K    |
| 3          | L    |
| 1          | M    |
| 1          | N    |
| 2          | P    |
| 1          | Q    |
| 3          | R    |
| 3          | S    |
| 2          | T    |
| 2          | V    |
| 1          | W    |
| 1          | Y    |

This new phage library was subjected to three rounds of sorting against either BAFF or APRIL and compared to the display target antibody.

A large F' value for an amino acid at a given position indicates that the amino acid is a favorable substitution for binding to the target ligand. As shown in Table 6, the substitutions that result in the maximum difference in F' (Sidhu, S. S., et al., (2000) *Methods Enzymol* 328, 333-363, LaBean, T. H., and Kauffman, S. A. (1993) *Protein Sci* 2, 1249-1254) between APRIL binding and BAFF binding are I22K, Q25D, and R27Y. The I22K substitution produced a >12-fold preference for APRIL binding over BAFF binding; Q25D and R27Y resulted in >13-fold and 9.5-fold preference for BAFF binding relative to APRIL binding, respectively. The L18I substitution was a relatively conservative substitution.

| Table 6. |         |     |     |     |          |     |     |     |
|----------|---------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|
| a.a.     | F' BAFF |     |     |     | F' APRIL |     |     |     |
|          | L18     | I22 | Q25 | R27 | L18      | I22 | Q25 | R27 |
| A        | 0       | 6   | 0   | 1   | 0        | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| C        | 0       | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0        | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| D        | 0       | 0   | 13  | 0   | 0        | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| E        | 0       | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0        | 0   | 1   | 0   |
| F        | 0       | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0        | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| G        | 0       | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0        | 0   | 3   | 0   |
| H        | 0       | 0   | 0   | 5   | 0        | 0   | 0   | 0   |

|   |           |   |   |           |   |           |           |           |
|---|-----------|---|---|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| I | <b>18</b> | 6 | 9 | 5         | 8 | 6         | 0         | 0         |
| K | 0         | 0 | 1 | 0         | 0 | <b>12</b> | 1         | 0         |
| L | 4         | 1 | 0 | 1         | 9 | 0         | 1         | 0         |
| M | 0         | 0 | 0 | 1         | 0 | 6         | 6         | 1         |
| N | 0         | 0 | 0 | 0         | 0 | 0         | 6         | 0         |
| P | 3         | 0 | 0 | 0         | 0 | 0         | 3         | 0         |
| Q | 0         | 0 | 9 | 0         | 0 | 0         | <b>12</b> | 0         |
| R | 0         | 1 | 2 | 0         | 0 | 0         | 4         | <b>53</b> |
| S | 0         | 0 | 1 | 4         | 0 | 1         | 4         | 0         |
| T | 6         | 0 | 2 | 1         | 0 | 1         | 0         | 0         |
| V | 5         | 7 | 1 | 1         | 2 | 6         | 0         | 1         |
| W | 0         | 0 | 0 | 5         | 0 | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Y | 0         | 0 | 9 | <b>19</b> | 0 | 0         | 6         | 2         |

Table 6. **Residue preferences at BCMA positions 18, 22, 25, 27 for binding to BAFF or APRIL.** A library having complete randomization (NNS codons) at these 4 sites was prepared and sorted for binding to BAFF or APRIL. The normalized F' values for each of the scanned positions in BCMA obtained from sequences of positive clones after three rounds of selection for binding to BAFF or APRIL. Normalized frequency values (F') are calculated from the target/display ratio taking into consideration codon degeneracy as described in experimental procedures. In boldface are the F' values that are greater than 10-fold change in frequency. A zero value indicates a position were the amino acid was not observed in screened clones.

#### EXAMPLE 5 - Competitive Binding Assays with mutant BCMA-Z Fusions

In order to confirm the effects on ligand binding indicated by the phage display results, point mutants were produced as BCMA-Z fusion proteins, purified, and assayed for BAFF and APRIL binding by competitive displacement ELISA (Table 7).

Table 7. IC<sub>50</sub> values

| BCMA-Z    | BAFF<br>(μM) | APRIL<br>(nM) |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| wt        | 8 ± 5        | 11 ± 3        |
| Y13A      | 12           | 5100          |
| Y13S      | 6            | 8400          |
| Y13F      | 3            | 5700          |
| I22K      | >100         | 38            |
| Q25D      | 36           | 32            |
| R27Y      | 4            | 400           |
| Q25D/R27Y | 0.7          | 350           |

**Table 7. Competitive displacement assay of BCMA-Z mutants binding to APRIL or BAFF.** IC<sub>50</sub> values shown are for BCMA-Z wt (mean  $\pm$  s.d of four data sets) or BCMA-Z point mutants competing with biotinylated BCMA-Z for binding to immobilized APRIL or BAFF or competition with biotinylated BR3 for binding to BAFF (not shown).

These data show that Tyr13 is critical for BCMA binding to APRIL since Ala, Ser, or Phe substitutions of this residue all increased the IC<sub>50</sub> by at least 400-fold (Table 7). The substitution of Tyr13 with Ala, Ser, or Phe, produced only small changes in affinity for BAFF. Consistent with the phage display results, the single amino acid substitution of I22K in BCMA-Z caused a greater than 10-fold reduction in affinity for BAFF but only about a 3-fold penalty for APRIL binding. Table 7 also shows that the single substitution of Q25D in BCMA-Z did not significantly improve binding to BAFF relative to APRIL, while the single amino acid substitution of R27Y reduced APRIL binding 40-fold, compared to wild-type. The double mutant Q25D/R27Y produced a receptor that could bind both APRIL and BAFF with nearly the same affinity. These substitutions gave non-additive contributions to binding since the effect measured for the double mutant was greater than the sum of effects measured for the single mutants. For example, the Q25D substitution resulted in decreased affinity for BAFF but when combined with R27Y it gave an increased affinity.

Surface plasmon resonance was used to independently measure the binding constants of the mutant BCMA-Z proteins for APRIL (Table 8).

**Table 8.**

| BCMA-Z | k <sub>a</sub><br>( $\times 10^5$ M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) | k <sub>d</sub><br>( $\times 10^3$ s <sup>-1</sup> ) | K <sub>D</sub><br>(nM) |
|--------|---|---|------------------------|
| wt     | 8.5   | 4.7   | 5.5                    |
| I22K   | 11.0  | 6.9   | 6.3                    |
| Q25D   | 7.9   | 5.9   | 7.5                    |
| R27Y   | 0.1   | 25  | 6500                   |

**Table 8. BCMA-Z binding to immobilized APRIL by surface plasmon resonance.** The rate constants and dissociation constants (KD) were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis using a 1:1 binding model.

Consistent with ELISA IC<sub>50</sub> measurements, BCMA-Z wild-type, I22K, and Q25D all bound APRIL in the low nM range. R27Y BCMA-Z binding to APRIL showed a 1000-fold reduction in affinity. The decreased affinity of this mutant results primarily from a decrease in the on-rate of binding, which leads to poor fitting to a 1:1 binding model and likely underestimates the actual K<sub>D</sub>. The SPR data for BCMA-Z Q25D/R27Y binding to APRIL was not well described by a 1:1 binding model such that the rate and equilibrium constants could not be calculated.

Residues outside of the DxL motif confer specificity of BCMA for APRIL and BR3 for BAFF. The BAFF-BCMA crystal structure (Liu, Y., et al., (2003) *Nature* 423, 49-56) shows that the hydroxyl group of Tyr13 has the potential to form hydrogen bonds with Asp15 and Arg27 on BCMA, and/or Tyr206 on BAFF (Figure 4). These results indicate that none of these potential hydrogen bonds are important for BAFF-binding. In contrast, the hydroxyl group is clearly essential for high-affinity APRIL binding. Interestingly, the residue corresponding to Tyr206 in APRIL is a phenylalanine, suggesting that the importance of Tyr13 likely results from an intra-molecular hydrogen bond within BCMA. Given the key role of Asp15 in the interface, the importance of Tyr13 to APRIL binding may be due to an indirect effect of influencing the position of Asp15; in BAFF, such a role could be accomplished by Tyr206 on the ligand.

Arg27 of BCMA is also important for specifying APRIL versus BAFF-binding. Again, mutation of this position has little effect on BAFF-binding but disrupts APRIL-binding significantly (Tables 3 and 4). In the BAFF-BCMA crystal structure, Arg27 forms a salt bridge with Glu266, yet the R27Y mutant indicates that this salt-bridge is not required for BAFF association. This conclusion is consistent with the observation of a high-affinity BAFF-BR3 interaction, since BR3 has a leucine (Leu38) in the position analogous to Arg27. Since APRIL has Glu266 replaced with Ala, it is unclear why Arg27 of BCMA is required for high-affinity binding to APRIL. Further understanding of this effect will require determination of the BCMA-APRIL complex structure. In addition to the contributions from Leu38 interactions, the specificity of BR3 for BAFF over APRIL could be explained by the substitution of a cysteine residue (Cys24 which makes a disulfide bond with Cys35) for the residue equivalent to Tyr13. Indeed, the C24Y mutation in BR3 increases affinity for APRIL (Liu, Y., et al. (2003) *Nature* 423, 49-56) and hydrophobic residues at position 38 in BR3 are important for BAFF-binding (Gordon, N. C., et al., (2003) *Biochemistry* 42, 5977-5983).

In addition to BCMA residues Tyr13 and Arg27, the results from phage display experiments suggest that Ile22 and Gln25 are important for determining ligand specificity. Ile22 makes a hydrophobic contact with BAFF and thus the I22K substitution results in a weaker affinity for BAFF. The contact residues for Ile22 on BAFF, Tyr206 and Leu240, are replaced with Phe and Arg in APRIL. Given the positive charge on APRIL residue Arg240, it is surprising that the I22K substitution has no effect on affinity for APRIL. The side chain of Gln25 is not in contact with BAFF and thus the Q25D single mutation has no significant effect on APRIL or BAFF binding. However, Q25D did increase affinity for BAFF when combined with R27Y such that a dual specificity BCMA variant was obtained. Given that Arg27 and Gln25 point in opposite directions, the origin of this non-additive effect is unclear.

#### EXAMPLE 6 - BCMA(I22K)-Fc Fusion

A BCMA(I22K)-Fc fusion protein having the sequence described in Figure 6 and a BCMA(wt)-Fc were engineered by ligating nucleic acid sequences encoding residues A5 to G51 of BCMA (wt or I22K mutant) upstream of nucleic acid sequences encoding an Fc domain of IgG1. The fusion proteins were expressed in HEK293 cells and purified by affinity chromatography. Secreted Fc fusion proteins from HEK293 growth media were bound to Protein A Sepharose and washed with 10 column volumes of PBS. Bound Fc fusions were eluted from the resin with glycine pH 3.0 and then neutralized with 2M Tris base.

BCMA-Fc wt and I22K mutant constructs were tested for binding to either APRIL or BAFF in a competition ELISA assay. A 100  $\mu$ l solution of carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) containing 2  $\mu$ g/ml target ligand, either APRIL or BAFF, was coated on Nunc Maxisorp 96 well plates overnight at 4 °C. The plate was washed with PBS and blocked for 1 hr with 200  $\mu$ l of 0.2% BSA in PBS at room temperature. Three-fold serial dilutions of BCMA-Fc constructs were prepared in PBS/0.05% Tween-20 with 7 pM biotinylated BCMA-Z (when APRIL was the target) or 0.3 pM biotinylated “miniBR3”, BR3 residues 17-42, (when BAFF was the target). MiniBR3 was prepared and biotinylated as described previously (Gordon, 2003). For BCMA-Z biotinylation, 20  $\mu$ g of purified BCMA-Z was incubated with a 3-fold molar excess of biotin-sulphoNHS (Pierce) in PBS at 25 °C for 3 hrs and then quenched with a 10-fold molar excess of Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. After washing the NUNC plate coated with either APRIL or BAFF with PBS/0.05% Tween-20, 100  $\mu$ l /well of each receptor dilution was transferred to the washed plate and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature. The plate was washed with PBS/Tween-20 and incubated with 100  $\mu$ l /well of 0.1 U/ml Streptavidin-POD (Boehringer Mannheim) for 15 minutes at room temperature. After washing the plate with PBS/0.05% Tween-20 followed by a final wash in PBS, the plate was incubated for 5 min with 100  $\mu$ l/well PBS substrate solution containing 0.8 mg/ml OPD (Sigma) and 0.01%  $H_2O_2$ . The reaction was quenched with 100  $\mu$ l /well of 1M  $H_3PO_4$  and the plate was read at 492 nm.

Figure 7 shows that the IC50 for wt BCMA-Fc binding to APRIL and BAFF, as well as BCMA-I22K-Fc binding to APRIL are in the 7-10 nM range. There was no apparent binding of BCMA-I22K-Fc to BAFF.

## CLAIMS

What is claimed:

1. A polypeptide that binds APRIL comprising the sequence of Formula I:

C-X<sub>2</sub>-X<sub>3</sub>-X<sub>4</sub>-X<sub>5</sub>-Y-X<sub>7</sub>-D-X<sub>9</sub>-L X<sub>11</sub>-X<sub>12</sub>-X<sub>13</sub>-C-K-X<sub>16</sub>-C-X<sub>18</sub>-X<sub>19</sub>-X<sub>20</sub>-C -X<sub>22</sub>-X<sub>23</sub>-X<sub>24</sub>-X<sub>25</sub> -X<sub>26</sub>-X<sub>27</sub>-X<sub>28</sub>-X<sub>29</sub>-C-X<sub>31</sub>-X<sub>32</sub>-X<sub>33</sub>-C (Formula I)

wherein X<sub>11</sub> is any amino acid residue except A;

wherein X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>4</sub>, X<sub>5</sub>, X<sub>7</sub>, X<sub>9</sub>, X<sub>11</sub>, X<sub>12</sub>, X<sub>13</sub>, X<sub>16</sub>, X<sub>18</sub>, X<sub>19</sub>, X<sub>20</sub>, X<sub>22</sub>, X<sub>23</sub>, X<sub>24</sub>, X<sub>25</sub>, X<sub>26</sub>, X<sub>27</sub>, X<sub>28</sub>, X<sub>29</sub>, X<sub>31</sub>, X<sub>32</sub>, X<sub>33</sub> are any amino acid except cysteine.

2. The polypeptide according to claim 1, wherein X<sub>11</sub> is L or V.

3. The polypeptide according to claim 1, wherein X<sub>18</sub> is selected from the group consisting of Q, D and A.

4. The polypeptide according to claim 1, wherein if X<sub>20</sub> is Y, then X<sub>18</sub> is D.

5. The polypeptide according to claim 1, wherein the sequence of Formula I is selected from the group consisting of CSQNEYFDSL LHACKP CQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, CSQNEYFDSL LHACKP CQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, CSQNEYFDSL LHACKP CQLYCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, and CSQNEYFDSL VHACKP CQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC.

6. A polypeptide that binds BAFF comprising the sequence of Formula II:

C-X<sub>2</sub>-X<sub>3</sub>-X<sub>4</sub>-X<sub>5</sub>-X<sub>6</sub>-X<sub>7</sub>-D-X<sub>9</sub>-L-X<sub>11</sub>-X<sub>12</sub>-X<sub>13</sub>-C-X<sub>15</sub>-X<sub>16</sub>-C-X<sub>18</sub>-X<sub>19</sub>-X<sub>20</sub>-C-X<sub>22</sub>-X<sub>23</sub>-X<sub>24</sub>-X<sub>25</sub> -X<sub>26</sub>-X<sub>27</sub>-X<sub>28</sub>-X<sub>29</sub>-C-X<sub>31</sub>-X<sub>32</sub>-X<sub>33</sub>-C (Formula II)

wherein X<sub>6</sub> is selected from the group consisting of Y, A, D, S and F;

wherein X<sub>11</sub> is any amino acid residue except A;

wherein X<sub>15</sub> is any amino acid residue except A or K;

wherein X<sub>18</sub> is selected from the group consisting of Q, D and A;

wherein X<sub>20</sub> is selected from the group consisting of R, Y and A;

wherein X<sub>2</sub>, X<sub>3</sub>, X<sub>4</sub>, X<sub>5</sub>, X<sub>7</sub>, X<sub>9</sub>, X<sub>10</sub>, X<sub>12</sub>, X<sub>13</sub>, X<sub>16</sub>, X<sub>19</sub>, X<sub>22</sub>, X<sub>23</sub>, X<sub>24</sub>, X<sub>25</sub>, X<sub>26</sub>, X<sub>27</sub>, X<sub>28</sub>, X<sub>29</sub>, X<sub>31</sub>, X<sub>32</sub> and X<sub>33</sub> are any amino acid except cysteine; and

provided that the Formula II does not comprise the sequence CSQNEYFDSL LHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC.

7. A polypeptide according to claim 6, wherein X<sub>11</sub> is L or V.

8. A polypeptide according to claim 6, wherein X<sub>15</sub> is I.

9. The polypeptide according to claim 6, wherein the sequence of Formula II is selected from the group consisting of CSQNEAFDSL LHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, CSQNESFDSL LHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, CSQNEFFDSL LHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, CSQNEYFDSL LHACIPCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, CSQNEYFDSL LHACIPCQLYCSSNTPPLTCQRYC, and CSQNEYFDSL LHACIPCQLYCSSNTPPLTCQRYC.

10. The polypeptide according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the Formula I further comprises the sequence NSVKGT linked carboxy-terminal to the thirty-fourth residue.
11. The polypeptide according to any one of claims 6 to 9, wherein the Formula II further comprises the sequence NSVKGT linked carboxy-terminal to the thirty-fourth residue.
12. The polypeptides according to any one of claims 1-6, wherein the polypeptide comprises sequences N-terminal, C-terminal or both N-terminal and C-terminal to the sequence of Formula I or Formula II that are heterologous to a BCMA polypeptide.
13. A polypeptide that is a BCMA variant having an amino acid sequence derived from a mammalian BCMA polypeptide wherein at least one amino acid residue corresponding to the amino acid residue selected from the group Q10, E12, Y13, F14, I22, Q25 and R27 of FIG.5 is altered.
14. The polypeptide according to claim 13, wherein the mammalian BCMA polypeptide is altered at a amino acid residue corresponding to I22 and an amino acid residue corresponding to any one of the residues F14 and Q25 of FIG.5.
15. The polypeptide according to claim 13, wherein the mammalian BCMA polypeptide is altered at a residue corresponding to R27 and a residue corresponding to any one of the residues Y13 and Q25 of FIG.5.
16. The polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15, wherein the polypeptide is an immunoadhesin.
17. The polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15, wherein the polypeptide is contained within an antibody.
18. The polypeptide according to claim 17 wherein the antibody is selected from the group consisting of a F(ab) antibody, F(ab')<sub>2</sub> antibody and a scFv antibody.
19. The polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15, wherein the polypeptide is attached to an agent selected from the group consisting of a growth inhibitory agent, a cytotoxic agent, a detection agent, an agent that improves the bioavailability of the polypeptide and an agent that improves the half-life of the polypeptide.
20. The polypeptide according to claim 19, wherein said cytotoxic agent is selected from the group consisting of a toxin, an antibiotic and a radioactive isotope.
21. A nucleic acid molecule encoding the polypeptide according any one of claims 1-15.
22. A vector comprising the nucleic acid molecule according to claim 21.
23. A host cell comprising the nucleic acid molecule according to claim 21 or a vector comprising the nucleic acid molecule.
24. A composition comprising the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15, optionally further comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
25. A composition comprising the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15, optionally further comprising a second therapeutic agent selected from the group consisting of an agent for treating an immune-related disease, a chemotherapeutic agent and a cytotoxic agent.
26. A method for producing a polypeptide comprising the step of culturing a host cell comprising the vector according to claim 23 under conditions suitable for expressing the polypeptide from the vector.
27. A method for identifying an inhibitor of APRIL binding to BCMA comprising the step of detecting an inhibitor that partially or fully blocks the binding of the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-5 and 10 and APRIL.

28. A method for identifying an inhibitor of BAFF binding to BCMA comprising the step of detecting an inhibitor that partially or fully blocks the binding of the polypeptide according to any one of claims 6-9 and 11 and BAFF.

29. A method for inhibiting native APRIL binding to native BCMA comprising the step of contacting an APRIL polypeptide with the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-5 and 10.

30. A method for inhibiting native BAFF binding to native BCMA comprising the step of contacting a BAFF polypeptide with the polypeptide according to any one of claims 6-9 and 11.

31. A method for inhibiting native APRIL and/or native BAFF binding to native BCMA comprising the step of contacting an APRIL polypeptide or a BAFF polypeptide with the polypeptide according to claim 13.

32. A method for inhibiting native APRIL binding to native BCMA in a mammal comprising the step of administering the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-5 and 10 in an amount effective to inhibit binding between APRIL and BCMA in the mammal.

33. A method for inhibiting native BAFF binding to native BCMA in a mammal comprising the step of administering the polypeptide according to any one of claims 6-9 and 10 in an amount effective to inhibit binding between BAFF and BCMA in the mammal.

34. A method for inhibiting native BAFF and/or native APRIL binding to native BCMA in a mammal comprising the step of administering the polypeptide according to claim 13 to the mammal.

35. A method for treating an immune-related disease in a mammal suffering from an immune disease comprising the step of treating the mammal with a therapeutically effective amount of the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15.

36. The method according to claim 35, wherein the immune related disease is selected from the group consisting of rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus.

37. A method for treating a cancer in a mammal suffering from a cancer comprising the step of treating the mammal with a therapeutically effective amount of the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15.

38. The method according to claim 37, wherein said cancer is selected from the group consisting of leukemia, lymphoma, or multiple myeloma.

39. The method according to claim 37, wherein said cancer is a gastrointestinal cancer or a glioblastoma.


40. A method for treating a T-cell mediated disease in a mammal suffering from a T-cell mediated disease comprising the step of treating the mammal with a therapeutically effective amount of the polypeptide according to any one of claims 1-15.

41. The method according to claim 40, wherein the T-cell mediated disease is selected from the group consisting of graft rejection, graft versus host disease (GVHD) and inflammation.


**ABSTRACT**

The present invention relates to polypeptides that inhibit APRIL and/or BAFF binding to BCMA, nucleic acid molecules encoding the polypeptides, and compositions comprising the polypeptides. The present invention also relates to methods for treating an immune-related disease or cancer using the polypeptides and compositions of the invention. The present invention also relates to methods for identifying inhibitors of APRIL/BAFF binding to BCMA and APRIL/BAFF signaling.

A.



B.



C.




FIG.1




FIG.2





FIG.3

A.



B.




FIG.4

Human BCMA

MLQMAGQCSQ NEYFDSLLHA CIPCQLRCSS NTPPLTCQRY CNASVTNSVK GTNAILWTCL  
GLSLIISLAV FVLMFLLRKI NSEPLKDEFK NTGSGLLGMA NIDLEKSRTG DEIILPRGLE  
YTVEECTCED CIKSKPKVDS DHCFPLPAME EGATILVTTK TNDYCKSLPA ALSATEIEKS  
ISAR

MiniBR3

TPCVPAECFDLLVRHCVACGLLRTPR

FIG.5

Human BCMA

**BCMA-(I22K)-Fc fusion**

MSALLILALVGAAVASTAGQCSQNEYFDSLHAC(K)PCQLRCSSNTPPLTCQRYCNASVTNSV  
KGVTDKAAHYTLCPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVVDVSHEDPEVKFN  
WYVDGVEVHNAAKTKPREEQYNSTYRVVSVLTVLHQDWLNGKEYKCKVSNKALPAPIEKTI  
KAKGQPREPVYTLPPSREEMTKNQVSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYKTPPVLD  
DGSFFLYSKLTVDKSRWQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQKSLSLSPGKO

FIG.6




FIG.7

## APPLICATION DATA SHEET

### APPLICATION INFORMATION

Application Date:: 01/29/04  
Application Type:: PROVISIONAL  
Subject Matter:: UTILITY  
CD-ROM or CD-R?:: NONE  
Title:: BCMA Polypeptides and Uses Thereof  
Attorney Docket Number:: PR2083  
Total Drawing Sheets:: 7

## INVENTOR INFORMATION

|   |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Applicant Authority Type::              | INVENTOR                    |
| Primary Citizenship Country::           | USA                         |
| Status::                                | FULL CAPACITY               |
| Given Name::                            | Robert                      |
| Middle Name::                           | F.                          |
| Family Name::                           | Kelley                      |
| City of Residence::                     | San Bruno                   |
| State or Province of Residence::        | CA                          |
| Country of Residence::                  | USA                         |
| Street of Mailing Address::             | 1029 San Felipe Avenue      |
| City of Mailing Address::               | San Bruno                   |
| State or Province of Mailing Address::  | CA                          |
| Country of Mailing Address::            | USA                         |
| Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: | 94066                       |
| Applicant Authority Type::              | INVENTOR                    |
| Primary Citizenship Country::           | USA                         |
| Status::                                | FULL CAPACITY               |
| Given Name::                            | Darshana                    |
| Middle Name::                           | Ramesh                      |
| Family Name::                           | Patel                       |
| City of Residence::                     | San Mateo                   |
| State or Province of Residence::        | CA                          |
| Country of Residence::                  | USA                         |
| Street of Mailing Address::             | 509 Highland Avenue, Apt. E |
| City of Mailing Address::               | San Mateo                   |
| State or Province of Mailing Address::  | CA                          |
| Country of Mailing Address::            | USA                         |
| Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: | 94401                       |

## CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Correspondence Customer Number:: 09157

## REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Representative Customer Number:: 00000

Representative Customer Number:: 09157

## ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION

Assignee Name:: Genentech, Inc.

Street of Mailing Address:: 1 DNA Way

City of Mailing Address:: South San Francisco

State or Province of Mailing Address:: CA

Country of Mailing Address:: US

Postal or Zip Code of Mailing Address:: 94080